Model Reduction for Reaction-Diffusion Systems: Bifurcations in Slow Invariant Manifolds

Joshua D. Mengers Joseph M. Powers

Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering University of Notre Dame

50th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Nashville, Tennessee January 10, 2012



1 Motivation and background

2 Model

3 Oxygen dissociation reaction mechanism

4 Results

- Spatially homogeneous
- Reaction-diffusion

5 Conclusions

Motivation and Background

- Detailed kinetics are essential for accurate modeling of reactive systems
- Reactive systems induce a wide range of spatial and temporal scales, and subsequently severe stiffness occurs
- The spatial and temporal scales are coupled by the underlying physics of the problem
- Verification of a simulation's accuracy requires resolution of all scales
- The computational cost for reactive flow simulations increases with the range of scales present, the number of reactions and species, and the size of the spatial domain.
- Manifold methods provide a potential for computational savings.



"Research needs for future internal combustion engines," Physics Today, Nov. 2008, pp. 47–52.

Motivation and Background

- Manifold methods are typically spatially homogeneous, yet most engineering applications require spatial variation.
- Diffusion is often modeled with a correction to the spatially homogeneous methods in the long wavelength limit.



- However, for thin regions of flames, reaction is fast relative to diffusion, and the short wavelength limit is more appropriate.
- Al-Khateeb, et al. 2009, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, provides details on construction of spatially homogeneous SIMs.

Model a system of ${\cal N}$ species reacting in J reactions with diffusion in one spatial dimension

- Ideal mixture
- Ideal gases
- Isochoric
- Isothermal
- Negligible advection
- Single constant mass diffusivity

• Evolution of species

$$\rho \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial j_i^m}{\partial x} = \mathsf{M}_i \dot{\omega}_i(Y_n, T), \quad \text{for } i, n \in [1, N]$$

• Boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=\ell} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \in [1, N]$$

• Initial conditions

$$Y_i(x,t=0) = \tilde{Y}_i(x), \quad \text{for } i \in [1,N]$$

• Fick's law of diffusion

$$j_i^m = -\rho \mathcal{D} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x}, \quad \text{for } i \in [1, N]$$

• Ideal gas equation of state

$$P = \rho \bar{\Re} T \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i}$$

Constitutive Equations

• Molar production rate

$$\begin{split} \dot{\omega}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^J \nu_{ij} r_j, \quad \text{for } i \in [1, N] \\ r_j &= k_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\rho Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i} \right)^{\nu'_{ij}} - \frac{1}{K_j^c} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\rho Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i} \right)^{\nu''_{ij}} \right), \quad \text{for } j \in [1, J] \\ k_j &= a_j T^{\beta_j} \exp\left(\frac{-\bar{E}_j}{\bar{\Re}T} \right), \quad \text{for } j \in [1, J] \\ K_j^c &= \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{g}_i^o \nu_{ij}}{\bar{\Re}T} \right), \quad \text{for } j \in [1, J] \end{split}$$

• Certain linear combinations of molar production rate sum to zero,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{li} \frac{Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i} \right) = \mathcal{D} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{li} \frac{Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i} \right), \quad \text{for } l \in [1, L]$$

- Some evolution PDEs can be integrated to yield algebraic constraints if these quantities are
 - Initially spatially homogeneous, and
 - Not perturbed at the boundaries,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{li} \frac{Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{li} \frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\mathsf{M}_i}, \quad \text{for } l \in [1, L]$$

- The L algebraic constraints can be used to reduce N PDEs to N-L PDEs
- Transform to reduced variables: specific mole concentrations

$$z_i = \frac{Y_i}{\mathsf{M}_i}, \quad \text{for } i \in [1, N - L]$$

• Evolution of remaining L species are coupled to these reduced variables by the algebraic constraints

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\dot{\omega}_i(z_n, T)}{\rho} + \mathcal{D}\frac{\partial^2 z_i}{\partial x^2}, \quad \text{for } i, n \in [1, N - L]$$

• Assume a spectral decomposition

$$z_i(x,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z_{i,m}(t)\phi_m(x), \text{ for } i \in [1, N-L]$$

• Orthogonal basis functions, $\phi_m(x)$, are eigenfunctions of diffusive operator that match boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_m}{\partial x^2} = -\mu_m^2 \phi_m$$

• Complete orthogonal basis,

$$\phi_m(x) = \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{\ell}\right), \text{ for } m \in [0,\infty)$$

Galerkin Reduction to ODEs

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_{i,n} \phi_n \right) = \frac{\dot{\omega}_i \left(\sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} z_{\hat{i},\hat{n}} \phi_{\hat{n}} \right)}{\rho} + \mathcal{D} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_{i,n} \phi_n \right)$$

• Finite system of ODEs for amplitude evolution are recovered by taking the inner product with ϕ_m , and truncated at M

$$\frac{dz_{i,m}}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{\left\langle \phi_m, \dot{\omega}_i \left(\sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} z_{\hat{i},\hat{n}} \phi_{\hat{n}}\right) / \rho \right\rangle}{\left\langle \phi_m, \phi_m \right\rangle}}_{\dot{\Omega}_{i,m}} - \mathcal{D}\mu_m^2 z_{i,m}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{for } i \in [1, N-L],\\ \text{and } m \in [0, M] \end{array}$$

- Projection modifies reaction eigenvalues, $\lambda_{i,m} = \lambda_{0,m} \mathcal{D}\mu_m^2$
- Diffusion time scales defined as $\tau_{\mathcal{D},m} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_m^2 \mathcal{D}}$

