# Validated Solution of Initial Value Problems for ODEs with Interval Parameters

Youdong Lin and Mark A. Stadtherr Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA



2nd NSF Workshop on Reliable Engineering Computing, Georgia Tech University, Savannah, GA, February 22–24, 2006

### əniltuO

- Motivating Problem: Bioreactor Simulation
- Background
- Overview of Method
- Examples and Results
- Lotka-Volterra Problem
- Lorenz Problem
- Double Pendulum Problem
- Bioreactor Problem
- Concluding Remarks

### Motivating Example – Bioreactor Simulation

In a bioreactor, microbial growth may be described by

$$X(U\omega - \eta) = X$$

$$(X \not \neg \gamma - (S - {}_i S) d = S)$$

where X and S are concentrations of biomass and substrate, respectively.

The growth rate <a href="https://www.may.ueita.com">https://www.may.ueita.com</a>

JO

(wet pouod) 
$$rac{S+SM}{S^{m}\eta}=\eta$$

(well and the matrix (Haldane Law) 
$$\frac{2S_{I}N+S+R_{J}}{S_{m}\mu}=\mu$$

### Motivating Example – Bioreactor Simulation

#### Problem data

			I/S b	08.0	$^0S$
I/X ɓ	[0.82, 0.84]	$^{0}X$	I/S ɓ	۲.ð	$_{i}S$
<sup>1-</sup> (I\2 g)	[13.0 ,04.0]	${}^{I}\!X$	day <sup>-1</sup>	96.0	D
I/S ɓ	[11.7 ,00.7]	${}^{S}\!\mathcal{X}$	χ ϱ /S ϱ	10.53	Ą
day <sup>-1</sup>	[12.1,01.1]	$^{u\eta}$	-	9.0	$\mathcal{D}$
stinU	€value		stinU	9ulsV	

• Three parameters ( $\mu_m$ ,  $K_S$  and  $K_I$ ) and one initial state ( $X_0$ ) are uncertain and given by intervals.

- Problem: Determine a validated enclosure of all possible solutions to this
- Issue: Standard tools for validated solution of ODEs are designed to deal with interval-valued initial states, not interval-valued parameters.

#### Problem Definition

Consider

$$(\boldsymbol{ heta}, \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{t} = \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$$
  
 $(\boldsymbol{ heta}, \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{t} = \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ 

 $\Theta \ni \theta$ 

- $\mathbf{x} = \text{state vector}(\mathbf{m} \text{ variables})$
- (a) = barameter vector (p parameters)
- $\mathbf{x}_0 =$ interval enclosure of  $\mathbf{x}_0$
- $\Theta$  = interval enclosure of  $\Theta$
- Consider time steps  $h_j = t_{i+1} t_{i+1} = \lambda_j$  . O = 0
- Notation:  $\mathbf{x}(t;t_{j},\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{\theta})$  denotes a solution of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{\theta})$  for the initial condition  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{j}$  at  $t = t_{j}$  and  $\mathbf{x}(t;t_{j},\mathbf{X}_{j},\mathbf{\Theta})$  is the set of solutions  $\mathbf{x}(t;t_{j},\mathbf{X}_{j},\mathbf{\Theta}) = \{\mathbf{x}(t;t_{j},\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{\Theta}) \mid \mathbf{x}_{j} \in \mathbf{X}_{j},\mathbf{\Theta} \in \mathbf{\Theta}\}$
- Problem: Determine enclosures  $X_{j}$  of the state variables at each time  $t_{j}$ ,  $\tilde{l} = 1, \ldots, N$ , such that  $x(t_{j}; t_{0}, X_{0}, \Theta) \subseteq X_{j}$

### Background – Interval Taylor Series

• In an Taylor series expansion of  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  with respect to t, the coefficients can be obtained recursively in terms of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\theta})$  using

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{f}^{[1]} &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\theta}) \\ \mathbf{f}^{[1-1]} &= \frac{1}{i} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}^{[1-1]}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f} \right) (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\theta}), \quad i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

- Values of these coefficients can be easily generated using automatic differentiation techniques.
- For an interval Taylor series (ITS), the coefficients  $\mathbf{F}^{[i]}$  are interval enclosures of  $\mathbf{f}^{[i]}$ .

### Background – Taylor Models

• Taylor Model  $T_f = (p_f, R_f)$ : Bounds a function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  over  $\mathbf{X}$  using a q-th order Taylor polynomial  $p_f$  and an interval remainder bound  $R_f$ , usually from a truncated Taylor series.

