

## Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of simplicial categories and the cohomology of simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets\*

by W.G. Dwyer<sup>1</sup> and D.M. Kan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Ind., U.S.A.*

<sup>2</sup> *Mass. Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass., U.S.A.*

---

Communicated at the meeting of September 28, 1987

### 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Summary.** The aim of this note is to tie together three different kinds of cohomology:

- I the algebraic *Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of small categories* [1],
- II the internal category-theoretic *cohomology of small simplicial categories* (with a fixed set of objects) [7], and
- III the homotopy theoretic *cohomology of diagrams of simplicial sets* [5].

This is done by

- (i) extending the definition of cohomology for diagrams of simplicial sets of [5] to simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets,
- (ii) defining the Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of a small simplicial category  $\mathbf{C}$  as the cohomology (in the sense of (i)) of an associated  $(\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C})$ -diagram of simplicial sets (and observing that this definition indeed generalizes the one of [1]), and
- (iii) proving (and this is, in some sense, our main result) that *the cohomology of a small simplicial category* [7] is its *Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology* (in the sense of (ii)) *with a shift in dimension*.

Of course, composition with the singular functor yields the corresponding notions of Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of small topological categories (with discrete object sets) and cohomology of (see [8]) topological diagrams of topological spaces.

**1.2. Remark.** That (see 1.1(iii)) one can approach the cohomology of a small simplicial category in two ways, which differ by a shift in dimension, is already apparent in the case of a *group* (i.e. a category with only one object, in which every map is invertible). The Hochschild-Mitchell approach then reduces to the

---

\* This research was in part supported by the National Science Foundation.

usual notion of group cohomology. One can, however, also consider a group  $G$  as an object in the category of simplicial groups and define cohomology classes as (loop) homotopy classes of homomorphisms to an Eilenberg-MacLane object [10] from a free simplicial group which is weakly (loop homotopy) equivalent to  $G$ . This second approach readily generalizes to the notion of cohomology of small simplicial categories of [7], which is (see [8]) the natural place for obstructions to realizing diagrams in the homotopy category by means of simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets or, equivalently, topological diagrams of topological spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We will freely use the following notation, terminology and results.

**2.1. Simplicial categories.** A simplicial category is always assumed to have *the same objects in each dimension*; it thus is a category *enriched* [9, p. 180] over  $\mathbf{S}$ , the category of simplicial sets. If  $\mathbf{C}$  is a simplicial category, then the function complex (i.e. simplicial hom-set) between any two objects  $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$  will usually be denoted by  $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$ .

**2.2. The category  $\mathbf{G}$  of groupoids.** This is the category with as objects the small categories in which all maps are invertible and as maps the functors between them.

**2.3. The category  $\mathbf{M}$  of modules over groupoids.** An object of  $\mathbf{M}$  is a functor  $M: G \rightarrow (\mathbf{abelian\ groups})$ , in which  $G$  is a groupoid, and a map  $M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \in \mathbf{M}$  (where  $M_i: G_i \rightarrow (\mathbf{abelian\ groups})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ) consists of a pair  $(g, m)$ , where  $g: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a functor and  $m: M_1 \rightarrow M_2 g$  is a natural transformation. There is an obvious *forgetful functor*  $\gamma: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ .

**2.4. The nerve functor  $N: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ .** This functor sends a groupoid  $G$  to the simplicial set  $NG$ , which has as  $n$ -simplices ( $n \geq 0$ ) the sequences  $G_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_n$  of composable maps in  $G$ .

**2.5. The  $n$ -th Eilenberg-MacLane object functor  $K(-, n): \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ).** This is the functor which sends an object  $M \in \mathbf{M}$  to the simplicial set  $K(M, n)$  which has as  $k$ -simplices the pairs  $(u, v)$  such that  $u$  is a  $k$ -simplex  $G_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_k$  of  $N\gamma M$  (see 2.3 and 2.4) and  $v$  is a  $k$ -simplex of the Eilenberg-MacLane complex  $K(MG_0, n)$  [10, §23]. Clearly *the forgetful map*  $j: K(M, n) \rightarrow N\gamma M \in \mathbf{S}$  *is a fibration and has a zero cross section*  $i: N\gamma M \rightarrow K(M, n)$ .

