

Annals of Mathematics

Twisted Homological Stability for General Linear Groups

Author(s): W. G. Dwyer

Reviewed work(s):

Source: *The Annals of Mathematics*, Second Series, Vol. 111, No. 2 (Mar., 1980), pp. 239-251

Published by: [Annals of Mathematics](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1971200>

Accessed: 07/12/2011 13:09

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Annals of Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Annals of Mathematics*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Twisted homological stability for general linear groups

By W. G. DWYER

1. Introduction

Suppose that R is a principal ideal domain and that, for each n , ρ_n is a module over the general linear group $GL_n(R)$. The major purpose of this paper is to show that for very general choices of $\{\rho_n\}$ the homology groups $H_k(GL_n(R), \rho_n)$ stabilize with respect to n , i.e., for each fixed k assume a constant value as n becomes large. A secondary purpose is to show that this stability phenomenon has interesting consequences for Waldhausen's "algebraic K -theory of topological spaces" and ultimately for geometric topology.

The main stability theorem (Theorem 2.2) is explained in detail at the beginning of Section 2; it has an inductive statement too elaborate to summarize here. A sample application of 2.2 is the following. Let Ab be the category of abelian groups, and let $\text{Ad}_n(R)$ be the abelian group of $n \times n$ matrices over R , considered as a $GL_n(R)$ -module by conjugation. Note that if $T: \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor, there is a natural action of $GL_n(R)$ on $T(\text{Ad}_n(R))$.

1.1. PROPOSITION. *If $T: \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor of finite degree (see §3 and [3]) then the homology groups $H_k(GL_n(R), T(\text{Ad}_n(R)))$ stabilize with respect to n .*

Remark. Any tensor power, symmetric power, or exterior power functor is of finite degree.

To any space X , Waldhausen associates another space $A(X)$ whose homotopy groups are called the algebraic K -groups of X [10]. The space $A(X)$ is realizable as a Quillen plus-construction in a way that can be partially analyzed using the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$ of 1.1. This leads to

1.2. PROPOSITION. *Suppose that X is simply connected and that the homotopy groups of X are finitely generated. Then the homotopy groups of $A(X)$ are finitely generated.*

If the machinery of [4], [5] and [6] (cf. [11] and [12]) goes through as

expected, Proposition 1.2 will lead to the following corollary.

1.3. PROPOSITION. *Suppose that M^n is a simply connected closed smooth n -manifold and that $\text{Diff}(M^n)$ is the space of diffeomorphisms of M with the Whitney C^∞ topology. Then for $1 \leq i \ll n$ the homotopy groups $\pi_i \text{Diff}(M^n)$ are finitely generated.*

Remark. What “ $i \ll n$ ” means depends upon what is known about the stable range for the smooth pseudo-isotopy space. In [4] “ $i \ll n$ ” means “ $i < n/6 - 7$ ”.

Relationship to earlier work. This paper grew out of an attempt to understand the work of R. Charney [2] on stability properties of the trivial coefficient homology groups $H_k(\text{GL}_n(\Lambda); \mathbf{Z})$, Λ a Dedekind domain. The key arguments in Section 2 are based on hers. For convenience, Section 2 deals only with principal ideal domains, but it seems likely that some form of Theorem 2.2 can be proved for Dedekind domains or even (see [7]) for more general rings.

Notation and terminology. Throughout the paper R will stand for a fixed principal ideal domain and $\text{GL}_n = \text{GL}_n(R)$ for the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over R . If G is a group and A is a right G -module, B a left G -module, then $A \otimes_G B$ and $\text{Tor}_*^G(A, B)$ are sometimes used to denote the corresponding tensor product or Tor over the integral group ring of G . In general, all unspecified modules are left modules, so that $H_*(G, -) = \text{Tor}_*^G(\mathbf{Z}, -)$, where \mathbf{Z} is a trivial right module.

Organization of the paper. Section 2 contains a proof of the main stability theorem, Section 3 gives the proof of 1.1, and the final section makes the application of 1.1 to Waldhausen’s theory.

