

# Benefits of Correlated MIMO Schemes for Wideband Communication

Wenyi Zhang, and J. Nicholas Laneman<sup>1</sup>

Dept. of Electrical Engineering

Univ. of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

Email: {wzhang1, jnl}@nd.edu

## I. INTRODUCTION

We analyze a wideband non-coherent multi-antenna fading channel model in which the fading process exhibits both spatial and temporal correlation. By studying  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$ , the derivative of mutual information at zero signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), we find that significant gain in  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$  is achieved by increasing the number of transmit and receive antennas; moreover, the relative loss in  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$  due to using peak-limited signaling is also considerably reduced as channel correlation increases.

## II. RELATED WORK

Our work is motivated by [1], which reveals the fundamental dilemma for average power limited non-coherent channels: unbounded peak power and infinite bandwidth are necessary to achieve first order optimality. In this work, we sacrifice the goal of achieving capacity, and instead investigate the sub-capacity channel behavior.

In a recent work [2], the authors find the capacity per unit energy of scalar (single-antenna) fading channels under a peak power constraint. By extending the proof techniques in [2], we establish the optimality of  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$  for certain special multi-input-multi-output (MIMO) cases, whereas the most general case still remains unsolved.

Among other approaches to exploiting channel correlation, [3] uses flash training to facilitate channel estimation and coherent signaling, and establishes necessary conditions on how channel coherence needs to scale with SNR. By contrast, our scheme uses non-coherent on-off signaling, without explicit training.

## III. CHANNEL MODEL

We consider a MIMO channel with  $m$  transmit and  $n$  receive antennas. The fading matrix at time index  $k$  is modeled as  $\mathbf{H}(k) = \Sigma_T^{1/2} \mathbf{H}^0(k) \Sigma_R^{1/2}$ , where covariance matrices  $\Sigma_T$  and  $\Sigma_R$  characterize the spatial correlation at the transmit and receive sides, respectively.  $\mathbf{H}^0(k)$  has i.i.d.  $\mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$  entries. Furthermore, covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t^K$  characterizes the temporal correlation among  $K$  successive time indexes. The channel over a length- $K$  block can thus be written in vector form

$$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle \langle \mathbf{h} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

with fading vector  $\langle \mathbf{h} \rangle \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \Sigma_t^K \otimes \Sigma_R \otimes \Sigma_T)$  and additive noise vector  $\langle \mathbf{z} \rangle \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, I_{nK \times nK})$ . The channel input  $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$  is a block diagonal matrix with  $k$ th diagonal block  $I_{n \times n} \otimes \mathbf{s}^T(k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , where  $\mathbf{s}(k)$  is the vector input at time  $k$ .

## IV. MAIN RESULTS

1) Without peak power constraints on input signaling, the maximum achievable  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$  is

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\max}(0) = n \lambda_{\max}(\Sigma_T), \quad (2)$$

achieved when  $\{\mathbf{s}(k)\}_{k=1}^K$  are all along the eigen-direction of  $\lambda_{\max}(\Sigma_T)$ , the maximum eigenvalue of  $\Sigma_T$ ; if  $\{\mathbf{s}(k)\}_{k=1}^K$  are all restricted to be along  $[1, 1, \dots, 1]_{1 \times m}^T$  and to have equal power, *i.e.*, spatially/temporally flat, then

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{flat}}(0) = n \frac{\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}}{m}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}$  denotes the  $L_1$ -norm of  $\Sigma_T$ . Analytical and numerical results illustrate that the gap between (2) and (3) is small, if not vanishing, for many important practical situations. For example, (2) and (3) coincide if  $(\Sigma_T)_{ii} = 1$ ,  $(\Sigma_T)_{ij} = \rho \in [0, 1]$ ,  $i \neq j$ . In any case, both (2) and (3) indicate that there is a linear gain  $n$ , irrespective of  $\Sigma_R$ . Furthermore, as  $m$  increases or  $\Sigma_T$  exhibits more correlation (quantified by  $\lambda_{\max}(\Sigma_T)$  and  $\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}/m$ ),  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\max}(0)$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{flat}}(0)$  also increase.

2) Under finite peak power  $P$  per time index and spatially/temporally flat signaling,

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0) = \dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{flat}}(0) (1 - \mathcal{L}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \log \left( 1 + P \frac{\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}}{m} \lambda_i(\Sigma_R) \lambda_k(\Sigma_t^K) \right)}{P \frac{\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}}{m} nK}$$

quantifies the relative loss due to finite peak power  $P$ , and vanishes as  $P \rightarrow \infty$ . For fixed  $P$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  decreases if  $\Sigma_R$  or  $\Sigma_t^K$  exhibits “stronger” correlation, as quantified by the expression of  $\mathcal{L}$ . As an extreme example, for block fading model with block length  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{O}(\frac{\log K}{K})$ , *i.e.*, the effective peak power observed by the receiver is virtually amplified by a factor of  $K$  by exploiting the block structure. We also (analytically and numerically) evaluate  $\mathcal{L}$  for general Toeplitz correlation structures. Finally, we observe an additional virtual peak amplification factor of  $\|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}/m$  from transmitter correlation.

3) We generalize the result in [2] by showing that, if  $\lambda_{\max}(\Sigma_T) = \|\Sigma_T\|_{L_1}/m$ , *i.e.*, (2) and (3) coincide, and all  $\{\mathbf{s}(k)\}_{k=1}^K$  are restricted to be proportional, then (4) gives the maximum achievable  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}(0)$  under peak power  $P$ . This holds in the SIMO case. We conjecture that the condition of proportionality among  $\{\mathbf{s}(k)\}_{k=1}^K$  can actually be removed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Verdú, “Spectral Efficiency in the Wideband Regime”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1319-1343, June 2002.
- [2] V. Sethuraman, and B. Hajek, “Capacity per Unit Energy of Fading Channels with a Peak Constraint”, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Inform. Theory*, 2003.
- [3] L. Zheng, M. Médard, D. Tse, and C. Luo, “On Channel Coherence in the Low SNR Regime”, in *Proc. Allerton Conf.*, 2003.
- [4] W. Zhang, and J. N. Laneman, “Benefits of Correlated MIMO Schemes for Wideband Communication”, *in preparation*

<sup>1</sup>This work has been supported in part by the IN 21st Century Fund and by the NSF under grant ECS03-29766.