**2.6. Simplicial structures on  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$ .** *The categories  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are simplicial categories and the above functors  $\gamma: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ ,  $N: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  and  $K(-, n): \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  are simplicial functors.* The simplicial structure on  $\mathbf{S}$  is the usual one and the one on  $\mathbf{G}$  assigns to every two groupoids  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  the nerve of the category which has as objects the functors  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  and as maps the natural

transformations between them. Similarly the simplicial structure on  $\mathbf{M}$  assigns to every two objects  $M_i: G_i \rightarrow (\text{abelian groups})$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) of  $\mathbf{M}$  the nerve of the category which has as objects the maps  $(g, m): M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \in \mathbf{M}$  and as maps  $(g, m) \rightarrow (g', m')$  between such objects the natural transformations  $h: g \rightarrow g'$  such that  $m' = (M_2 h)m$ .

**2.7. Simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets.** If  $\mathbf{C}$  is a small simplicial category (2.1), we denote by  $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  the category of  $\mathbf{C}$ -diagrams of simplicial sets (which has as objects the simplicial functors  $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  and as maps the natural transformations between them) and recall from [4,1.3] that  $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  admits a *closed simplicial model category structure* in which the simplicial structure is the obvious one and in which a map  $U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  is a fibration or a weak equivalence whenever, for every object  $x \in \mathbf{C}$ , the restriction  $Ux \rightarrow Vx \in \mathbf{S}$  is a fibration or a weak equivalence.

**2.8. A pair of adjoint functors  $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ .** Let  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a simplicial functor between small simplicial categories. Then *the induced functor  $f^*: \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$  preserves fibrations and weak equivalences (2.7) and hence its left adjoint  $f_*: \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  preserves cofibrations and [2,1.2 and 1.3] weak equivalences between cofibrant objects.* Moreover, *the functors  $f_*$  and  $f^*$  are simplicially adjoint, i.e. their adjointness induces, for every pair of objects  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$  and  $V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , a natural isomorphism of function complexes  $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}(f_* U, V) \approx \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}(U, f^* V)$ .*

**2.9. Abelian group objects.** Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a category with finite inverse limits, let  $L \in \mathbf{T}$  be an object and let  $\mathbf{T}/L$  denote the resulting over category (which has as objects the maps  $K \rightarrow L \in \mathbf{T}$ ). An *abelian group object* over  $L$  then consists of a map  $f: K \rightarrow L \in \mathbf{T}$  together with a *multiplication* map  $m$ , a *unit* map  $u$  and an *inverse* map  $i$  in  $\mathbf{T}/L$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \times_L K & \xrightarrow{m} & K \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & L & \\
 \\
 L & \xrightarrow{u} & K \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & L & \\
 \\
 K & \xrightarrow{i} & K \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & L & 
 \end{array}$$

satisfying the usual abelian group axioms. These abelian group objects over  $L$  form a category which we will denote by  $\mathbf{ab}/L$ . It often is an *abelian category*, for instance when  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{S}$ .

### 3. THE COHOMOLOGY OF SIMPLICIAL DIAGRAMS OF SIMPLICIAL SETS

We start with extending the definition of cohomology groups of diagrams of simplicial sets of [5] to simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets.

**3.1. The cohomology of simplicial diagrams of simplicial sets.** Given (see §2) a small simplicial category  $\mathbf{C}$ , a cofibrant object  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , a cofibration  $U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , a simplicial functor  $W: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and a 'twisting map'  $t: V \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , the *relative cohomology group  $H^n(V, U; W)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) with local*

coefficients induced by  $t$  will be the abelian group of the homotopy classes of ‘liftings’ (i.e. dotted arrows which make the diagram commutative) in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \xrightarrow{\text{zero section}} & K(W, n) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted arrow} & \downarrow j \\
 V & \xrightarrow{t} & N\gamma W
 \end{array}$$

or, equivalently, in the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \longrightarrow & K(W, n) \times_{N\gamma W} V \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted arrow} & \downarrow \\
 V & \xrightarrow{id} & V
 \end{array}$$

If  $U$  is the initial object of  $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  (i.e.  $Ux$  is empty for every object  $x \in \mathbf{C}$ ), one often writes  $H^n(V; W)$  instead of  $H^n(V, U; W)$ .