2. Homological Stability

For any n let $I: \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}$ denote the “upper” inclusion, i.e., the map which embeds GL_n as the subgroup of GL_{n+1} consisting of the matrices

$$\{(r_{ij}) \mid r_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ (Kronecker delta) if } i = n + 1 \text{ or } j = n + 1\}.$$

A coefficient system ρ is a sequence of GL_n -modules ρ_n together with GL_n -maps $F_n: \rho_n \rightarrow I^*(\rho_{n+1})$. (Here $I^*(\rho_{n+1})$ is the GL_n -module obtained by restricting the GL_{n+1} -module ρ_{n+1} to GL_n via I .) A map $\tau: \rho \rightarrow \sigma$ of coefficient systems is a collection of GL_n -maps $\tau_n: \rho_n \rightarrow \sigma_n$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_n & \longrightarrow & I^* \rho_{n+1} \\ \tau_n \downarrow & & \downarrow I^* \tau_{n+1} \\ \sigma_n & \longrightarrow & I^* \sigma_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commute. The kernel and cokernel of τ are defined in the obvious way; these are again coefficient systems.

Any coefficient system ρ gives rise for each integer k to an inductive system of homology groups

$$\longrightarrow H_k(\mathrm{GL}_n; \rho_n) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}; \rho_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

The coefficient system is said to be *stable* if for any given k this inductive system is eventually constant.

The purpose of this section is to give a general way of recognizing stable coefficient systems. The main theorem will be stated after some definitions and constructions.

Let $J: \mathrm{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ denote the “lower” inclusion, i.e., the map which embeds GL_n as the subgroup of GL_{n+1} consisting of the matrices $\{(r_{ij}) \mid r_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1\}$. If ρ is any coefficient system, define the “shifted” coefficient system $\Sigma\rho$ by letting $(\Sigma\rho)_n$ equal $J^*(\rho_{n+1})$ and using the obvious structure maps. Let $\Sigma^0\rho = \rho$ and, for any positive integer j , let $\Sigma^j\rho$ be defined inductively by $\Sigma^j\rho = \Sigma\Sigma^{j-1}\rho$. The coefficient system ρ is said to be *strongly stable* if $\Sigma^j\rho$ is stable for each $j \geq 0$.

For each $n \geq 2$ let s_n denote the permutation matrix in GL_n which interchanges the last two standard basis elements of R^n under the standard left action of GL_n on the module R^n of column vectors. The coefficient system ρ is said to be *central* if for each n the matrix s_{n+2} acts trivially on the image of the composite map $F_{n+1}F_n: \rho_n \rightarrow \rho_{n+2}$. Note that $F_{n+1}F_n$ is only a map of GL_n -modules; nevertheless its image is a subgroup of the GL_{n+2} -module ρ_{n+2} , and so it is meaningful to ask that the matrix s_{n+2} leave this image pointwise fixed.

For $n \geq 2$ let $c_n \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ be the cyclic permutation matrix with $c_n(e_i) = e_{i+1}$ ($i < n$), $c_n e_n = e_1$, where e_i is the i^{th} standard basis element of R^n . It is clear that for each $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ there is a matrix equality

$$I(g) = c_{n+1}^{-1}J(g)c_{n+1}$$

so that if M is a GL_{n+1} -module, the action $\mu(c_{n+1})$ of c_{n+1} on M gives a GL_n -map

$$\mu(c_{n+1}): I^*(M) \longrightarrow J^*(M) .$$

This implies that if ρ is a coefficient system there are composite GL_n -maps

$$\tau_n: \rho_n \xrightarrow{F_n} I^*(\rho_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\mu(c_{n+1})} J^*(\rho_{n+1}) .$$

2.1. LEMMA. *If ρ is a central coefficient system the above homomorphisms $\tau_n: \rho_n \rightarrow J^*(\rho_{n+1})$ are the constituents of a coefficient system map $\tau: \rho \rightarrow \Sigma\rho$.*

This lemma will be proved below. The main result of this section is the following one.

2.2. THEOREM. *Suppose that ρ is a central coefficient system and that $\tau: \rho \rightarrow \Sigma\rho$ is the map of 2.1. Then if kernel (τ) and cokernel (τ) are strongly stable, so is ρ .*

Example. If ρ is a constant coefficient system, then τ is an isomorphism; in this case the theorem specializes to part of Charney’s theorem [2]. There are many other examples in Section 3.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. It is necessary to check that for each n the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & \rho_{n+1} \\ \mu(c_{n+1})F_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu(c_{n+2})F_{n+1} \\ \rho_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} & \rho_{n+2} \end{array}$$

commutes. Since F_{n+1} is a GL_{n+1} -map, $\rho_{n+1} \rightarrow I^*(\rho_{n+2})$, there is an equality $F_{n+1} \circ \mu(c_{n+1}) = \mu(Ic_{n+1}) \circ F_{n+1}$. Commutativity follows from the matrix equation $c_{n+2} = I(c_{n+1}) \cdot s_{n+2}$ and the fact that ρ is central.

