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## Introduction

## Motivation and background

- Detailed kinetics are essential for accurate modeling of real systems.
- Reactive flow systems admit multi-scale solutions.
- Manifold methods provide a potential for computational saving.
- Slow invariant manifolds (SIMs) describe the asymptotic structure of reactive systems' invariant attracting trajectories.
- Current manifold construction methods either approximate the actual SIMs or require a close initial guess.


## Long-term objective

Create an efficient algorithm that reduces the computational cost for simulating reactive flows based on a reduction in the stiffness and dimension of the composition phase space.

Immediate objective
Construct 1-D SIMs for dynamical systems arising from modeling unsteady spatially homogenous closed reactive systems.

## Slow Invariant Manifold (SIM)

- The composition phase space for closed spatially homogeneous reactive system:

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{z}}{d t}=\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}), \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$




## Mathematical Model

For a mixture of mass $M$ confined in volume $V$ containing $N$ species composed of $L$ elements that undergo $J$ reversible reactions,

$$
\frac{d n_{i}}{d t}=V \sum_{j=1}^{J} \nu_{i j} r_{j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

where,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{j}=A_{j} T^{\beta_{j}} \exp \left(\frac{-E_{j}}{\bar{\Re} T}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{V}\right)^{\nu_{i j}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{K_{j}^{c}} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{V}\right)^{\nu_{i j}^{\prime \prime}}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, J, \\
K_{j}^{c}=\left(\frac{p^{o}}{\bar{\Re} T}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nu_{i j}} \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{\mu}_{i}^{o} \nu_{i j}}{\dddot{\Re} T}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, J .
\end{array}
$$

## System reduction

- In chemical reactions, atoms are conserved:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{l i} \nu_{i j} & =0, \quad l=1, \ldots, L, \quad j=1, \ldots, J \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{l i} n_{i}^{*} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{l i} n_{i}, \quad l=1, \ldots, L
\end{aligned}
$$

- Solutions of the following form exist,

$$
n_{i}=n_{i}^{*}+M \sum_{k=1}^{R} \mathcal{D}_{i k} z_{k}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

- The reactive system is recast as an autonomous dynamical system,

$$
\frac{d z_{k}}{d t}=f_{k}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{R}\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, R
$$

## Method of Construction

## Equilibria

- The construction method is based on identifying all the equilibria, and connecting relevant ones via heteroclinic orbits.
- For isothermal reactive systems, reaction rates depend on combinations of polynomials of $\mathbf{z}$.
- The set of equilibria of the full reaction network is complex: $\mathbf{z}^{e} \in \mathbb{C}^{R} \mid \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{z}^{e}\right)=\mathbf{0}$.
- This set contains finite and infinite equilibria.
- The system's equilibria can be positive dimensional continua.


## SIM construction

- A 1-D SIM has a maximum of two branches that connect two equilibria to the unique physical critical point (a sink) tangent to its slowest mode.
- These equilibria are identified by their special dynamical character: their eigenvalue spectrum contains only one unstable direction.
- Heteroclinic orbits are generated tangent to these special equilibria's unstable directions.
- Check first the finite equilibria, then the infinite ones.


## Projective space

- One-to-one mapping of the composition space, $\mathbb{R}^{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{k} & =\frac{1}{z_{k}}, \quad k \in\{1, \ldots, R\} \\
Z_{i} & =\frac{z_{i}}{z_{k}}, \quad i \neq k, \quad i=1, \ldots, R
\end{aligned}
$$

- This maps equilibria located at infinity into a finite domain.
- To deal with the time singularity, we add the transformation

$$
\frac{d t}{d \tau}=\left(Z_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{d}-1}
$$

where $d$ is the highest polynomial degree of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$.

## Computational strategy

- We use the Bertini ${ }^{\text {a }}$ software (based on a homotopy continuation numerical technique) to compute the system's equilibria up to any desired accuracy.
- Thermodynamic data is obtained from Chemkin-II.
- The SIM heteroclinic orbits are obtained by numerical integration of the species evolution equations using a computationally inexpensive scheme.
- Computation time is typically less than 1 minute on a 2.16 GHz MacBook Pro machine.
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## Zel'dovich Mechanism

- The mechanism consists of $J=2$ bimolecular reversible reactions involving $N=5$ species $\left\{N O, N, O, O_{2}, N_{2}\right\}$ and $L=2$ elements $\{N, O\}$.
- $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, so selected species are $i=\{1,2\}=\{N O, N\}$.
- The kinetic data are adopted from Baulch et al. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
- The system is spatially homogenous with isothermal and isochoric conditions, $T=4000 \mathrm{~K}, V=10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.
- Initial number of moles of all species are $\mathbf{n}^{*}=10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$.