If the object  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  is not cofibrant and/or the map  $U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  is not a cofibration, one chooses a weak equivalence  $U' \rightarrow U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  such that  $U'$  is cofibrant and a factorization  $U' \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V$  of the composition  $U' \rightarrow U \rightarrow V$  into a cofibration  $U' \rightarrow V'$  followed by a weak equivalence  $V' \rightarrow V$  and defines  $H^n(V, U; W)$  as  $H^n(V', U', W)$ . This is permissible because, by a standard homotopical algebra argument [11], any two such choices give rise to the same group, up to a canonical isomorphism.

As usual this definition implies:

**3.2. Proposition.** *Given a map  $U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  and a twisting map  $t: V \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , there is a natural long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(V, U; W) \rightarrow H^n(V; W) \rightarrow H^n(U; W) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(V, U; W) \rightarrow \dots$$

It turns out to be convenient (see §4) to further extend this definition of cohomology to

**3.3. The doubly relative case.** Given (see §2) a simplicial functor  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  between small simplicial categories, a cofibrant object  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$ , a cofibration  $f_* U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , a simplicial functor  $W: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and a twisting map  $t: V \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , we define the *doubly relative cohomology group*  $H^n(V, U; W)$  as  $H^n(V, f_* U; W)$ .

Similarly, if the object  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$  is not cofibrant and/or the map  $f_* U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  is not a cofibration, one chooses a weak equivalence  $U' \rightarrow U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$  such that  $U'$  is cofibrant and a factorization  $f_* U' \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V$  of the composition  $f_* U' \rightarrow f_* U \rightarrow V$  into a cofibration  $f_* U' \rightarrow V'$  followed by a weak equivalence  $V' \rightarrow V$ , and defines  $H^n(V, U; W)$  as  $H^n(V', U'; W)$ .

Of course one has, as an immediate consequence of 3.2:

**3.4. Proposition.** *Given an object  $U \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}}$ , a map  $f_* U \rightarrow V \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$  and a twisting map  $t: V \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ , there is a natural long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(V, U; W) \rightarrow H^n(V; W) \rightarrow H^n(U; W) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(V, U; W) \rightarrow \dots$$

#### 4. HOCHSCHILD-MITCHELL COHOMOLOGY OF SIMPLICIAL CATEGORIES

Next we use the results of the previous section to generalize the definition of Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of small categories of [1] to small simplicial categories.

**4.1. Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of simplicial categories.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a small simplicial category. Then *the function complexes  $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$  give rise to a simplicial diagram of simplicial sets  $\mathbf{C}^\# \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$* . Given a ‘bi-module’  $W$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ , i.e. a simplicial functor  $W: \mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , and a twisting map  $t: \mathbf{C}^\# \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$ , we now define the Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology  $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}; W)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) of  $\mathbf{C}$  as  $H^n(\mathbf{C}^\#; W)$  and the *relative Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology*  $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; W)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) of a simplicial functor  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  as  $H^n(\mathbf{C}^\#, \mathbf{B}^\#; W)$ .

Proposition 3.4 then implies:

**4.2. Proposition.** *Given a simplicial functor  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  between small simplicial categories and a twisting map  $t: \mathbf{C}^\# \rightarrow N\gamma W \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$ , there is a natural long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; W) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}; W) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{B}; W) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; W) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{C}^0 \subset \mathbf{C}$  denotes the subcategory of  $\mathbf{C}$  which consists of the identity maps only, one readily verifies:

**4.3. Proposition.**  $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}^0; W) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

**4.4. Corollary.** *The natural map (4.2)*

$$\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^0; W) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}; W)$$

*is an isomorphism for  $n > 1$  and is onto for  $n = 1$ .*

**4.5. Remark.** In general, for a simplicial functor  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , the diagram  $\mathbf{B}^\# \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}^{op} \times \mathbf{B}}$  is not cofibrant, nor is the map  $(f^{op} \times f)_* \mathbf{B}^\# \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\# \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$  a cofibration. In order to give an explicit description of  $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; W)$  one thus (§3) has to replace  $\mathbf{B}^\#$  by a suitable cofibrant object and  $(f^{op} \times f)_* \mathbf{B}^\# \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\#$  by a suitable cofibration. If the functor  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is 1-1, this can be done in a rather simple canonical manner using the following

**4.6. Generalized nerve construction.** The *generalized nerve* of a small category  $\mathbf{E}$  will be the diagram  $N^*\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{E}^{op} \times \mathbf{E}}$  such that, for every pair of objects  $x, y \in \mathbf{E}$ , the simplicial set  $N^*\mathbf{E}(x, y)$  has as  $n$ -simplices ( $n \geq 0$ ) the sequences  $x \rightarrow z_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_n \rightarrow y$  of composable maps in  $\mathbf{E}$ , with the obvious faces and degeneracies. Clearly composition of these maps gives rise to a *canonical map*  $N^*\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^* \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{E}^{op} \times \mathbf{E}}$ .

