Asymptotics and Meta Distribution of the Signal-to-Interference Ratio in Wireless Networks Part I

#### Martin Haenggi

University of Notre Dame, IN, USA EPFL, Switzerland

2015 Simons Conference on Networks and Stochastic Geometry

May 2015

Work supported by U.S. NSF (CCF 1216407)

## Part I

#### Overview

- The Poisson bipolar model
- Joint success probabilities
- The meta distribution of the SIR
- Cellular models
- Homework

#### Poisson bipolar network with ALOHA random access

- Sources form a Poisson point process (PPP) Φ of intensity λ.
- Each source has a destination at distance r and transmits with probability p in each time slot.
- The SIR at receiver y is

$$\mathsf{SIR}_{y} \triangleq \frac{S(y)}{I(y)} = \frac{h_{zy}\ell(z-y)}{\sum_{x \in \Phi_{\mathrm{int}}} h_{xy}\ell(x-y)}.$$

- (*h<sub>xy</sub>*) are the fading random variables, and ℓ is the path loss function.
- Transmissions succeed if SIR  $> \theta$ .

# What is the SIR distribution (or reliability) $\mathbb{P}(SIR > \theta)$ of a representative link?

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

SIR Distributions (Part I)



#### The typical link

- To the PPP, add a (desired) transmitter at location z and a receiver at the origin o. The link z → o is the typical link.
- Letting Φ<sub>int</sub> = {x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ...} ⊂ Φ denote the locations of the interferers in a given time slot, the SIR at the typical receiver is

$$\mathsf{SIR} = rac{h\ell(z)}{\sum_{x\in\Phi_{\mathrm{int}}}h_x\ell(x)}.$$



We are interested in the SIR distribution (ccdf)  $\mathbb{P}(SIR > \theta)$ . For each realization of  $\Phi$ , it is the spatial average of the link success probabilities  $\mathbb{P}(SIR_y > \theta \mid \Phi)$ .

## SIR distribution for Rayleigh fading

## Laplace transform of the interference

Let  $\delta \triangleq 2/\alpha$  and sinc  $\delta \triangleq \sin(\pi\delta)/(\pi\delta)$ . For  $\ell(x) = ||x||^{-\alpha} = ||x||^{-2/\delta}$  and Rayleigh fading  $(h \sim \exp(1))$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{I}(s) = \exp\left(-\lambda p \pi \Gamma(1+\delta) \Gamma(1-\delta) s^{\delta}
ight) = \exp\left(-rac{\lambda p \pi s^{\delta}}{\operatorname{sinc} \delta}
ight), \quad \delta < 1.$$

#### Success probability/SIR ccdf

For Rayleigh fading,  $p_s(\theta) \equiv \mathcal{L}_I(\theta r^{\alpha})$  since<sup>a</sup>

$$p_{\mathsf{s}}( heta) = \mathbb{P}(hr^{-lpha} > I heta) = \mathbb{E}(e^{- heta r^{lpha} I}) = \exp\left(-rac{\lambda p \pi r^2 heta^{\delta}}{\operatorname{sinc} \delta}
ight) + \mathcal{E}(e^{- heta r^{lpha} I})$$

<sup>a</sup>Baccelli, Blaszczyszyn, and Mühlethaler, "An ALOHA Protocol for Multihop Mobile Wireless Networks". 2006.

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

SIR Distributions (Part I)

May 2015 5 / 38

## Power control and full-duplex operation

#### ALOHA performs optimum power control

Assumptions:

- A Poisson bipolar network with Rayleigh fading
- No information about the fading at the transmitter (no CSIT)
- There is a peak and an average power constraint.
- In each time slot, the transmitter chooses a transmit power randomly and independently from a distribution that satisfies both constraints.

In turns out that on/off power control is the optimum (memoryless) random power control strategy<sup>a</sup>.

So while ALOHA is suboptimum as a MAC scheme, it can be optimum as a power control scheme.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Zhang and Haenggi, "Random Power Control in Poisson Networks". 2012.

### Throughput gain with full-duplex links

The bipolar model is a natural model to explore the impact of full-duplex (FD) communication<sup>a</sup>. It turns out that:

• If the links can be used bi-directionally, the throughput

(density of active links)  $\times$  (success probability)

cannot be doubled due to the extra interference.