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}_{f} = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} rac{1}{ii} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot igodot 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{P}\left[ oldsymbol{x}_{0} + (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) 
ight]^{i} &\mathcal{R}_{0} + (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) arepsilon^{i} 
ight] \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} = rac{1}{2} \left[ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot igodot 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{P}\left[ oldsymbol{x}_{0} + (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) arepsilon^{i} 
ight] \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} = rac{1}{2} \left[ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot igodot 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{P}\left[ oldsymbol{x}_{0} + (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) arepsilon^{i} 
ight] \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} = rac{1}{2} \left[ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{R}_{f} \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} = rac{1}{2} \left[ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{R}_{f} \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} = rac{1}{2} \left[ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \left[ (oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{x}_{0} 
ight]^{i} \mathcal{R}_{f} \ &\mathcal{R}_{f} \ &\mathcal$$

, where,

• Store and operate on coefficients of  $p_f$  only. Floating point errors are accumulated in  $R_f$ .

## Background – Taylor Model Operations

- $({}_{\varrho}\mathcal{A} \pm {}_{\ell}\mathcal{A}, {}_{\varrho}q \pm {}_{\ell}q) = ({}_{\varrho}\pm {}_{\ell}\mathcal{A}, {}_{\varrho}\pm {}_{\ell}q) = {}_{\varrho}\pm {}_{\ell}T$  $f \pm g \in (p_f, \mathcal{R}_f) \pm (p_g, \mathcal{R}_g) = (p_f \pm p_g, \mathcal{R}_f \pm \mathcal{R}_g) = f$
- Taylor model of  $f \times g$

• Taylor model of  $f \pm q$ 

$$f \times g \in (p_f, \mathcal{R}_f) \times (p_g, \mathcal{R}_g) + p_g \times \mathcal{R}_g + \mathcal{R}_f \times \mathcal{R}_g$$

Split  $p_f imes p_g$  into q-th order part  $p_{f imes q}$  and higher-order terms  $p_e$ . Then

$$(p_{f \times q}, R_{f \times q}, R_{f \times q}) = (p_{f \times q}, R_{f \times q})$$

 $\mathcal{R}_{f \times g} = \mathcal{B}(p_e) + \mathcal{B}(p_f) \times \mathcal{R}_g + \mathcal{B}(p_g) \times \mathcal{R}_f + \mathcal{R}_f \times \mathcal{R}_g$ 

. $\overline{q}$  noitont and no brund leviation interval bound on the function  $\overline{q}.$ 

Reciprocal operation and intrinsic functions can also be defined.

### Background – Interval IVPs

Consider standard ODE system (non-parametric)

 $(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{x}$ 

 ${}^0 oldsymbol{X} 
i 0 {oldsymbol{x}} = ({}^0 oldsymbol{t}) {oldsymbol{x}}$ 

- "Standard" approach (step  $\frac{1}{l} + 1$ ): Assuming  $oldsymbol{X}_{j}$  is known, then
- Phase 1: Compute a coarse enclosure  $X_j$  and prove existance and uniqueness. Use fixed point iteration with Picard operator using high-order interval Taylor series.
- Phase 2: Refine the coarse enclosure to obtain  $\mathbf{X}_{j+1}$ . Use high-order interval Taylor series with Taylor coefficients bounded using mean value theorem. Reduce wrapping effect using QR-factorization approach.
- Implementations include AWA and VNODE.

### Method for Parametric ODEs

Consider again parametric ODE system

 $oldsymbol{\Theta} 
i oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} = oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} = oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} oldsymbol{ heta} = oldsymbol{ heta} ol$ 

 To apply standard methods, can treat parameters as additional state variables with zero derivative (Lohner, 1988)

- Our method for parametric ODE system: Assuming  $old X_{oldsymbol{j}}$  is known, then
- Phase 1: Same as "standard" approach. Compute a coarse enclosure  $X_j$  and prove existance and uniqueness. Use fixed point iteration with Picard operator using high-order interval Taylor series.
- Phase 2: Refine the coarse enclosure to obtain  $\mathbf{X}_{j+1}$ . Use Taylor models in terms of the uncertain parameters and initial states.
- Implemented in VSPODE (Validating Solver for Parametric ODEs) (Lin and Stadtherr, 2005).

#### Method for Phase 2

• Represent uncertain initial states and parameters using Taylor models  $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}_0}$ and  $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ , with components

$$m, \dots, 1 = i$$
  $([0,0], ((0,X)m - 0,X) + (0,X)m) = {}_{0,x}T$ 

$$q_i, \cdots, 1 = i$$
  $([0,0], ((i\Theta)m - i\theta) + (i\Theta)m) = i\theta$ 

- Compute Taylor models  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{f}^{[i]}}$  for the interval Taylor series coefficients using
- Determine the remainder bound of  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}_{j+1}}$  by the mean value theorem and reduce the wrapping effect using a QR factorization approach, where the remainder is represented by  $R_{\mathbf{x}_{j+1}} = A_{j+1} \mathbf{V}_{j+1}$ .
- Compute the enclosure  $oldsymbol{X}_{j+1}=B(oldsymbol{T}_{oldsymbol{x}_{j+1}})$  by bounding over  $oldsymbol{X}_0$  and  $oldsymbol{\Theta}.$