One readily verifies

**4.7. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a small simplicial category. Then the object  $\text{diag } N^*\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}^{op} \times \mathbf{B}}$  is free and hence cofibrant and the canonical map  $\text{diag } N^*\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^* \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{B}^{op} \times \mathbf{B}}$  is a weak equivalence.*

**4.8. Proposition.** *Let  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a simplicial functor between small simplicial categories, which is 1-1. Then the induced map  $(f^{op} \times f)_* \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$  is free and hence a cofibration.*

These propositions imply

**4.9. Corollary.** *If  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is as in 4.8 and  $W$  and  $t$  are as in 4.1, then  $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; W)$  can be identified with the abelian group of the homotopy classes of liftings in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (f^{op} \times f)_* \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{\text{zero section}} & K(W, n) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow j \\
 \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^* \xrightarrow{t} & N_\gamma W
 \end{array}$$

or, equivalently, in the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (f^{op} \times f)_* \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & K(W, n) \times_{N_\gamma W} \mathbf{C}^* \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\
 \text{diag } N^*\mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^*
 \end{array}$$

## 5. THE MAIN RESULT

Now we state our main result, that *the cohomology of small simplicial categories of [7] is just Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology with a shift in dimension.*

First we recall from [7]:

**5.1. Cohomology of simplicial categories.** Let  $O$  be a set (of objects) and let  $SO\text{-Cat}$  denote the category of simplicial  $O$ -categories (i.e. categories with object set  $O$ , which are enriched over  $\mathbf{S}$ ). Then  $SO\text{-Cat}$  admits a *closed simplicial model category structure* in which the simplicial structure is the ob-

vious one, in which a map  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a *fibration* or a *weak equivalence* whenever, for every pair of objects  $x, y \in O$ , the restriction  $\mathbf{B}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(x, y) \in \mathbf{S}$  is a fibration or a weak equivalence and in which a map is a *cofibration* iff it is a strong retract [3, §7] of a free map.

Given a cofibration  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \in SO\text{-Cat}$  an  $O$ -category  $\mathbf{W}$  enriched over  $\mathbf{M}$  and a twisting map  $t: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow N\gamma\mathbf{W} \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , the *relative cohomology group*  $H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W})$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) then is the abelian group of the homotopy classes of liftings in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{\text{zero section}} & K(\mathbf{W}, n) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow j \\ \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{t} & N\gamma\mathbf{W} \end{array}$$

or, equivalently, in the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbf{W}, n) \times_{N\gamma\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathbf{C} \end{array}$$

If  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \in SO\text{-Cat}$  is a map which is not a cofibration, one chooses a factorization  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  into a cofibration  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'$  followed by a weak equivalence  $\mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and defines  $H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W})$  as  $H^n(\mathbf{C}', \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W})$ . If  $\mathbf{B}$  is the initial object of  $SO\text{-Cat}$  (i.e., in the notation of 4.2–4,  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}^0$ ), one often writes  $H^n(\mathbf{C}; \mathbf{W})$  instead of  $H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^0; \mathbf{W})$ .

Now we can formulate

**5.2. The main result.** Given a set  $O$ , a map  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , an  $O$ -category  $\mathbf{W}$  enriched over  $\mathbf{M}$  and a twisting map  $t: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow N\gamma\mathbf{W} \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , a straightforward calculation (using 2.6) yields that  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $t$  give rise to a bi-module  $\mathbf{W}^* : \mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  such that  $\mathbf{W}^*(x, y) = \mathbf{W}(x, y)$  for every two objects  $x, y \in O$ , and a twisting map  $t^* : \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow N\gamma\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C}}$ . One then has:

**5.3. Theorem.** *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W}) \approx \text{Hoch}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W}^*) \quad n \geq 0.$$

This will be proven in §6.