Oxygen dissociation reaction:

$$O_2 + M \leftrightarrows O + O + M$$

- N = 2 species
- J = 1 reaction
- L = 1 constraint
- N L = 1 reduced variable $z = \frac{Y_O}{M_O}$

• Isochoric, $\rho = 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \ g/cm^2$

• Isothermal, $T = 5000 \ K$

For domain lengths small enough that diffusion is much faster than reaction

- Galerkin truncation at M = 0 is appropriate
- Spatially homogeneous system is recovered

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \underbrace{\left(249.8\frac{mol}{g\ s}\right) - \left(7.473 \times 10^4 \frac{g}{mol\ s}\right) z^2 - \left(1.724 \times 10^5 \frac{g^2}{mol^2\ s}\right) z^3}_{\dot{\Omega}}$$

SIM Construction

- Identify equilibria
- Characterize equilibria by eigenvalues of their Jacobian matrix (slopes)

$$\mathsf{J}_{ij} = \frac{\partial \dot{\Omega}_i}{\partial z_j}$$

• Reaction time scale is the reciprocal of the eigenvalue

$$\tau_{\mathcal{R}} = |\lambda|^{-1}$$

• SIM is a heteroclinic orbit from R₂ to R₁



- Use z to reconstruct mass fractions of O and O₂
- Only one time scale present $\tau_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 10^{-4} \ s$
- Time scale corresponds to reciprocal of equilibrium eigenvalue



Reaction-Diffusion System

For larger domain lengths where diffusion is not much faster than reaction

- Additional terms in Galerkin projection are retained
- We examine the truncation at M = 1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz_0}{dt} &= \left(249.8\frac{mol}{g\,s}\right) - \left(7.473 \times 10^4 \frac{g}{mol\ s}\right) \left(z_0^2 + \frac{z_1^2}{2}\right) \\ &- \left(1.724 \times 10^5 \frac{g^2}{mol^2\ s}\right) \left(z_0^3 + \frac{3z_0 z_1^2}{2}\right) \\ \frac{dz_1}{dt} &= -\left(7.473 \times 10^4 \frac{g}{mol\ s}\right) 2z_0 z_1 \\ &- \left(1.724 \times 10^5 \frac{g^2}{mol^2\ s}\right) \left(3z_0^2 z_1 + \frac{3z_1^3}{4}\right) - \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{D}}{\ell^2} z_1 \end{aligned}$$



- R_2 is a source, diffusion changes its stability
- Critical wavelength, ℓ_c , where stable diffusion time-scale is equal to unstable reaction time-scale

Poincaré Sphere

- Map variables into a space where infinity is on the unit circle
- We can see the dynamics of the entire system
- What changes occur in the SIM as we very ℓ ?



Poincaré Sphere

- Map variables into a space where infinity is on the unit circle
- We can see the dynamics of the entire system
- What changes occur in the SIM as we very ℓ ?



Poincaré Sphere

- Map variables into a space where infinity is on the unit circle
- We can see the dynamics of the entire system
- What changes occur in the SIM as we very ℓ ?



- The change is stability of the combined Fourier mode at the critical wavelength, ℓ_c , is indicative of a bifurcation
- Bold branches are saddles; dashed branch is source
- This bifurcation changes the starting point of the SIM
- Subsequently, the slow dynamics of the entire system are modified



- Use z_0 and z_1 to reconstruct spatial distributions of mass fractions of O and O_2
- For $\ell = 0.0334 \ cm < \ell_c$, diffusion is faster than reaction

• Difficult to segregate into reaction and diffusion contributions



Reaction-Diffusion Evolution

- Project spatial evolution of mass fractions onto Y - t plane to see diffusion time scale.
- Bold line is spatially homogeneous SIM
- Two time scales present:
 - $\tau_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 10^{-4} s$

•
$$\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\ell^2}{\pi^2 \mathcal{D}} \sim 10^{-5} s$$

• Slow dynamics change from reaction to diffusion at ℓ_c



Reaction-Diffusion Evolution

- Project spatial evolution of mass fractions onto Y - t plane to see diffusion time scale.
- Bold line is spatially homogeneous SIM
- Two time scales present:
 - $\tau_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 10^{-4} s$

•
$$\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\ell^2}{\pi^2 \mathcal{D}} \sim 10^{-4} s$$

• Slow dynamics change from reaction to diffusion at ℓ_c



Reaction-Diffusion Evolution

- Project spatial evolution of mass fractions onto Y - t plane to see diffusion time scale.
- Bold line is spatially homogeneous SIM
- Two time scales present:
 - $\tau_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 10^{-4} s$

•
$$\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\ell^2}{\pi^2 \mathcal{D}} \sim 10^{-3} s$$

• Slow dynamics change from reaction to diffusion at ℓ_c



- The SIM isolates the slowest dynamics, making it ideal for a reduction technique.
- For sufficiently short length scales, diffusion time scales are faster than reaction time scales, and the system dynamics are dominated by reaction.
- When lengths are near or above a critical length where the diffusion time scale is on the same order as reaction time scales, diffusion will play a more important role.
- In the limit of large length scales, a truncation at M = 1 is insufficient, and more terms are required to fully resolve the dynamics.

Acknowledgments



Partial support provided by NSF Grant No. CBET-0650843 and Notre Dame ACMS Department Fellowship

J. Powers (Notre Dame)

Bifurcations in SIMs