The rest of this section is occupied with the proof of 2.2. The first step is to set up some homological machinery. Suppose that $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a map of groups, M_i is a module over G_i ($i = 1, 2$), and $F: M_1 \rightarrow h^*(M_2)$ is a G_1 -module map. (From now on, unless there is a possibility for confusion, we will leave out the h^* and write $F: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$.) Suppose that S is a fixed right G_2 -module which is considered to be a G_1 -module via h . Let $R_i \rightarrow S$ be a projective resolution of S as a G_i -module ($i = 1, 2$). By standard homological algebra there is a G_1 -chain-map $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$, unique up to homotopy, that covers the identity map $S \rightarrow S$. The mapping cone [8, p. 46] of the induced map $R_1 \otimes_{G_1} M_1 \rightarrow R_2 \otimes_{G_2} M_2$ is a chain complex whose homology groups are denoted $\text{Tor}_i^{(G_2, G_1)}(S; M_2, M_1)$. These groups are functorial in G_1, G_2, M_1, M_2 and S ; if S is the trivial module \mathbf{Z} , they are also written $H_i(G_2, G_1; M_2, M_1)$.

The following properties of these “doubly relative” groups can be derived in a straightforward way. To simplify the statements, let $\text{Rel}_i(M_2, M_1)$ temporarily denote $\text{Tor}_i^{(G_2, G_1)}(S; M_2, M_1)$.

2.3. LEMMA. *There is a long exact sequence*

$$\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^{G_1}(S, M_1) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{G_2}(S, M_2) \longrightarrow \text{Rel}_i(M_2, M_1) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^{G_1}(S, M_1) \longrightarrow .$$

2.4. LEMMA. *Suppose that*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_1 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M''_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_2 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M''_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is a map of short exact sequences, where the upper sequence is a sequence of G_1 -modules, the lower is a sequence of G_2 -modules, and the vertical maps are G_1 -maps. Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \text{Rel}_i(M'_2, M'_1) \rightarrow \text{Rel}_i(M_2, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Rel}_i(M''_2, M''_1) \rightarrow \text{Rel}_{i-1}(M''_2, M''_1) \rightarrow .$$

2.5. LEMMA. Suppose that $G_1 \rightarrow G, G_2 \rightarrow G$ are surjections with kernels K_1, K_2 respectively, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & G_2 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & G & \end{array}$$

commutes. Suppose in addition that the G_2 -module structure on S factors through a G -module structure. Then there is a first-quadrant homology spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^G(S, H_q(K_2, K_1; M_2, M_1)) = \text{Rel}_{p+q}(M_2, M_1) .$$

2.6. COROLLARY. In the situation of the previous lemma, suppose that $H_q(K_2, K_1; M_2, M_1) = 0$ for $q < k$. Then $\text{Rel}_i(M_2, M_1) = 0$ for $i < k$ and there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Rel}_k(M_2, M_1) \approx S \otimes_G H_k(K_2, K_1; M_2, M_1) .$$

If ρ is a coefficient system, let $\text{Rel}_i^n(\rho)$ denote the group $H_i(\text{GL}_{n+1}, \text{GL}_n; \rho_{n+1}, \rho_n)$; this is of course computed with respect to the upper inclusion $I: \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}$ and the coefficient system structure map $\rho_n \rightarrow I^*(\rho_{n+1})$. By Lemma 2.3, ρ is stable if and only if for any i the groups $\text{Rel}_i^n(\rho)$ vanish for all sufficiently large n . We will now set up a spectral sequence that converges to these relative groups.

Pick some $n \geq 3$. For $i \leq n$, let P_i denote the following subgroup of GL_n :

$$P_i = \left(\begin{array}{cc} [\text{GL}_i] & 0 \\ 0 & [\text{GL}_{n-i}] \end{array} \right) ,$$

and for $i \leq n + 1$ let P'_i be the corresponding subgroup of GL_{n+1} :

$$P'_i = \left(\begin{array}{cc} [\text{GL}_i] & 0 \\ 0 & [\text{GL}_{n-i+1}] \end{array} \right) .$$

Let S_i be Charney's i^{th} split Steinberg module (written $[R^i]$ in the notation of [2]) considered as a right GL_i -module; note that S_1 is the trivial GL_1 -module Z . Consider S_i to be a module over P_i and P'_i via the projections $P_i \rightarrow \text{GL}_i, P'_i \rightarrow \text{GL}_i$.