In view of 4.4 this theorem implies

**5.4. Corollary.** *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$H^{n+1}(\mathbf{C}; \mathbf{W}) \approx \text{Hoch}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^0; \mathbf{W}^*) \quad n \geq 0$$

$$H^n(\mathbf{C}; \mathbf{W}) \approx \text{Hoch}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C}; \mathbf{W}^*) \quad n \geq 2.$$

We end with some

**5.5. Remarks.** The arguments used in the proof of theorem 5.3 (§6) readily imply:

(i) *Given an object  $C \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , a bi-module  $W': C^{op} \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and a twisting map  $t': C^\# \rightarrow N\gamma W' \in S^{C^{op} \times C}$ , there exists an  $O$ -category  $\mathbf{W}$  enriched over  $\mathbf{M}$  and a twisting map  $t: N\gamma \mathbf{W} \in SO\text{-Cat}$  such that, for every map  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow C \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\text{Hoch}^n(C, \mathbf{B}; W') \approx \text{Hoch}^n(C, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W}^\#) \quad n \geq 0.$$

(ii) Theorem 5.3 remains valid if one takes coefficients in a *generalized Eilenberg-MacLane object* in the sense of Quillen [11, Ch. II, §5].

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.3

We begin with observing that, given a set  $O$  and an object  $C \in SO\text{-Cat}$ , the categories (2.9)  $\mathbf{ab}/C$  and  $\mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  are both abelian categories. Moreover the arguments of [6] readily yield

**6.1. Proposition.** *The categories  $\mathbf{ab}/C$  and  $\mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  admit closed simplicial model category structures [11] in which the simplicial structures are the obvious ones and in which a map  $Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$  is a weak equivalence or a trivial fibration whenever, for every pair of objects  $x, y \in O$ , the restriction  $Z_1(x, y) \rightarrow Z_2(x, y) \in \mathbf{ab}/C(x, y)$  is a weak equivalence or a trivial fibration [6, §4].*

**6.2. Proposition.** *The forgetful functors  $\mathbf{ab}/C \rightarrow SO\text{-Cat}/C$  and  $\mathbf{ab}/C^\# \rightarrow S^{C^{op} \times C}/C^\#$  have left adjoints  $A: SO\text{-Cat}/C \rightarrow \mathbf{ab}/C$  and  $A: S^{C^{op} \times C}/C^\# \rightarrow \mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  respectively. Moreover these forgetful functors both preserve fibrations and weak equivalences between fibrant objects and their left adjoints preserve cofibrations and weak equivalences between cofibrant objects.*

Next, let  $J: \mathbf{ab}/C \rightarrow \mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  denote the functor which sends an object  $(D \rightarrow C) \in \mathbf{ab}/C$  to the object (2.9)  $((u^{op} \times u)^* \mathbf{D}^\# \rightarrow C^\#) \in \mathbf{ab}/C^\#$ . A straightforward calculation then yields the somewhat surprising

**6.3. Proposition.** *The functor  $J: \mathbf{ab}/C \rightarrow \mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  is an equivalence of categories which, moreover, is compatible with the closed simplicial model category structures (6.1)*

Now we are ready for a

**6.4. Proof of theorem 5.3.** We clearly may assume that the map  $f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow C \in SO\text{-Cat}$  is a cofibration (5.1). Then 6.2 and [11, Ch.I, §4] readily imply that  $H^n(C, \mathbf{B}; \mathbf{W}) \approx \pi_0 \text{hom}(X, Y)$ , where  $\text{hom}$  denotes the function complex in  $\mathbf{ab}/C$  and  $X, Y \in \mathbf{ab}/C$  are the cofibrant and the fibrant objects given by (6.2)  $X = A i_C / A f$  and  $Y = (K(\mathbf{W}, n) \times_{N\gamma \mathbf{W}} C \rightarrow C)$ . Similarly 4.9 yields an isomorphism  $\text{Hoch}^{n+1}(C, \mathbf{B}; W^\#) \approx \pi_0 \text{hom}(X', Y')$ , where  $\text{hom}$  denotes the function complex in  $\mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  and  $X', Y' \in \mathbf{ab}/C^\#$  are the cofibrant and the fibrant objects

given by  $X' = A(\text{diag } N^\# \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\#) / A((f^{op} \times f)_* \text{diag } N^\# \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\#)$  and  $Y' = (K(\mathbf{W}^\#, n+1) \times_{N_Y \mathbf{W}} \mathbf{C}^\# \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\#)$ . As (6.3)  $\text{hom}(X, Y) \approx \text{hom}(JX, JY)$ , it thus remains to show that  $\pi_0 \text{hom}(JX, JY)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_0 \text{hom}(X', Y')$ . But this follows [11, Ch. I, §2] from the fact that