- Only if the links are not too long and self-interference can be cancelled almost perfectly, FD operation is beneficial. There is a threshold behavior—either all links should be operated in half-duplex or all should use FD.
- Even with perfect self-interference cancellation, the throughput gain does not exceed  $2\alpha/(\alpha + 2)$ , which is 4/3 for  $\alpha \le 4$ .

<sup>a</sup>Tong and Haenggi, "Throughput Analysis for Full-Duplex Wireless Networks with Imperfect Self-interference Cancellation". 2015, Subm.

#### Static network model

## Joint success probability

## SIR in three time slots



The network is static (nodes do not move).

SIR at the receiver in time slot k:

$$\mathsf{SIR}_k = \frac{h_k r^{-\alpha}}{\sum_{x \in \mathbf{\Phi}_k} h_{x,k} \|x\|^{-\alpha}}$$

Success event in slot k:  $S_k \triangleq {SIR_k > \theta}$ .

#### Interference powers are correlated

The interference power levels in different time slots are correlated (despite ALOHA and iid fading), since the interferers are chosen from the static set of nodes  $\Phi$ .

For Nakagami-m fading, where the fading coefficients are gamma distributed with pdf

$$f_h(x) = \frac{m^m x^{m-1} \exp(-mx)}{\Gamma(m)},$$

the temporal correlation coefficient of the interference is<sup>a</sup>

$$\zeta_t = p \frac{m}{m+1} \, .$$

As a consequence of the interference correlation, the success events  $S_k$  are also dependent.

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Ganti and Haenggi, "Spatial and Temporal Correlation of the Interference in ALOHA Ad Hoc Networks". 2009.

#### The joint success probability

Let  $S_k \triangleq \{SIR_k > \theta\}$  be the event that the transmission succeeds in time slot k. We would like to calculate  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 \cap S_2)$ . Letting  $\theta' = \theta r^{\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S_1 \cap S_2) &= \mathbb{P}(h_1 > \theta' I_1, \ h_2 > \theta' I_2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{-\theta' I_1} e^{-\theta' I_2}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\theta' \sum_{x \in \Phi} \|x\|^{-\alpha} (\mathbf{1}(x \in \Phi_1) h_{x,1} + \mathbf{1}(x \in \Phi_2) h_{x,2})\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{x \in \Phi} \left(\frac{p}{1 + \theta' \|x\|^{-\alpha}} + 1 - p\right)^2\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{1 + \theta' \|x\|^{-\alpha}} + 1 - p\right)^2\right] \mathrm{d}x\right). \end{split}$$

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

May 2015 10 / 38

э

(日) (周) (日) (日)

#### Joint success probability

This generalizes easily to

$$\mathbb{P}(S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_n) = \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{1 + \theta' \|x\|^{-\alpha}} + 1 - p\right)^n\right] \mathrm{d}x\right).$$

#### Theorem (Joint success probability)

Let  $\delta = 2/\alpha$ . The probability that a transmission over distance r succeeds n times in a row is<sup>a</sup>

$$p_s^{(n)}( heta) = e^{-\Delta D_n(p,\delta)}$$

where  $\Delta = \lambda \pi r^2 \theta^{\delta} \Gamma(1 + \delta) \Gamma(1 - \delta)$  and

$$D_n(p,\delta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{\delta-1}{k-1} p^k$$

is the diversity polynomial. It has order n in p and order n - 1 in  $\delta$ .