[^1]Reactive system evolution


## Dynamical system formulation

- The evolution of the system is described by:

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2.51 \times 10^{2}+1.16 \times 10^{7} z_{2}+6.99 \times 10^{8} z_{2}^{2} \\
-9.98 \times 10^{4} z_{1}-3.22 \times 10^{9} z_{2} z_{1} \\
2.51 \times 10^{2}-1.17 \times 10^{7} z_{2}-6.98 \times 10^{8} z_{2}^{2} \\
+8.47 \times 10^{4} z_{1}-1.84 \times 10^{9} z_{2} z_{1}
\end{array}\right) \equiv \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})
$$

- Employ the projective space mapping with $\mathrm{d}=2$ and $k=1$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \\
Z_{1} \\
Z_{2}
\end{array}\right)=Z_{1}^{2} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1}^{-1} \\
-Z_{1} f_{1}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)-Z_{2} f_{1}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \equiv \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Z}),
$$

## System's equilibria

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1} \equiv\left(\mathbf{z}^{e}\right)=\left(-1.78 \times 10^{-5},-1.67 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{g}, \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(4.18 \times 10^{7}, 2.35 \times 10^{7}\right) 1 / s, \\
& R_{2} \equiv \quad\left(\mathbf{z}^{e}\right)=\left(-4.20 \times 10^{-3},-2.66 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{g}, \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(-4.64 \times 10^{6}, 7.11 \times 10^{5}\right) 1 / s, \\
& R_{3} \equiv\left(\mathbf{z}^{e}\right)=\left(3.05 \times 10^{-3}, 2.94 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{g}, \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(-1.73 \times 10^{7},-1.91 \times 10^{5}\right) 1 / \mathrm{s} . \\
& \underset{\sim}{I_{1} \equiv} \begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{Z}^{e}\right)=(0,0), \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(-1.84 \times 10^{9}, 0\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{s}^{2},
\end{aligned} \\
& I_{2} \equiv\left(\mathbf{Z}^{e}\right)=(0,1.01) \text {, } \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(2.54 \times 10^{9}, 1.12 \times 10^{9}\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, \\
& I_{3} \equiv\left(\mathbf{Z}^{e}\right)=(0,2.60), \\
& (\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\left(3.65 \times 10^{9},-2.90 \times 10^{9}\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{s}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The system's 1-D SIM



## Detailed Hydrogen-Air Mechanism

- Mechanism: $J=19$ reversible reactions involving $N=9$ species and $L=3$ elements. $R=6$, so that $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$.
- Kinetic model from Miller et al. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
- Closed and spatially homogenous system with isothermal and isochoric conditions at $T=1500 \mathrm{~K}$, and $V=10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.
- Stoichiometric mixture $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}+3.76 \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$.
- Selected species:

$$
i=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}=\left\{H_{2}, O_{2}, H, O, O H, H_{2} O\right\} .
$$

[^2]
## Reactive system evolution



## System's equilibria

- The system has 284 finite and 42 infinite equilibria.
- The set of finite equilibria contains 90 real and 186 complex $0-D$, one 1- $D$, one $2-D$, and six $3-D$ equilibria.
- The set of infinite equilibria contains 18 real and 18 complex $0-D$, and six 1-D equilibria.
- Only 14 critical points have an eigenvalue spectrum that contains only one unstable mode.
- Inside the physical domain there is a unique equilibrium:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{19}=(1.98 & \times 10^{-6}, 9.00 \times 10^{-7}, 1.72 \times 10^{-9}, \\
& \left.2.67 \times 10^{-10}, 3.66 \times 10^{-7}, 1.44 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3-D projection of the system's SIM



## Summary and Conclusions

- Once the difficult task of identifying all equilbria is complete, constructing the actual SIM is computationally efficient and algorithmically easy; thus, there is no need to identify it only approximately.
- Identifying all critical points, finite and infinite, plays a major role in the construction of the SIM.
- The construction procedure can be systematically extended to construct higher-dimensional SIMs.
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