(i)  $JY$  has the homotopy type of the loops on  $Y'$ , i.e. there exists a pull back diagram in  $\mathbf{ab}/\mathbf{C}^\#$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} JY & \longrightarrow & Y'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

in which the map  $JY'' \rightarrow Y'$  is a fibration,  $* = (\mathbf{C}^\# \xrightarrow{id} \mathbf{C}^\#)$  and  $Y''$  is weakly equivalent to  $*$ , and

(ii)  $X'$  has the homotopy type of the suspension of  $JX$ , i.e. there exists a push out diagram in  $\mathbf{ab}/\mathbf{C}^\#$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} JX & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

in which the map  $JX \rightarrow X''$  is a cofibration and  $X''$  is weakly equivalent to  $*$ .

That (i) holds is easy to see, but (ii) is less obvious. To prove (ii) let, for every integer  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f_n: \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to dimension  $n$ , let  $X_n = Ai_{\mathbf{C}_n} / Af_n$  and  $X'_n = A(N^\# \mathbf{C}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n^\#) / A((f_n^{op} \times f_n)_* N^\# \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n^\#)$  and let  $\tilde{X}'_n \in \mathbf{ab}/\mathbf{C}_n^\#$  be the 'universal covering' of  $X'_n$  (i.e., for every map  $c \in \mathbf{C}_n$ ,  $(\tilde{X}'_n)^{-1}c$  is the universal covering of  $(X'_n)^{-1}c$  and, for every integer  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\pi_k X'_n$  be the ' $k$ -th homotopy group' of  $X'_n$  (i.e., for every map  $c \in \mathbf{C}_n$ ,  $(\pi_k X'_n)^{-1}c$  is the  $k$ -th homotopy group of  $(X'_n)^{-1}c$ ). A lengthy but straightforward calculation then yields a canonical isomorphism  $JX_n \approx \pi_1 X'_n$  and a canonical push out diagram in  $\mathbf{ab}/\mathbf{C}_n^\#$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} JX_n & \longrightarrow & * = (\mathbf{C}_n^\# \xrightarrow{id} \mathbf{C}_n^\#) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X}'_n & \longrightarrow & X'_n \end{array}$$

in which the map  $JX_n \rightarrow \tilde{X}'_n$  is a cofibration. If the map  $f_n: \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n \in \mathbf{O-Cat}$  is free [3, §7], one readily verifies, using [3, 2.9], that  $\pi_k X'_n \approx *$  for  $k \neq 1$  and that therefore  $\tilde{X}'_n$  is weakly equivalent to  $*$ , and the same conclusion obviously holds if the map  $f_n$  is a strong retract [3, §7] of a free map. A standard diagonal argument now yields (ii).

## REFERENCES

1. Baues, H-J. and G. Wirsching – Cohomology of small categories, preprint.
2. Brown, K.S. – Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology, *Trans. AMS* **186**, 419–458 (1974).
3. Dwyer, W.G. and D.M. Kan – Simplicial localizations of categories, *J. Pure and Appl. Alg* **17**, 267–284 (1980).
4. Dwyer, W.G. and D.M. Kan – Singular functors and realization functors, *Proc. Kon. Ned Akad. van Wetensch. A87=Ind. Math.* **46**, 147–153 (1984).
5. Dwyer, W.G. and D.M. Kan – An obstruction theory for diagrams of simplicial sets, *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch. A87=Ind. Math.* **46**, 139–146 (1984).
6. Dwyer, W.G. and D.M. Kan – Homology with simplicial coefficients, *Proc. Int. Conf. on Alg. Top.*, Arcata (1986), *Lect. Notes in Math.* (Springer).
7. Dwyer, W.G., D.M. Kan and J.H. Smith – An obstruction theory for simplicial categories, *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch. A89=Ind. Math.* **48**, 153–161 (1986).
8. Dwyer, W.G., D.M. Kan and J.H. Smith – Homotopy commutative diagrams and their realizations, *J. of Pure and Appl. Alg.*
9. MacLane, S. – *Categories for the working mathematician*, *Grad. Texts in Math.* **5**, Springer (1971).
10. May, J.P. – *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand (1967).
11. Quillen, D.G. – *Homotopical algebra*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **43**, Springer (1967).