<sup>a</sup>Haenggi and Smarandache, "Diversity Polynomials for the Analysis of Temporal Correlations in Wireless Networks". 2013.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### The diversity polynomial

Joint success probability:  $p_s^{(n)}(\theta) = e^{-\Delta D_n(p,\delta)}$ .

$$egin{aligned} D_1(p,\delta) &= p \ D_2(p,\delta) &= 2p - (1-\delta)p^2 \ D_3(p,\delta) &= 3p - 3(1-\delta)p^2 + \ rac{1}{2}(1-\delta)(2-\delta)p^3 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

The term in p is np, which would be the result in the independent case. So  $p \rightarrow 0$  restores independence:

$$egin{aligned} D_n(m{p},\delta) &\sim nm{p}, \ m{p} o 0. \end{aligned}$$
 The same holds for  $\delta o 1 \ (lpha \downarrow 2)$ 



#### The diversity polynomial

- For small *p*, the first term dominates, and the transmission success is only weakly correlated.
- If δ ↑ 1, the success events become independent, but Δ ↑ ∞.
- If  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , the correlation is largest, but  $\Delta \downarrow \lambda \pi r^2$ .
- If  $\delta \downarrow 0$  and p = 1,  $D_n(1,0) = 1$  for all n, so the success events are fully correlated, i.e.,

$$p_s^{(1)} = p_s^{(2)} = \ldots = e^{-\Delta} = e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}$$

This is just the void probability.



(日) (同) (日) (日)

#### Conditional success and outage probabilities

Using the joint success probabilities, the conditional probabilities of success after n successes and after n failures immediately follow.



M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

SIR Distributions (Part I)

May 2015 15 / 38

#### Asymptotic probability of success in n transmissions

With some work it can be shown that the probability of succeeding at least once in n attempts is

$$p_{\mathsf{s}}^{1|n} = 1 - \Delta p^n rac{\Gamma(n-\delta)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(1-\delta)} + O(\Delta^2), \quad \Delta o 0.$$

It follows that  $p_o^{(n)} = 1 - p_s^{1|n} = \Delta C + O(\Delta^2)$  for some C > 0 that does not depend on  $\Delta$ . Hence the diversity gain is

$$d = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} \frac{\log(\Delta(C + O(\Delta)))}{\log \Delta} = 1.$$

So there is no temporal diversity gain—no matter how many attempts are made and no matter how small p is!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Local delay

## Local delay (first approach)

Since after a failure, the probability of success decreases, an interesting question is how long it takes to succeed.

Local delay: 
$$M \triangleq \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{S_k \text{ occurs}\}.$$

We have  $\mathbb{P}(M > n) = p_o^{(n)} = 1 - p_s^{1|n}$ , and the mean local delay can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}M = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(M > k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_o^{(k)}.$$
  
 $= \exp\left(\Delta rac{p}{(1-p)^{1-\delta}}
ight) \gg \exp(\Delta p).$ 

So for a deterministic link distance, the mean delay is finite for all p < 1, but much larger than in the independent case.

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

SIR Distributions (Part I)

#### Local delay (second approach)

Success events are conditionally independent given  $\Phi$ .

Hence, conditioned on  $\Phi$ , the local delay is geometric with parameter

$$p_{s}(\Phi) = \mathcal{L}_{I}(\theta r^{\alpha} \mid \Phi) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\theta r^{\alpha}I \mid \Phi)).$$

It follows that the mean local delay is<sup>a</sup>

$$D = \mathbb{E}_{\Phi}\left(rac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{I}( heta r^{lpha} \mid \Phi)}
ight) = \exp\left(rac{\Delta p}{(1-p)^{1-\delta}}
ight),$$

#### as before.

<sup>a</sup>Baccelli and Blaszczyszyn, "A New Phase Transition for Local Delays in MANETs". 2010.

## Mean local delay for nearest-neighbor communication With random link distance.

$$D = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( rac{p \lambda \pi R^2 heta^\delta}{\operatorname{\mathsf{sinc}}(\delta)(1-
ho)^{1-\delta}} 
ight)$$

In nearest-neighbor transmission, R is Rayleigh distributed, and there is "tension" between the decay of the Rayleigh tail and the  $exp(cR^2)$  shape of the mean local delay given R:

$$D = c \int_0^\infty r e^{-\xi_1 r^2} e^{\xi_2 r^2} dr = \frac{c}{2} \frac{1}{\xi_1 - \xi_2}, \quad \text{if} \quad \xi_1 > \xi_2.$$

As a result, there is a phase transition. The mean delay is infinite if p or  $\theta$ are too large.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Baccelli and Blaszczyszyn, "A New Phase Transition for Local DELAYS IN MANETS". 2010; HAENGGI, "THE LOCAL DELAY IN POISSON Networks", 2013.



