Calculation of Slow Invariant Manifolds for Reactive Systems

Ashraf N. Al-Khateeb Joseph M. Powers Samuel Paolucci

Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering

Andrew J. Sommese

Jeffery A. Diller

Department of Mathematics

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana

 47^{th} AIAA Aerospace Science Meeting

Orlando, Florida

8 January 2009





Outline

- Introduction
- Slow Invariant Manifold (SIM)
- Method of Construction
- Illustration Using Model Problem
- Application to Hydrogen-Air Reactive System
- Summary

Introduction

Motivation and background

- Detailed kinetics are essential for accurate modeling of real systems.
- Reactive flow systems admit multi-scale solutions.
- Severe stiffness arises in detailed gas-phase kinetics modeling.
- Computational cost for reactive flow simulations increases with the spatio-temporal scales' range, the number of species, and the number of reactions.
- Manifold methods provide a potential for computational saving.

Partial review of manifold construction in reactive systems

- ILDM, CSP, and ICE-PIC are approximations of the system's slow invariant manifold.
- MEPT, RCCE, and similar methods are based on minimizing a thermodynamic potential function.
- Iterative methods may not converge.
- Davis and Skodje, 1999, present a technique to construct the 1-D SIM based on global phase analysis.
- Creta *et al.* and Giona *et al.*, 2006, extend the technique to slightly higher dimensional reactive systems.

Long-term objective

Create an efficient algorithm that reduces the computational cost for simulating reactive flows based on a reduction in the stiffness and dimension of the composition phase space.

Immediate objective

Construct 1-D SIMs for dynamical system arising from modeling unsteady spatially homogenous closed reactive systems.

Slow Invariant Manifold (SIM)

• The composition phase space for closed spatially homogeneous reactive system:





- An invariant manifold is defined as a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-L-Q}$ if for any solution $\mathbf{z}(t)$, $\mathbf{z}(t_0) \in S$, implies that for any $t_f > t_0$, $\mathbf{z}(t) \in S$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_f]$.
- Not all invariant manifolds are attracting.
- SIMs describe the asymptotic structure of the invariant attracting trajectories.
- Attractiveness of a SIM increases as the system's stiffness increases.
- On a SIM, only slow modes are active.
- SIMs can be constructed by identifying all critical points, finite and infinite, and connecting relevant ones via heteroclinic orbits.

Mathematical Model

For a mixture of mass m confined in volume V containing N species composed of L elements that undergo J reversible reactions,

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = V\dot{\omega}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where,

$$\dot{\omega}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \nu_{ij} \mathsf{k}_{j} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{n_{i}}{V} \right)^{\nu_{ij}'} - \frac{1}{K_{j}^{c}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{n_{i}}{V} \right)^{\nu_{ij}'} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$\mathsf{k}_{j} = A_{j} T^{\beta_{j}} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{j}}{\Re T} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, J,$$

$$K_{j}^{c} = \left(\frac{p^{o}}{\Re T} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nu_{ij}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{\mu}_{i}^{o} \nu_{ij}}{\Re T} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, J.$$

System reduction

 In chemical reactions, the total number of moles of each element is conserved,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{li} n_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{li} n_i, \quad l = 1, \dots, L.$$

- Additional Q constraints can arise in special cases.
- The reactive system is recast as an autonomous dynamical system,

$$\frac{dz_i}{dt} = f_i (z_1, \dots, z_{N-L-Q}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N - L - Q,$$

where,

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{n}) \mid \mathcal{L}: \left(\mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{N-L-Q}\right)$$

Method of Construction

- For isothermal reactive systems, reaction speeds depend on combinations of polynomials of z.
- The set of equilibria of the full reaction network is complex: $\{\mathbf{z}^e \in \mathbb{C}^{N-L-Q} | \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}^e) = \mathbf{0} \}.$
- The set consists of several different dimensional components and contains finite and infinite equilibria.
- A 1-D SIM has a maximum of two branches that connect the unique physical critical point (a sink) to two equilibria.
- These equilibria are identified by their special dynamical character: their eigenvalue spectrum typically contains only one unstable direction.