Recall briefly from [2] how the modules S_i ($i \geq 2$) are defined. Let R^i

denote the i -fold direct sum of R with itself, considered as a left R -module. A *splitting* α of R^i is an ordered pair (P, Q) of submodules of R^i such that $P \neq 0, Q \neq 0, P \cap Q = 0$, and $P + Q = R^i$. If $\alpha_1 = (P_1, Q_1)$ and $\alpha_2 = (P_2, Q_2)$ are two such splittings, write $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ if $P_1 \subseteq P_2, Q_1 \supseteq Q_2$ and $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. The *split building* of R^i , written $SB[R^i]$, is the $(i - 2)$ -dimensional simplicial complex whose k simplices, for $k \leq i - 2$, are given by ordered $(k + 1)$ -tuples $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ of splittings of R^i such that $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k$. The group GL_i acts naturally on $SB[R^i]$ and thus, for each $k \geq 0$, on the reduced integral homology group $\tilde{H}_k SB[R^i]$. For $k \neq i - 2$, this homology group vanishes; for $k = i - 2$, it is denoted S_i and called the i^{th} split Steinberg module of R .

If $\alpha = (P, Q)$ is a splitting of R^i , let $rk(\alpha)$ denote the rank of P as an R -module. For each $l \geq 1$ there is a subcomplex of $SB[R^i]$ spanned by the simplices $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$ such that $rk(\alpha_k) \leq l$. It is the homology spectral sequence of this finite filtration of $SB[R^i]$ that degenerates into the long exact sequence appearing in the proof of the following lemma.

2.7. LEMMA. *There is a first quadrant spectral sequence*

$$E_{i,j}^1 = \text{Tor}_j^{(P^{i+1}, P^{i+1})}(S_{i+1}, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_n) \implies \text{Rel}_{i+j}^n(\rho).$$

Remarks. The differential d_r in the spectral sequence has bi-degree $(-r, r - 1)$. The relative groups in the E^1 -term are computed with respect to the upper inclusion $I: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P'_{i+1}$; for large i , the formula for E^1 is to be interpreted as $E_{i,j}^1 = 0$ ($i > n$), $E_{n,j}^1 = \text{Tor}_j^{GL_{n+1}}(S_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1})$. The edge homomorphism

$$H_*(P'_1, P_1; \rho_{n+1}, \rho_n) = E_{0,*}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Rel}_*^n(\rho) = H_*(GL_{n+1}, GL_n; \rho_{n+1}, \rho_n)$$

is induced by the natural inclusion of pairs $(P'_1, P_1) \rightarrow (GL_{n+1}, GL_n)$.

Proof of 2.7. Let Λ, Λ' be the integral group rings of GL_n, GL_{n+1} , respectively. According to [2] the upper inclusion $I: GL_n \rightarrow GL_{n+1}$ induces a map of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \longleftarrow & S_1 \otimes_{P_1} \Lambda & \longleftarrow & S_2 \otimes_{P_2} \Lambda & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & S_n & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \longleftarrow & S_1 \otimes_{P'_1} \Lambda' & \longleftarrow & S_2 \otimes_{P'_2} \Lambda' & \longleftarrow & & \longleftarrow & S_n \otimes_{P'_n} \Lambda' & \longleftarrow & . \end{array}$$

Here the upper sequence is an exact sequence of right GL_n -modules, the lower is an exact sequence of right GL_{n+1} -modules, and the vertical maps are GL_n -maps. In fact, the vertical map

$$S_i \otimes_{P_i} \Lambda \longrightarrow S_i \otimes_{P'_i} \Lambda'$$

is constructed in the obvious way using the inclusion $P_i = GL_i \times GL_{n-i} \rightarrow GL_i \times GL_{n-i+1} = P'_i$ which is the product of the identity map $GL_i \rightarrow GL_i$ and

the upper inclusion $GL_{n-i} \rightarrow GL_{n-i+1}$.

