Viscous Compaction Waves

Joseph M. Powers and Michael T. Cochran
University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana

SIAM Annual Meeting

New Orleans, Louisiana
12 July 2005

UNIVERSITY OF
NOTRE DAME

Compaction Wave Schematic



Introduction

- Heterogeneous energetic solids composed of $100\ \mu m$ crystals in plastic binder.
- Engineering length scales on the order of many cm.
- Macrobehavior (ignition, etc.) strongly linked to microstructure.
- Continuum mixture models with non-traditional constitutive theories needed to capture grain scale physics.

Review

- Gokhale and Krier, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*, 1982.
- Baer and Nunziato, *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 1986.
- Powers, Stewart, Krier, *Combust. Flame*, 1990.
- Saurel and Abgrall, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 1999.
- Bdzil, *et al. Phys. Fluids*, 1999, 2001.
- Gonthier and Powers, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 2000.
- Powers, *Phys. Fluids*, 2004.

Issues with Continuum Mixture Theories

- Well-posedness not always straightforward.
- Second law complicated.
- Shock jumps not clearly defined for non-conservative equations.
- Consequent numerical difficulties.

Inviscid Theory of Bdzil, *et al.*

- First theory to unambiguously satisfy the second law.
- Hyperbolic and well-posed for initial value problems.
- Fundamentally non-conservative.
- Some regularization needed for discontinuities.
- No viscous cutoff mechanism for multidimensional instabilities.
- Grid-dependent numerical viscosity problematic.

Viscous Extension

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_s \phi_s\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_s \phi_s \mathbf{u}_s\right) = \mathcal{C},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_g \phi_g\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_g \phi_g \mathbf{u}_g\right) = -\mathcal{C},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_s \phi_s \mathbf{u}_s\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_s \phi_s \mathbf{u}_s \mathbf{u}_s^T + \phi_s \left(p_s \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_s\right)\right) = \mathcal{M},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_g \phi_g \mathbf{u}_g\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_g \phi_g \mathbf{u}_g \mathbf{u}_g^T + \phi_g \left(p_g \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_g\right)\right) = -\mathcal{M},$$

Viscous Extension (cont.)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_s\phi_s\left(e_s+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_s\cdot\mathbf{u}_s\right)\right)$$
$$+\nabla\cdot\left(\rho_s\phi_s\mathbf{u}_s\left(e_s+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_s\cdot\mathbf{u}_s\right)+\phi_s\mathbf{u}_s\cdot(p_s\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_s)+\phi_s\mathbf{q}_s\right)=\mathcal{E},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_g\phi_g\left(e_g+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_g\cdot\mathbf{u}_g\right)\right)$$
$$+\nabla\cdot\left(\rho_g\phi_g\mathbf{u}_g\left(e_g+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_g\cdot\mathbf{u}_g\right)+\phi_g\mathbf{u}_g\cdot(p_g\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_g)+\phi_g\mathbf{q}_g\right)=-\mathcal{E},$$

$$\frac{\partial\rho_s}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot(\rho_s\mathbf{u}_s)=-\frac{\rho_s\mathcal{F}}{\phi_s},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_s\phi_s\eta_s+\rho_g\phi_g\eta_g\right)$$
$$+\nabla\cdot(\rho_s\phi_s\mathbf{u}_s\eta_s+\rho_g\phi_g\mathbf{u}_g\eta_g)\geq-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\phi_s\mathbf{q}_s}{T_s}+\frac{\phi_g\mathbf{q}_g}{T_g}\right).$$

Constitutive Equations

$$\phi_g + \phi_s = 1,$$

$$\psi_s = \hat{\psi}_s(\rho_s, T_s) + B(\phi_s), \qquad \psi_g = \psi_g(\rho_g, T_g),$$

$$p_s = \rho_s^2 \left.\frac{\partial \psi_s}{\partial \rho_s}\right|_{T_s, \phi_s}, \qquad p_g = \rho_g^2 \left.\frac{\partial \psi_g}{\partial \rho_g}\right|_{T_g},$$

$$\eta_s = -\left.\frac{\partial \psi_s}{\partial T_s}\right|_{\rho_s, \phi_s}, \qquad \eta_g = -\left.\frac{\partial \psi_g}{\partial T_g}\right|_{\rho_g},$$

$$\beta_s = \rho_s \phi_s \left.\frac{\partial \psi_s}{\partial \phi_s}\right|_{\rho_s, T_s},$$

$$e_s = \psi_s + T_s \eta_s, \qquad e_g = \psi_g + T_g \eta_g,$$

Constitutive Equations (cont.)