• Static networks suffer from increased delay and sensitivity to *p*.

• Random frequency-hopping multiple access drastically reduces the delay variance compared to ALOHA.<sup>a</sup>

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Zhong, Zhang, and Haenggi, "Managing Interference Correlation through Random Medium Access". 2014.

## Meta distributions

### Back to link reliabilities

The success probability of the typical link is an average that provides limited information on the performance of an individual link.

For a realization of a Poisson bipolar network, attach to each link the probability

 $P_{s}(\theta) \triangleq P_{s}(SIR_{x} > \theta \mid \Phi, tx),$ 

which is taken is over the fading and ALOHA and conditioned on  $\Phi$  and on the partner node transmitting.

 $P_{\rm s}(\theta)$  is a random variable, and its distribution is the meta distribution of the SIR.



SIR Distributions (Part I)

#### Definition (Meta distribution)

We define the SIR meta distribution (ccdf) as<sup>a</sup>

$$ar{F}_{P_{\mathsf{s}}}(x) \triangleq \mathbb{P}^{!\mathsf{t}}(P_{\mathsf{s}}(\theta) > x), \quad x \in [0,1]$$

Due to the ergodicity of the PPP, the ccdf of  $P_{\rm s}$  can be alternatively written as the limit

$$\bar{F}_{P_{\mathsf{s}}}(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda p \pi r^2} \sum_{\substack{y \in \Phi \\ ||y|| < r}} \mathbf{1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{SIR}_{\tilde{y}} > \theta \mid \Phi) > x),$$

where  $\tilde{y}$  is the receiver of transmitter y.

Hence  $\overline{F}_{P_s}(x)$  denotes the fraction of links in the network (in each realization of the point process) that, when scheduled to transmit, exceeds an SIR of  $\theta$  with probability at least x.

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Haenggi, "The Meta Distribution of the SIR in Poisson Bipolar and Cellular Networks". 2015, arXiv.

#### Moments of $P_{s}(\theta)$

A direct calculation of  $\bar{F}_{P_s}$  seems unfeasible, so let us focus on the moments

$$M_b(\theta) \triangleq \mathbb{E}^{!t}(P_{\mathsf{s}}(\theta)^b) = \int_0^1 b x^{b-1} \bar{F}_{P_{\mathsf{s}}}(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

 $M_1$  is just the "standard" success probability  $p_s(\theta)$ .

For  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M_b(\theta) = \mathbb{E}^{!t}(P_{\mathsf{s}}(\mathsf{SIR} > \theta \mid \Phi)^b)$$

is a quantity that we have already calculated...

#### Moments of $P_{s}(\theta)$

A direct calculation of  $\bar{F}_{P_s}$  seems unfeasible, so let us focus on the moments

$$M_b(\theta) \triangleq \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{!t}}(P_{\mathrm{s}}(\theta)^b) = \int_0^1 b x^{b-1} \bar{F}_{P_{\mathrm{s}}}(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

 $M_1$  is just the "standard" success probability  $p_s(\theta)$ . For  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$M_b(\theta) = \mathbb{E}^{!t}(P_s(\mathsf{SIR} > \theta \mid \Phi)^b)$$

is a quantity that we have already calculated:

$$\begin{split} M_b(\theta) &= \mathbb{P}(S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_b) \\ &= \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{1 + \theta' \|x\|^{-\alpha}} + 1 - p\right)^b\right] \mathrm{d}x\right). \end{split}$$

Moments of  $P_{s}(\theta)$ 

So for  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M_b(\theta) = \mathbb{E}^{!t}(P_s(\mathsf{SIR} > \theta \mid \Phi)^b)$$

is the probability that the transmission succeeds b times in a row. For arbitrary  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ , generalizing the diversity polynomial to

$$D_b(p,\delta) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {b \choose k} {\delta-1 \choose k-1} p^k, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } p, \delta \in [0,1],$$

we have, with  $C \triangleq \lambda \pi r^2 \theta^{\delta} \Gamma(1-\delta)$ ,

$$M_b(\theta) = \exp\left(-C\Gamma(1+\delta)D_b(p,\delta)\right), \quad b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