Let $X = \{X_i\}$ be the chain complex

$$S_1 \otimes_{P_1} \Lambda \longleftarrow S_2 \otimes_{P_2} \Lambda \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow S_n \otimes_{P_n} \Lambda$$

of right GL_n -modules, and let X' be the corresponding chain complex of right GL_{n+1} -modules. Choose a double complex $Y = \{Y_{i,j}\}$ of right GL_n -modules which is a projective resolution of X in the sense of [1, p. 363]; in particular, then, for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ the single complex $Y_{i,*}$ is a projective resolution of $X_i = S_{i+1} \otimes_{P_{i+1}} \Lambda$. Let $Y' = \{Y'_{i,j}\}$ be a corresponding resolution of X' over GL_{n+1} . Standard methods give an essentially unique GL_n -map $Y \rightarrow Y'$ of double complexes which covers the given map $X \rightarrow X'$.

For each $i \geq 0$, let $C_{i,*}$ be the mapping cone [8, p. 46] of the induced chain complex map

$$Y_{i,*} \otimes_{GL_n} \rho_n \longrightarrow Y'_{i,*} \otimes_{GL_{n+1}} \rho_{n+1} .$$

The modules $C_{i,j}$ form a double complex C . Since X and X' are resolutions of the trivial module \mathbf{Z} over GL_n and GL_{n+1} respectively, it follows that the homology of the total complex of C is $Rel_*^n(\rho)$. Filtering C according of its first subscript gives the spectral sequence of 2.7; the E^1 -term can be identified by Shapiro's lemma.

2.8. LEMMA. *Let ρ be a coefficient system that satisfies the hypotheses of 2.2. Then for any $i \geq 0$, and $m \geq 0$ and all sufficiently large n , the composite map $\Sigma^{m-1}\tau \circ \Sigma^{m-2}\tau \circ \cdots \circ \Sigma\tau \circ \tau$ induces isomorphisms $Rel_i^n(\rho) \approx Rel_i^n(\Sigma^m \rho)$.*

Proof. Let σ_1 and σ_3 be the kernel and cokernel respectively of the map $\tau: \rho \rightarrow \Sigma\rho$, and let σ_2 be the image of τ . By 2.4, the coefficient system short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma^m \sigma_1 \longrightarrow \Sigma^m \rho \longrightarrow \Sigma^m \sigma_2 \longrightarrow 0 , \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma^m \sigma_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{m+1} \rho \longrightarrow \Sigma^m \sigma_3 \longrightarrow 0 , \end{aligned}$$

give rise to long exact sequences when Rel_*^n is applied. Given these sequences and the fact that σ_1 and σ_3 are strongly stable, the lemma can be proved by induction on m ; i.e., by showing that for sufficiently large n there are isomorphisms $Rel_i^n(\Sigma^m \sigma_2) \approx Rel(\Sigma^{m+1} \rho)$.

Proof of 2.2. Suppose by induction on k that for any $i < k$ the groups $Rel_i^n(\rho)$ are known to vanish for all sufficiently large n . (This is trivial for $k = 0$.) We will show that $Rel_k^n(\rho)$ vanishes for all sufficiently large n , which, by 2.8, is enough to prove that ρ is strongly stable.

Using 2.8 and the induction hypothesis, pick an integer N such that

$\text{Rel}_j^n(\Sigma^m \rho) = 0$ for all $j < k$, $m \leq k + 1$, $n \geq N - k - 1$. Pick $n \geq N$, and let P'_i, P_i be the subgroups of $\text{GL}_{n+1}, \text{GL}_n$, respectively, that appear in Lemma 2.7. The upper inclusion $I: \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_{n-i} & \longrightarrow & P_i & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_i \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_{n-i+1} & \longrightarrow & P'_i & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_i \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

of short exact sequences, in which the kernel map $\text{GL}_{n-i} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n-i+1}$ is also the upper inclusion. The restriction of ρ_n to the above copy of GL_{n-i} in GL_n is $(\Sigma^i \rho)_{n-i}$, and the corresponding restriction of ρ_{n+1} to the above copy of GL_{n-i+1} in GL_{n+1} is $(\Sigma^i \rho)_{n-i+1}$. It follows from the choice of n that the relative group

$$\begin{array}{c} H_j(\text{GL}_{n-i+1}, \text{GL}_{n-i}; (\Sigma^i \rho)_{n-i+1}, (\Sigma^i \rho)_{n-i}) \\ \parallel \\ \text{Rel}_j^{n-i}(\Sigma^i \rho) \end{array}$$

vanishes if $j < k$ and $i \leq k + 1$, so, if $E_{i,j}^n$ is the spectral sequence of 2.7, Lemma 2.6 implies that $E_{i,j}^1 = 0$ if $j < k$ and $i \leq k$. This gives a surjection

$$H_0(\text{GL}_i; \text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\Sigma \rho)) = E_{0,k}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Rel}_k^n(\rho).$$