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_s = 2\mu_s \left(\frac{(\nabla \mathbf{u}_s)^T + \nabla \mathbf{u}_s}{2} - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s)\mathbf{I} \right),$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_g = 2\mu_s \left(\frac{(\nabla \mathbf{u}_g)^T + \nabla \mathbf{u}_g}{2} - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_g)\mathbf{I} \right),$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = -k_s \nabla T_s, \qquad \mathbf{q}_g = -k_g \nabla T_g,$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\rho_s, \rho_g, T_s, T_g, \phi_s),$$

$$\mathcal{M} = p_g \nabla \phi_s - \delta(\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_g) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{u}_g)\mathcal{C},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}(T_g - T_s) - p_g \mathcal{F} + \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \mathcal{M} + \left(e_s - \frac{\mathbf{u}_s \cdot \mathbf{u}_s}{2} \right) \mathcal{C},$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\phi_s \phi_g}{\mu_c}(p_s - \beta_s - p_g).$$

Equations of State

Modified Tait equation for solid (correction courtesy D. W. Schwendeman)

$$\psi_s(\rho_s, T_s, \phi_s) = c_{vs} T_s \left(1 - \ln\left(\frac{T_s}{T_{s0}}\right) + (\gamma_s - 1)\ln\left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_{s0}}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma_s}\frac{\rho_{s0}}{\rho_s}\varepsilon_s + q$$
$$+ \frac{(p_{s0} - p_{g0})(2 - \phi_{s0})^2}{\rho_{s0}\phi_{s0}\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi_{s0}}\right)} \ln\left(\left(\frac{2-\phi_{s0}}{2-\phi_s}\right)\frac{(1-\phi_s)^{\frac{1-\phi_s}{2-\phi_s}}}{(1-\phi_{s0})^{\frac{1-\phi_{s0}}{2-\phi_{s0}}}}\right)$$

Virial equation for gas

$$\psi_g(\rho_g, T_g) = c_{vg} T_g \left(1 - \ln\left(\frac{T_g}{T_{g0}}\right) + (\gamma_g - 1)\left(\ln\left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_{g0}}\right) + b_g(\rho_g - \rho_{g0})\right)\right)$$

Viscous Dissipation Function

$$\Phi_s = 2\mu_s \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}_s + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_s)^T}{2}}_{\text{strain rate}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s)}_{\text{mean strain rate}} \mathbf{I} \right)}_{\text{deviatoric strain rate}} : \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}_s + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_s)^T}{2}}_{\text{strain rate}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s)}_{\text{mean strain rate}} \mathbf{I} \right)}_{\text{deviatoric strain rate}} .$$

- similar expression for Φ_g.

Dissipation: Clausius-Duhem Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
I \equiv (-\mathcal{C}) \left(\frac{\beta_s}{\rho_s T_s} + \frac{e_s - e_g - p_g(1/\rho_g - 1/\rho_s)}{T_g} + \eta_g - \eta_s \right) \\
+\delta \frac{(\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_g) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_g)}{T_g} \\
+\mathcal{H} \frac{(T_g - T_s)^2}{T_g T_s} \\
+ \frac{\phi_s \phi_g}{\mu_c} \frac{(p_s - \beta_s - p_g)^2}{T_s} \\
+ \frac{\phi_s \Phi_s}{T_s} + \frac{\phi_g \Phi_g}{T_g} \\
+ \frac{k_s \phi_s \nabla T_s \cdot \nabla T_s}{T_s^2} + \frac{k_g \phi_g \nabla T_g \cdot \nabla T_g}{T_g^2} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Characteristics

- Three real characteristics u_s, u_s, u_g,
- Three associated eigenvectors,
- Not enough eigenvectors for eleven equations: parabolic,
- Eight additional conditions from boundary conditions on T_s, T_g, u_s, u_g.

Numerical Method

- One-Dimensional: Fortran 90 code
  - Second order central spatial discretization
  - High order implicit integration in time with DLSODE
- Two-Dimensional: FEMLAB software tool
  - Finite element method for the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{q}).$$

  - Unstructured mesh

1D Verification: Shock Tube





1D Verification: Piston-Driven Shock







1D Subsonic Piston-Driven Compaction









1D Dissipation: Subsonic Case



FEMLAB vs. F90 Verification: 1D Shock Tube





Narrow 2D Shock Tube vs. 1D Shock Tube



2D



1D

Small Energy Pulse: 2D Response



Solid Pressure

- small temperature perturbation
- radial pressure wave at ~ 2000 m/s
- reflection at wall

Large Energy Pulse: 2D Response



Conclusions

- Diffusion enables use of simple numerical techniques.
- Diffusion suppresses short wavelength instabilities, e.g. Kelvin-Helmholtz.
- Diffusion suppresses subgranular length scales.
- Compaction dominates the dissipation.
- Rigorous subscale physical justification for diffusion models presently lacking.
- Such justification necessary for a validated model.