 $D_b$  can be expressed using the Gaussian hypergeometric function  $_2F_1$  as

$$D_b(p,\delta) = pb_2F_1(1-b,1-\delta;2;p).$$

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

э

(日) (同) (日) (日)

#### Variance of $P_{s}(\theta)$

The variance of  $P_{s}(\theta)$  follows as<sup>a</sup>

var 
$$P_{\sf s}( heta) = M_1^2(M_1^{p(\delta-1)}-1).$$

Remarkably, fixing the transmitter density to  $\tau \triangleq \lambda p$  (and thus fixing  $M_1$ ) and letting  $p \to 0$ , we have var  $P_s \to 0$  and thus

$$\lim_{\substack{p \to 0 \\ \lambda p = \tau}} P_{\mathsf{s}}(\theta) = p_{\mathsf{s}}(\theta)$$

in mean square (and probability and distribution).

So in an ultra-dense network with very small transmit probability, the success probability of each link is identical.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Haenggi, "The Meta Distribution of the SIR in Poisson Bipolar and Cellular Networks". 2015, arXiv.

#### Bounds

For  $x \in [0,1]$ , the ccdf  $\overline{F}_{P_s}$  is bounded as

$$1-\frac{\mathbb{E}^{!t}((1-P_{\mathsf{s}}(\theta))^b)}{(1-x)^b}<\bar{F}_{P_{\mathsf{s}}}(x)\leq\frac{M_b}{x^b},\quad b>0$$

Illustrations for  $p_s = M_1 = 0.735$ :



M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

#### Exact expression

Since we know the moments for  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ , we can use the Gil-Pelaez theorem to obtain an exact expression for the meta distribution:

$$\bar{F}_{P_{s}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-C\Gamma(1+\delta)\Re(D_{jt})}\sin(t\log x + C\Gamma(1+\delta)\Im(D_{jt}))}{t} \mathrm{d}t.$$

This can be evaluated quite efficiently.

#### Approximation with beta distribution

The beta distribution with moments  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  provides an excellent approximation.

#### Example of meta distribution



M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

May 2015 29 / 38

< A

#### **Cross-sections**



 $\theta = -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15 \text{ dB}.$ 

x = 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9

Left: For a transmission at a certain rate, what fraction of links achieve reliability x? At  $\theta = 0$  dB, 80% of the links succeed 60% of the time.

Right: For a given fraction of links x, what  $\theta$  can be sustained? A target reliability of 90% is only achieved by 40% of the links for  $\theta = -5$  dB.

M. Haenggi (ND & EPFL)

SIR Distributions (Part I)

#### Discussion

- The meta distribution provides much more fine-grained information than the success probability of the typical link.
- It shows that stochastic geometry is not restricted to spatial averaging but can provide spatial distributions.
- In cellular networks, operators may be more interested in the performance of the "5% user" than the typical user. Using the meta distribution, we can answer questions such as "what spectral efficiency can be guaranteed with 90% probability for 95% of the users?"
- As in bipolar networks, the moments of the conditional success probability can be calculated in Poisson cellular networks.

(日) (周) (日) (日)

## From bipolar to cellular networks

## A generic cellular network (downlink)

- Base stations form a stationary point process and all transmit at equal power.
- Assume a user is located at *o*. Its serving base station is the nearest one (strongest on average).
- The other base stations are interferers (frequency reuse 1).



Differences to bipolar model: (1) No ALOHA; (2) random link distance; (3) no interferers within black disk.

Basic result for Poisson cellular networks

If the BS form a PPP and fading is Rayleigh,<sup>a</sup>

$$p_{s}(\theta) \triangleq \bar{F}_{SIR}(\theta) = \frac{1}{{}_{2}F_{1}(1, -\delta; 1-\delta; -\theta)}, \quad \delta \triangleq 2/\alpha$$

For 
$$lpha=$$
 4 ( $\delta=1/2$ ),  $p_{\sf s}( heta)=\left(1+\sqrt{ heta}\,{
m arctan}\,\sqrt{ heta}
ight)^{-1}$ 

This is obtained by conditioning on the link distance R, noting that the point process of interferers is a PPP on  $b(o, R)^c$ , and taking the expectation w.r.t. R, which is Rayleigh distributed.