Using 2.8 to increase N , if necessary, so that for $n \geq N$ the map τ induces an isomorphism $\text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\rho) \approx \text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\Sigma \rho)$, we conclude that for $n \geq N$ there is a composite epimorphism

$$\text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\rho) \longrightarrow \text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\Sigma \rho) \longrightarrow E_{0,k}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Rel}_k^n(\rho).$$

Unravelling the above constructions shows that this epimorphism is induced by the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{GL}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{I} & \text{GL}_n \\ J \downarrow & & \downarrow J \\ \text{GL}_n & \xrightarrow{I} & \text{GL}_{n+1} \\ \rho_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} & \rho_n \\ \mu(c_n)F_{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu(c_{n+1})F_n \\ \rho_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & \rho_{n+1} \end{array}$$

Call this epimorphism g .

Consider the map of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_k(\text{GL}_n, \rho_n) & \xrightarrow{a_1} & \text{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\rho) & \xrightarrow{a_2} & H_{k-1}(\text{GL}_{n-1}, \rho_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow l_1 & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow l_2 & \\ \longrightarrow & H_k(\text{GL}_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{b_1} & \text{Rel}_k^n(\rho) & \xrightarrow{b_2} & H_{k-1}(\text{GL}_n, \rho_n) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

where l_1 is induced by the pair $(J, \mu(c_{n+1})F_n)$ and l_2 by the pair $(J, \mu(c_n)F_{n-1})$. There is another obvious map between the same pair of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_k(\mathrm{GL}_n, \rho_n) & \xrightarrow{a_1} & \mathrm{Rel}_k^{n-1}(\rho) & \xrightarrow{a_2} & H_{k-1}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \rho_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow l'_1 & & \downarrow g' & & \downarrow l'_2 & \\ \longrightarrow & H_k(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{b_1} & \mathrm{Rel}_k^n(\rho) & \xrightarrow{b_2} & H_{k-1}(\mathrm{GL}_n, \rho_n) & \longrightarrow, \end{array}$$

where l'_1 is induced by (I, F_n) and l'_2 by (I, F_{n-1}) . The map g' is identically zero, because it factors through the trivial group $H_k(\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{GL}_n; \rho_n, \rho_n)$. Since c_n and c_{n+1} conjugate the appropriate upper inclusions to the corresponding lower inclusions, it follows from standard homological algebra that the maps l_1, l_2 are identical with l'_1, l'_2 respectively. Consequently, $b_2g = l_2a_2 = l'_2a_2 = b_2g' = 0$; since g is surjective, this implies that b_2 is zero and thus that b_1 is surjective. Choosing $n \geq N + 1$, we can assume that a_1 is surjective. However, $ga_1 = b_1l_1 = b_1l'_1 = g'a_1 = 0$; since a_1 is surjective, this implies that g is zero, and hence, since g is surjective, that $\mathrm{Rel}_k^n(\rho)$ is zero. This finishes the inductive step and completes the proof.

3. Examples of strongly stable coefficient systems

Let A and B be abelian categories, and let $T: A \rightarrow B$ be a functor. Under the assumption that $T(0) = 0$, Eilenberg and MacLane define the *cross-effects* T_k ($k \geq 1$) of T ; these are functors

$$T_k: A^k \longrightarrow B$$

which measure the deviation of T from additivity [3, §9]. Then inductive construction of the T_k given by [3, Lemma 9.9] is meaningful for arbitrary functors if $T_1(X)$ is taken to be the kernel of the natural split epimorphism $T(X) \rightarrow T(0)$; with this convention the fundamental equations characterizing cross effects [3, Theorem 9.1] remain valid after the addition of an extra term $T(0)$ to the right hand side. For instance, given $X, Y \in A$,

$$T(X \oplus Y) = T(0) \oplus T_1(X) \oplus T_1(Y) \oplus T_2(X, Y).$$

The functor T is said to be of *degree zero* if $T_1 = 0$, i.e., if T is constant; in general T is said to be of *degree* $k \geq 0$ if $T_k \neq 0$ but $T_{k+1} = 0$. The functor T has *finite degree* if it is of degree k for some $k \geq 0$.

