**Table 1:** Partial proportional odds ordered logit models for reparations support

	Model 1: White RDD-Respondents					Model 2: White Online Respondents			
Dependent variable: reparations support	Coef.	s.e.	p(t)	Impact	Coef.	s.e.	p(t)	Impact	
Question Wording Effects:									
Government as provider	0.334	0.417	0.423	1.397	-0.081	0.448	0.856	1.085	
C	0.710	0.406	0.077	2.040	0.000	0.450	0.006	1 000	
Corporations as providers	0.718	0.406	0.077	2.049	-0.008	0.452	0.986	1.008	
Heirs as providers	0.525	0.279	0.060	1.691	0.023	0.332	0.945	1.023	
D : 1 C	0.744				0.404	0.000	0.740		
Racial references	0.544	0.297	0.067	1.724	-0.184	0.300	0.540	1.202	
Cash as modality	-0.853 <sup>a</sup>	0.216	0.000	2.348					
	-1.045 <sup>b</sup>	0.304	0.001	2.844	-1.041	0.217	0.000	2.832	
	-0.853°	0.276	0.001	2.346	-1.041	0.217	0.000	2.032	
	$0.866^{a}$	0.443	0.051	2.378	-0.150 <sup>a</sup>	0.426	0.725	1.162	
Explicit closeness to Blacks	$0.928^{b}$	0.450	0.031	2.529	0.859 <sup>b</sup>	0.420	0.723	2.362	
	1.027 <sup>c</sup>	0.633	0.115	2.792	2.321°	0.688	0.003	10.181	
	1.027	0.055	0.113	2.172	2.321	0.000	0.001	10.101	
Implicit closeness to Blacks	_	_	_	_	3.586	0.963	0.000	36.098	
impriore erosentess to Bracia					2.000	0.,00	0.000	20.070	
Explicit closeness to Whites	0.716	0.550	0.194	2.045	0.060	0.495	0.904	1.062	
D. I. J. J. J.	2.452	0.416	0.000	11.600	2 0 4 1	0.606	0.000	10.005	
Racial resentment scale	-2.452	0.416	0.000	11.608	-2.941	0.606	0.000	18.927	
					$-0.710^{a}$	0.455	0.119	2.034	
Ideology (7 pt. $0 = \text{lib.}$ ; $1 = \text{cons.}$ )	-1.616	0.493	0.001	5.031	-1.896 <sup>b</sup>	0.661	0.007	6.657	
ideology (7 pt. 0 = 110., 1 = colls.)	-1.010	0.473	0.001	3.031	-1.203°	0.892	0.182	3.329	
					1.203	0.072	0.102	3.32)	
Democrat	0.003	0.242	0.991	1.003	-0.117	0.246	0.635	1.124	
Age $(0 = youngest; 1 = oldest)$	-1.180	0.414	0.004	3.256	-0.003	0.442	0.994	1.003	
					1 10 48	0.004	0.000	2.107	
F 1	0.126	0.103	0.510	1.104	1.134 <sup>a</sup>	0.224	0.000	3.107	
Female	0.126	0.192	0.512	1.134	$0.565^{b}$	0.235	0.016	1.760	
	0.0108	0.240	0.061	1.012	0.168 <sup>c</sup>	0.352	0.634	1.183	
	$-0.012^{a}$	0.240	0.961	1.012	0.066 <sup>a</sup>	0.250	0.792	1.068	
Education (above median)	-0.251 <sup>b</sup>	0.232	0.279	1.286	-0.268 <sup>b</sup>	0.277	0.333	1.308	
	-0.858 <sup>c</sup>	0.364	0.023	2.358	-1.013 <sup>c</sup>	0.368	0.006	2.754	
Income (above median)	-0.658	0.187	0.001	1.930	-0.249	0.218	0.253	1.283	
meome (above median)	-0.036	0.107	0.001	1.930	-0.249	0.216	0.233	1.203	
	-0.363a	0.747	0.627	1.438	-1.780 <sup>a</sup>	0.760	0.019	5.927	
Constant	-1.296 <sup>b</sup>	0.747	0.083	3.654	-2.763 <sup>b</sup>	0.848	0.001	15.854	
	-2.305 <sup>c</sup>	0.814	0.005	10.023	-4.829 <sup>c</sup>	0.987	0.000	125.023	
F=8.925; $p(F)$ =0.000; $n$ =884 $F$ =7.989; $p(F)$ =0.000; $n$ =90									
Dependent variable coding: (1) strong opposition: (2) weak opposition: (3) weak support: (4) strong support for slavery reparations. For									

Dependent variable coding: (1) strong opposition; (2) weak opposition; (3) weak support; (4) strong support for slavery reparations. For variables that violate the proportional odds assumption: <sup>a</sup> Coefficient for any response more supportive than strong opposition. <sup>b</sup> Coefficient for supportive responses compared to opposing ones regardless of strength. <sup>c</sup> Coefficient for Strong support compared to any less supportive response. Significant IV coefficients shaded to facilitate interpretation; Impact is calculated as the odds of the absolute coefficient: e<sup>|coef|</sup>. Weighting by raking on gender, age group, Hispanic ethnicity, race, education, and income in 100 iterations. Missing Information imputed through Multiple Imputation in 10 iterations using Norm, Version 2.03