Sketch of SIM construction



Projective space

• One-to-one mapping of the composition space, $\mathbb{R}^{N-L-Q} \to \mathbb{R}^{N-L-Q}$,

$$Z_{k} = \frac{1}{z_{k}}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, N - L - Q\},$$
$$Z_{i} = \frac{z_{i}}{z_{k}}, \quad i \neq k, \quad i = 1, \dots, N - L - Q$$

- This maps equilibria located at infinity into a finite domain.
- To deal with the time singularity, we add the transformation

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \left(Z_k\right)^{\mathbf{d}-1},\,$$

where d is the highest polynomial degree of f(z).

Computational strategy

- We use the Bertini software (based on a homotopy continuation numerical technique) to compute the system's equilibria up to any desired accuracy.
- Thermodynamic data is obtained from Chemkin-II.
- The SIM heteroclinic orbits are obtained by numerical integration of the species evolution equations using a computationally inexpensive scheme.
- Computation time is typically less than 1 minute on a 2.16~GHz Mac Pro machine.

Simple Hydrogen-Oxygen Mechanism

- The kinetic model is adopted from Michael, 1992, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 18(4), p. 327.
- The mechanism consists of J = 8 bimolecular elementary reactions involving N = 6 species $\{H, H_2, O, O_2, OH, H_2O\}$ and L = 2 elements $\{H, O\}$. In addition, since the total number of moles is constant, Q = 1. Subsequently, $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- The system is spatially homogenous with isothermal and isochoric conditions, $T = 1200 \ K, V = 10^3 \ cm^3$.
- Selected species are $i = \{1, 2, 3\} = \{H_2, O, O_2\}.$
- Initial number of moles of all species are $n_i^* = 10^{-3} mol$.



Dynamical system

$$\frac{dz_1}{dt} = 3.45 \times 10^4 - 1.68 \times 10^{11} z_1 - 3.47 \times 10^{16} z_1^2 \\
-1.35 \times 10^{10} z_2 + 6.27 \times 10^{16} z_1 z_2 + 1.40 \times 10^{10} z_3 \\
+1.11 \times 10^{17} z_1 z_3 - 1.35 \times 10^{16} z_2 z_3 - 2.04 \times 10^{16} z_3^2, \\
\frac{dz_2}{dt} = 7.69 \times 10^5 + 2.66 \times 10^{11} z_1 + 2.25 \times 10^{16} z_1^2 \\
-1.29 \times 10^{12} z_2 - 2.47 \times 10^{17} z_1 z_2 \\
+3.91 \times 10^{17} z_2^2 - 8.66 \times 10^{11} z_3 - 1.51 \times 10^{17} z_1 z_3 \\
7.49 \times 10^{17} z_2 z_3 + 2.46 \times 10^{17} z_3^2, \\
\frac{dz_3}{dt} = 6.84 \times 10^{11} z_2 + 1.37 \times 10^{17} z_1 z_2 - 2.74 \times 10^{17} z_2^2 \\
-4.10 \times 10^{17} z_2 z_3 - 2.24 \times 10^{15} z_3 (10^{-6} - z_1 + z_3), \\
\end{cases}$$

Finite equilibria

$$\begin{split} R_1 &\equiv (z_1^e, z_2^e, z_3^e) &= (-5.84 \times 10^{-2}, 6.85 \times 10^{-4}, -3.52 \times 10^{-4}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (5.93 \times 10^6 \pm i5.10 \times 10^5, -1.18 \times 10^6) \ 1/s, \\ R_2 &\equiv (z_1^e, z_2^e, z_3^e) &= (4.65 \times 10^{-2}, 0, 3.49 \times 10^{-2}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (-1.01 \times 10^7, -3.35 \times 10^6, 7.93 \times 10^5) \ 1/s, \\ R_3 &\equiv (z_1^e, z_2^e, z_3^e) &= (3.73 \times 10^{-3}, 6.32 \times 10^{-3}, 1.61 \times 10^{-2}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (-1.02 \times 10^7, -1.23 \times 10^6, -4.30 \times 10^5) \ 1/s, \\ R_4 &\equiv (z_1^e, z_2^e, z_3^e) &= (6.33 \times 10^{-3}, -1.86 \times 10^{-3}, 2.49 \times 10^{-2}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (1.28 \times 10^{-3}, -5.98 \times 10^{-2}, 6.00 \times 10^{-2}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (5.65 \times 10^7, 3.56 \times 10^6, -1.06 \times 10^4) \ 1/s, \\ R_6 &\equiv (z_1^e, z_2^e, z_3^e) &= (1.43 \times 10^{-3}, -7.58 \times 10^{-2}, 7.08 \times 10^{-2}) \ mol/g, \\ &(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= (7.19 \times 10^7, 4.47 \times 10^6, 1.05 \times 10^4) \ 1/s. \end{split}$$