Let λ be the natural coefficient system which assigns to each group GL_n its standard module R^n —the elements of λ_n are the column vectors of length n on which GL_n acts by matrix multiplication from the left. Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the corresponding contragredient coefficient system, so that the elements of $\bar{\lambda}_n$ are column vectors of length n on which GL_n acts by inverse-transpose

matrix multiplication from the left. Let $R\text{-Mod}$ be the category of R -modules and Ab the category of abelian groups. If $T: (R\text{-Mod})^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor, there is a natural coefficient system $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ defined by setting

$$T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})_n = T(\lambda_n, \bar{\lambda}_n)$$

with the obvious diagonal GL_n -action.

3.1. LEMMA. *If $T: (R\text{-Mod})^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor of finite degree then the coefficient system $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is strongly stable.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the degree of T . If T has degree zero, then $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is a constant coefficient system and the lemma follows directly from 2.2 or from Charney's theorem.

Suppose that the lemma is known to be true for all functors of degree $< k$ ($k \geq 1$) and that T has degree k . Since λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are central, $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is also central. Let R denote the trivial constant coefficient system. For each of the coefficient systems $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$, the map τ of 2.1 is the inclusion of the first factor in a direct sum decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma\lambda &= \lambda \oplus R, \\ \Sigma\bar{\lambda} &= \bar{\lambda} \oplus R. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$, the map τ is the inclusion of the first factor in the corresponding decomposition

$$\Sigma T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \oplus T_1(R, R) \oplus T_2(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, R, R).$$

It follows from [3, Lemma 9.9] that the functor $T_2(-, -, R, R): (R\text{-Mod})^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ has degree less than k , and so by induction the coefficient system $T_2(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, R, R)$ is strongly stable. The coefficient system $T_1(R, R)$ is constant and therefore likewise strongly stable. Strong stability of $T(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ follows from 2.2.

3.2. LEMMA. *Let A, B, C be abelian categories and let $S: A \rightarrow B$ and $T: B \rightarrow C$ be functors. If S has degree k and T has degree l , then the composite TS has degree $\leq k \cdot l$.*

The proof of this is left to the reader.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. The tensor product functor $S: (R\text{-Mod})^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ given by $S(X, Y) = X \otimes_R Y$ has degree 2. Since $\text{Ad}_n(R)$ is isomorphic as a GL_n -module to $S(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$, the proposition follows from 3.1 and 3.2.

4. The Waldhausen space

The purpose of this section is to prove Proposition 1.2. Recall that $A(X)$ is an H -space, with component group $\pi_0 A(X)$ isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . Since the

identity component of $A(X)$ can be realized as a plus construction $\widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)^+$ (see [10, §2], [13], and [14]), Proposition 1.2 follows from

4.1. LEMMA. *If X is as in 1.2, then the integral homology groups of $\widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)$ are finitely generated.*

Let $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z}) = \varinjlim \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ be the infinite general linear group over \mathbf{Z} , let $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z}) = \varinjlim \text{Ad}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ be the additive group of infinite matrices over \mathbf{Z} , and let $\pi_k^s(\Omega X)$ be the k^{th} unreduced stable homotopy group of the loop space ΩX . (The direct limits in the definitions of $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z})$ are taken with respect to the relevant upper inclusion maps (see §2).) Let $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ act trivially on $\pi_k^s(\Omega X)$ and act on $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z})$ by conjugation. For our purposes the important properties of $\widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)$ (which hold under the assumption that X is 1-connected) are

(i) $\pi_1(\widehat{\text{BGL}}(x)) = \text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$, and

(ii) for $k > 1$, $\pi_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(x)$ is isomorphic as a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ -module to the tensor product $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \pi_{k-1}^s(\Omega X)$.

The proof of 4.1 consists in showing by induction on k that the integral homology groups of the Postnikov stages $P_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)$ are finitely generated. This depends on a general algebraic result.

Let \mathfrak{M} be the smallest class of $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ -modules which has the following properties:

(a) \mathfrak{M} contains $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z})$.

(b) \mathfrak{M} contains all finitely generated abelian groups with trivial $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ -action.

(c) If \mathfrak{M} contains the modules A and B , then, for $n \geq 2$ and $j \geq 0$, \mathfrak{M} contains the module $H_j(K(A, n), B)$.

4.2. LEMMA. *If $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, then the groups $H_j(\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z}); A)$ are finitely generated.*

This will be proved below.

Proof of 4.1. We will show by induction on k that for any integer k and module $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ the (twisted) homology groups $H_j(P_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X); A)$ are finitely generated.