### Examples and Results

- Computations done with Intel Pentium 4 3.2GHz CPU on a Linux workstation.
- For comparsions, VNODE was used, with interval parameters treated as

additional state variables

- VSPODE run using
- $ightarrow rac{q}{q} = rac{5}{6}$  (order of Taylor model)
- $\rightarrow k = 17$  (order of interval Taylor series)
- → ØВ
- VNODE run using
- $\rightarrow k = 17$  order interval Hermite-Obreschkoff
- → ØВ

e ODE model is

$$\dot{x}_{1} = \theta_{1}x_{1}(1 - x_{2})$$
$$\dot{x}_{2} = \theta_{2}x_{2}(x_{1} - 1)^{T}$$
$$\dot{x}_{0} = (1.2, 1.1)^{T}$$
$$\dot{\theta}_{1} \in [2.99, 3.01]$$
$$\dot{\theta}_{2} \in [0.99, 1.01]$$

- Integrate from  $t_0 = 0$  to  $t_N = 10$ .
- Constant step size of  $\hbar = 0.1$  used in both VSPODE and VNODE.



(8.1& = 1 is 30092 v for models (Eventual breakdown of VSPODE at 1

- To allow VNODE to integrate further:
- Parameters intervals can be subdivided into equal-sized subintervals.
- Apply VNODE to each parameter subinterval.
- Final enclosure is the union of enclosures determined from each

.lsv191nidu2

VNODE-NN indicates use of NN parameter subintervals.

	0.018362	[ 755468.0 ,876878.0 ]	
89.8	0.055882	[188471.1,000811.1]	∧NODE-100
	280610.0	[ 957468.0 ,129278.0 ]	
65.5	142720.0	[269271.1,121811.1]	∧NODE64
	889020.0	[ 219268.0 ,426478.0 ]	
3.14	180190.0	[ 1:116350, 1.177431 ]	∧NODE-38
	0.025879	[ 704868.0 ,828278.0 ]	
1.42	996170.0	[ 418281.1 ,038011.1 ]	NNODE−10
	774710.0	[ 174268.0 ,49878.0 ]	
65.0	0.052734	[ 709571.1 , 578021.1 ]	VSPODE
CPU time (s)	<b>Midth</b>	Final Enclosure ( $t=10$ )	bodteM

#### Example 2. Lorenz Problem

ei lebom ∃CO ●

$$\dot{x}_{1} = \theta_{1}(x_{2} - x_{1})$$
$$\dot{x}_{1} = \dot{x}_{1}(\theta_{2} - x_{3}) - x_{2}$$
$$\dot{x}_{2} = x_{1}(\theta_{2} - x_{3}) - x_{2}$$
$$\dot{x}_{3} = (10, 10, 10)^{T}$$
$$\theta_{1} \in 10 + [-0.01, 0.01]$$
$$\theta_{2} \in 28 + [-0.01, 0.01]$$

- Integrate from  $t_0 = 0$  to  $t_N = 2$ .
- Constant step size of  $\hbar = 0.01$  used in both VSPODE and VNODE.

### Example 2. Lorenz Problem



(Eventual breakdown of VSPODE at t = 2.8)

	1795.0	[ 14.502030, 14.869122 ]	
	1.0413	[ 710364, -0.036474	
1.632	076340	[ 951351.0- ,331077.0- ]	∧NODE-1000
	8829.0	[14.352124, 15.010891]	
	٦.5673	[ -1.321734, 0.245595 ]	
5.141	9196.0	[ 782140.0 ,481020.0- ]	VNODE-512
	12.0748	[ 9.031894, 21.106684 ]	
	18.8580	[110.060512, 8,797511]	
7.85	16.6514	[ 270889.7 ,355533.8- ]	VNODE-125
	7601.0	[ 14.633803, 14.737535 ]	
	0.4002	[ 73695.0- ,613697.0- ]	
2.66	7652.0	[ -0.582033, -0.342358 ]	VSPODE
CPU time (s)	<b>Midth</b>	Final Enclosure ( $t=2$ )	bodtaM

### Example 2. Lorenz Problem



• ODE model is

$$\begin{split} \dot{\omega}_{1} &= u \\ \dot{\omega}_{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \theta_{2} \\ \dot{\omega}_{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{$$

- Local acceleration of gravity  $g \in [9.79, 9.81]$  m/s<sup>2</sup>.
- This corresponds roughly to the variation in sea level g between 25° and 49°
   Iatitude (i.e. spanning the contiguous United States).
- Two cases for initial states:
- Relatively high energy:  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)_0 = 0(2\omega, 1\omega, 2\theta, 1\theta)$  :vgran Apin Vlavisela -
- Relatively low energy:  $( heta_1, heta_2,\omega_1,\omega_2)_0=(0,-0.25\pi,0,0)$
- Variable step size used in both VSPODE and VNODE.





