Verification and Validation of Pseudospectral Shock Fitted Simulations of Supersonic Flow over a Blunt Body

Gregory P. Brooks Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio

Joseph M. Powers University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana

42nd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting 6 January 2004, Reno, Nevada AIAA-2004-0655

Support: U.S. Air Force Palace Knight Program

Motivation

- Develop verified and validated high accuracy flow solver for Euler equations in space and time
 - verification: solving the equations "right"
 - validation: solving the right equations
- ultimate use for fundamental shock stability questions for inert and reactive flows, detonation shock dynamics, shape optimization

Review: Blunt Body Solutions

- Lin and Rubinov, J. Math. Phys., 1948
- Van Dyke, J. Aero/Space Sci., 1958
- Evans and Harlow, J. Aero. Sci., 1958
- Moretti and Abbett, AIAA J., 1966
- Kopriva, Zang, and Hussaini, AIAA J., 1991
- Kopriva, *CMAME*, 1999
- Brooks and Powers, J. Comp. Phys., 2004 (to appear)

Model: Euler Equations

- two-dimensional
- axisymmetric
- inviscid
- calorically perfect ideal gas

Model: Euler Equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} + \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{u}{r} \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \gamma p \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{u}{r} \right) = 0$$

Model: Secondary Equations

$$\omega_{\theta} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}$$

$$\frac{d\omega_{\theta}}{dt} = \frac{\omega_{\theta}}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right) + \omega_{\theta} \frac{u}{r}$$

$$T = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p}{\rho}, \quad s = \ln\left(\frac{p}{\rho^{\gamma}}\right), \qquad \frac{ds}{dt} = 0$$

$$H_o = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \left(u^2 + w^2 \right) = constant$$





Outline: Pseudospectral Solution Procedure

- Define collocation points in computational space.
- Approximate all continuous functions and their spatial derivatives with Lagrange interpolating polynomials, which have global support for high spatial accuracy.

• PDEs $\xrightarrow{spatial \ discretization}$ DAEs $\xrightarrow{algebra}$ ODEs.

• Cast ODEs as
$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$$
.

• Solve ODEs using high accuracy solver LSODA.

Taylor-Maccoll: Flow over a Sharp-Nose Cone



- Similarity solution
 available for flow over
 a sharp cone
- Non-trivial post-shock flow field
- Ideal verification benchmark

Verification: Taylor-Maccoll Time-Relaxation



- $M_{\infty} = 3.5$
- $\bullet \ 5 \times 17 \ {\rm grid}$
- $t \to \infty$, error $\to 10^{-12}$

Verification: Taylor-Maccoll Spatial Resolution



- spectral convergence
- roundoff error realized at coarse resolution, 5×17
- \bullet run time $\sim 10^2~s;$ $800~MHz~{\rm machine}$







Verification: Blunt Body Pressure Coefficient

•
$$C_p = \frac{2p(\xi, 0, \tau) - 1}{\gamma M_\infty^2}$$



- Newtonian theory gives prediction in high Mach number limit
- comparison quantitatively excellent
- not global



Proof: Total Enthalpy is Constant

•
$$H_o \equiv \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \left(u^2 + w^2 \right)$$
 (definition)



- $H_o = \text{constant}$ on streamline as $t \to \infty$
- RH shock jump equations admit no change in H_o
- If H_o is spatially homogeneous before the shock, it will remain so after the shock; $H_o = constant$. QED.

Verification: Blunt Body Total Enthalpy



- H_o : a *true* constant
- deviation from freestream

value measures error

•
$$17 \times 9$$
, error $\sim 10^{-5}$

•
$$29 \times 15$$
, error $\sim 10^{-9}$

 good quantitative verification





Unsteady Problem: Acoustic Wave/Shock Interaction



• low-frequency

freestream input

disturbance

• low-amplitude,

high-frequency

response captured by

high accuracy method

• 33×17 grid; run time, 7.5 hrs.

Conclusions

- Pseudospectral method coupled with shock fitting gives solutions with high accuracy and spectral convergence rates in space for Euler equations.
- Standardized formulation of $\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ allows use of integration methods with high accuracy in time.
- Algorithm has been verified to 10^{-12} .
- Predictions have been validated to 10^{-2} .
- Discrepancy between prediction and experiment is not attributable to truncation error.

- Challenge to determine which factor (*e.g.* neglected physical mechanisms, inaccurate constitutive data, measurement error, *etc.*) best explains the remaining discrepancy between prediction and observation.
- Challenge also to exploit verification and validation for first order shock capturing methods, necessary for complex geometries.