The case $k = 1$ is Lemma 4.2. Suppose that the inductive hypothesis is known for $l < k$ where $k > 1$. Pick $A \in \mathfrak{M}$. The Serre spectral sequence of the fibration

$$K(\pi_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X), k) \longrightarrow P_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X) \longrightarrow P_{k-1} \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)$$

has the form

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(P_{k-1} \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X)); H_q(K(\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \pi_{k-1}^*(\Omega X), k), A)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$H_{p+q} P_k \widehat{\text{BGL}}(X).$$

The $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ -modules $H_q(K(\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \pi_{k-1}^*(\Omega X), k), A)$ all belong to \mathfrak{M} , so it follows by induction that all of the groups in this E^2 -term are finitely generated. This completes the proof.

4.3. LEMMA. *If $n \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$, the functor $T: \text{Ab}^2 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ given by $T(A, B) = H_j(K(A, n), B)$ has degree $\leq (j/n) + 1$.*

This is easy to prove using the fact that the reduced homology of a k -fold smash product of $(n - 1)$ -connected spaces vanishes below dimension nk (cf. [3, §20]).

Proof of 4.2. Any coefficient system ρ gives rise to a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z})$ -module $\lim(\rho)$ by the formula $\lim(\rho) = \lim \rho_n$. Since homology commutes with direct limits, there is an isomorphism $\overrightarrow{H}_*(\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z}), \lim(\rho)) \approx \lim \overrightarrow{H}_*(\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}), \rho_n)$. It follows from [9, Cor. 3] that the groups $\overrightarrow{H}_j(\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}), \rho_n)$ are finitely generated if ρ_n is a $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ -module which is finitely generated as an abelian group. Consequently, the groups $\overrightarrow{H}_j(\text{GL}(\mathbf{Z}), \lim(\rho))$ are finitely generated if ρ is stable and each ρ_n is finitely generated as an abelian group.

Taken together, Lemmas 4.3 and 3.2 imply that each of the modules A in the class \mathfrak{M} can be expressed as $T(\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z}))$, where $T: \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor of finite degree which commutes with direct limits and preserves the subcategory of finitely generated abelian groups. Let λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ be the coefficient systems of Section 3 in the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$, and let T be such a functor. Then $T(\text{Ad}(\mathbf{Z})) = \lim T(\lambda \otimes \bar{\lambda})$, the coefficient system $T(\lambda \otimes \bar{\lambda})$ is stable by 1.1 (see §3), and each GL_n -module $T(\lambda_n \otimes \bar{\lambda}_n)$ is a finitely generated abelian group. The lemma follows at once.

YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. CARTAN and S. EILENBERG, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [2] R. CHARNEY, Homology stability for GL_n of a Dedekind domain, preprint, University of California, Berkeley.
- [3] S. EILENBERG and S. MACLANE, On the groups $H(\Pi, n)$, II, *Ann. of Math.* **60** (1954), 49-139.
- [4] F. T. FARRELL and W. C. HSIANG, On the rational homotopy groups of the diffeomorphism groups of discs, spheres, and aspherical manifolds, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* Vol. 32, Part I, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1978, 325-337.
- [5] W. C. HSIANG, On $\pi_1 \text{diff } M^n$, preprint, Princeton University.

- [6] W.C. HSIANG and B. JAHREN, On the homotopy groups of the diffeomorphism groups of spherical space forms, preprint, Princeton University.
- [7] H. MAAZEN, Stabilité de l'homologie de GL_n , preprint, Mathematisch Instituut der Rijksuniversiteit.
- [8] S. MACLANE, *Homology*, Springer, New York, 1967.
- [9] M.S. RAGHUNATHAN, A note on the quotients of real algebraic groups by arithmetic subgroups, *Invent. Math.* **4** (1968), 318-335.
- [10] F. WALDHAUSEN, Algebraic K -theory of topological spaces, I, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* Vol. 32, Part I, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1978, 35-60.
- [11] D. BURGHELEA, Automorphisms of manifolds, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, Vol. 32, Part I, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1978, 347-371.
- [12] A. E. HATCHER, Concordance spaces, higher simple-homotopy theory, and applications, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, Vol. 32, Part I, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1978, 3-21.
- [13] J. P. MAY, A_∞ ring spaces and algebraic K -theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **658**, Springer, New York (1979), 240-315.
- [14] M. STEINBERGER, On the equivalence of the two definitions of the algebraic K -theory of a topological space, *Proc. 1978 Aarhus Conf.*, to appear.

(Received February 14, 1979)