Infinite equilibria

• Employ the projective space mapping with d = 2 and k = 2:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{pmatrix} = Z_2^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Z_1^{-1} \\ f_1(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) - Z_1 f_2(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \\ -Z_2 f_2(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \\ f_3(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) - Z_3 f_2(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Z}),$$

$$I_{1} \equiv (Z_{1}^{e}, Z_{2}^{e}, Z_{3}^{e}) = (-9.77, 0, -4.59),$$

$$(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}) = (-5.74 \times 10^{12} \pm i7.83 \times 10^{12}, 6.10 \times 10^{12}),$$

$$I_{2} \equiv (Z_{1}^{e}, Z_{2}^{e}, Z_{3}^{e}) = (0.60, 0, -0.48),$$

$$(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}) = (-1.19 \times 10^{13}, 7.35 \times 10^{11}, 6.32 \times 10^{11}),$$

$$I_{3} \equiv (Z_{1}^{e}, Z_{2}^{e}, Z_{3}^{e}) = (-0.01, 0, -0.67),$$

$$(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}) = (-1.12 \times 10^{13}, -6.50 \times 10^{11}, 7.62 \times 10^{9}).$$



Detailed Hydrogen-Air Mechanism

- A kinetic model is adopted from Miller et al., 1982, Proc. Combust. Ins. 19, p. 181.
- The mechanism consists of J = 19 reversible reactions involving N = 9 species, L = 3 elements, and Q = 0, so that $z \in \mathbb{R}^6$.
- Closed and spatially homogenous system with isothermal and isochoric conditions at $T = 1500 \ K$, and $p^* = 10^7 \ dyne/cm^2$.
- Stoichiometric mixture $2H_2 + (O_2 + 3.76N_2)$.
- Selected species are

$$i = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} = \{H_2, O_2, H, O, OH, H_2O\}.$$

Reactive system evolution



System's equilibria

- $\bullet\,$ The system has 284 finite and 42 infinite equilibria.
- The set of finite equilibria contains 90 real and 186 complex 0-D, one 1-D, one 2-D, and six 3-D equilibria.
- The set of infinite equilibria contains 18 real and 18 complex 0-D, and six 1-D equilibria.
- Only 14 critical points have an eigenvalue spectrum that contains only one unstable direction.
- Inside the physical domain there is a unique equilibrium:

$$R_{19} = (1.98 \times 10^{-6}, 9.00 \times 10^{-7}, 1.72 \times 10^{-9},$$

 $2.67 \times 10^{-10}, 3.66 \times 10^{-7}, 1.44 \times 10^{-2}) mol/g.$



Summary and Conclusions

- Once the difficult task of identifying all equilbria is complete, constructing the actual SIM is computationally efficient and algorithmically easy; thus, there is no need to identify it only approximately.
- Identifying all critical points, finite and infinite, plays a major role in the construction of the SIM.
- The construction procedure can be systematically extended to construct higher-dimensional SIMs.

Simple Reactive System

$$\begin{array}{rccc} A+A &\rightleftharpoons & B & k^f = 1, k^b = 10^{-5}. \\ B &\rightleftharpoons & C & k^f = 10, k^b = 10^{-5}. \end{array}$$

- A reactive system adopted from D. Lebiedz, 2004, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120** (15), p. 6890.
- Model consists of J = 2 reversible reactions involving N = 3 species $\{c_A, c_B, c_C\}$
- Conservation of mass, $c_A + c_B + c_C = 1$, so that $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.
- Major species are $i = \{1, 2\} = \{A, B\}$,





Idealized Hydrogen-Oxygen

- Kinetic model adopted from Ren et al.^a
- Model consists of J = 6 reversible reactions involving N = 6 species $\{H_2, O, H_2O, H, OH, N_2\}$ and L = 3 elements $\{H, O, N\}$, with Q = 0, so that $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- Spatially homogenous with isothermal and isobaric conditions with $T = 3000 \ K, p_o = 1 \ atm$.
- Major species are $i = \{1, 2, 3\} = \{H_2, O, H_2O\}$,
- Initial conditions satisfying the element conservation constraints are identical to those presented by Ren *et al.*

^aZ. Ren, S. Pope, A. Vladimirsky, J. Guckenheimer, 2006, *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 114111.