Relatively low-energy case

#### Example 4. Bioreactor Problem

In a bioreactor, microbial growth may be described by

$$X(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{H}) = X$$

$$\dot{X}\eta\dot{A} - (S - {}_{i}S)G = \dot{S}$$

where X and S are concentrations of biomass and substrate, respectively.

The growth rate 
 May be given by

JO

(we'l ponom) 
$$rac{S+SM}{S^{m}\eta}=\eta$$

(well and the Hold matrix (Well and the Hold matrix 
$$\frac{cS_{I}X + S + cS_{M}}{S_{m}\mu} = \mu$$

### Example 4. Bioreactor Problem

eteb	Problem	•
------	---------	---

			I/S ɓ	08.0	$^0S$
I/X б	[0.82, 0.84]	$^{0}X$	I/S ɓ	۲.۵	$_{i}S$
<sup>1–</sup> (I/S g)	[13.0 ,04.0]	${}^{I}\!X$	day <sup>-1</sup>	96.0	D
I/S ɓ	[11.7 ,00.7]	${}^{S}\!\mathcal{M}$	χ ϱ /S ϱ	10.53	Ą
day <sup>-1</sup>	[12.1,01.1]	$^{u}\eta$	-	9 <sup>.</sup> 0	Ю
Units	Sule		stinU	Value	

- Integrate from  $t_0 = 0$  to  $t_N = 20$ .
- Constant step size of h = 0.1 used in both VSPODE and VNODE.

# X 6.0 ${}^{\text{ANODE}} X \rightarrow$ r.r S/X 2.1 н ۱.3<sup>|</sup> R<sup>ASPODE</sup> **NODE** 4.1 ۶.۲

Wanner 4. Bioreactor Problem – Monod Law

#### (VSPODE does not break down at longer t)

3L

50

ļ

10

S

0

8.0

CPU time (s)	Midth	Final Enclosure ( $t=20$ )	bodtaM
1.34	<b>†</b> 900.0	[ 0.8386, 0.8450 ]	<b>ASPODE</b>
	8620.0	[ 1.2423, 1.2721 ]	
9.89	0.0202	[ 0.8359, 0.8561 ]	∧NODE-343
	0.0505	[ 1.2309, 1.2814 ]	
8.201	0.0153	[ 8288.0 ,8758.0 ]	VNODE-512
	0.0436	[ 7972.1 ,1552.1 ]	
1.632	0.0122	[ 0.8380, 0.8502 ]	∧NODE-1000
	6760.0	[ 2572.1 ,0252.1 ]	

### Example 4. Bioreactor Problem – Monod Law





( $\vee$ SPODE does not break down at longer t)

### Related Problem – State and Parameter Estimation

- Consider again the bioreactor problem.
- Bounded-error (1%) measurements of S at  $t_j, j = 1, \ldots, N$  are available.
- . Estimate the other state variable X and the parameters  $\mu_m$ ,  $\overline{K}_S$  and  $\overline{K}_I$ .

New problem data	•
------------------	---

			I/S ɓ	[10.1, e0.0]  imes 8.0	$^0S$
I/X b	[0.4, ۱.2]	$^{0}X$	I/S b	۲.۶	$_{i}S$
<sup>1</sup> –(I/S b)	[10.0,25,0.01]	${}^{I}X$	day <sup>-1</sup>	95.0	Д
I/S ɓ	[8 '9]	${}^{S}\mathcal{M}$	Х р \2 р	10.53	Ą
day <sup>-1</sup>	[ħ.٢,0.٢]	шŊ	-	9.5	Ð
stinU	əulsV		stinU	Sula	

 Use VSPODE with constraint propagation procedure on Taylor models (Lin and Stadtherr, 2006).

## State Estimate



### Parameter Estimate



## Concluding Remarks

- The validated solution of parametric ODEs is a subproblem in many applications of interest.
- An approach was demonstrated for the direct handling of uncertainty in model
- parameters in the validated solution of ODEs.
- A standard two-phase approach was used
- The dependence on t was handled using an interval Taylor series
- approach, as in standard methods (e.g. VNODE).
- The dependence on parameters (and initial states) was handled using
   Taylor models in Phase 2 of the approach.
- Significant performance improvements were observed in comparison with
- enibnu∃ ●
- Indiana 21st Century Research & Technology Fund
- U. S. Department of Energy