The density and the transmit power do not matter.

<sup>a</sup>Andrews, Baccelli, and Ganti, "A Tractable Approach to Coverage and Rate in Cellular Networks". 2011. Moments of conditional success probability

The moments of the conditional success probability are<sup>a</sup>

$$M_b( heta) = rac{1}{{}_2F_1(b,-\delta;1-\delta;- heta)}.$$

<sup>a</sup>Zhang and Haenggi, "A Stochastic Geometry Analysis of Inter-cell Interference Coordination and Intra-cell Diversity". 2014.

#### Beyond the basic Poisson model

- The single-tier Poisson model can be extended to a multi-tier model consisting of independent PPPs.
- Extensions to other types of fading and non-Poisson models are difficult.

More on this topic tomorrow...

(日) (周) (日) (日)

#### Conclusions

- For Poisson bipolar networks, the joint success probability can be expressed using the diversity polynomial.
- Retransmissions do not provide diversity gain.
- The joint success probability is closely related to the local delay and the moments of the conditional success probability given the point process.
- The distribution of the conditional success probablity is termed meta distribution. It can be expressed in integral form and provides fine-grained information about the performance of individual links or users in cellular networks.
- Tomorrow we will focus on cellular networks and discuss an approximate analysis framework of the SIR distribution that is applicable for general base station processes.
- Now it is time for the homework assignment...

(日) (同) (日) (日)

## Homework problem

One-dimensional Point process with constant pair correlation Let  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $W_{int} = W \setminus \partial W$ . Find a point process on W such that

$$g(x,y) = 2 \quad \forall x,y \in W_{\text{int}}.$$

g is the pair correlation function, defined as

$$g(x,y) \triangleq \frac{\rho^{(2)}(x,y)}{\lambda(x)\lambda(y)},$$

and  $\rho^{(2)}$  is the second moment density, i.e., the density pertaining to the factorial second moment measure.

For the PPP, g(x, y) = 1.

- 4 同 1 - 4 日 1 - 4 日

## References I

J. G. Andrews, F. Baccelli, and R. K. Ganti, "A Tractable Approach to Coverage and Rate in Cellular Networks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 59.11 (Nov. 2011), pp. 3122–3134.

F. Baccelli and B. Blaszczyszyn, "A New Phase Transition for Local Delays in MANETs". In: *IEEE INFOCOM'10.* San Diego, CA, Mar. 2010.

F. Baccelli, B. Blaszczyszyn, and P. Mühlethaler, "An ALOHA Protocol for Multihop Mobile Wireless Networks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 52.2 (Feb. 2006), pp. 421–436.

- R. K. Ganti and M. Haenggi, "Spatial and Temporal Correlation of the Interference in ALOHA Ad Hoc Networks". In: IEEE Communications Letters 13.9 (Sept. 2009), pp. 631–633.
  - M. Haenggi, "The Local Delay in Poisson Networks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 59.3 (Mar. 2013), pp. 1788–1802.
  - M. Haenggi, "The Meta Distribution of the SIR in Poisson Bipolar and Cellular Networks". ArXiv, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01644. June 2015.

### References II

- M. Haenggi and R. Smarandache, "Diversity Polynomials for the Analysis of Temporal Correlations in Wireless Networks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* 12.11 (Nov. 2013), pp. 5940–5951.
- Z. Tong and M. Haenggi, "Throughput Analysis for Full-Duplex Wireless Networks with Imperfect Self-interference Cancellation". In: *IEEE Transactions on Communications* (2015). Submitted.
- X. Zhang and M. Haenggi, "A Stochastic Geometry Analysis of Inter-cell Interference Coordination and Intra-cell Diversity". In: *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* 13.12 (Dec. 2014), pp. 6655–6669.
- X. Zhang and M. Haenggi, "Random Power Control in Poisson Networks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 60.9 (Sept. 2012), pp. 2602–2611.
- Y. Zhong, W. Zhang, and M. Haenggi, "Managing Interference Correlation through Random Medium Access". In: *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* 13.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 928–941.