NRL Predictability Initiative and SAANGRIA

James D. Doyle¹

Materhorn Collaboration: Josh Hacker², and Materhorn Group NRL Initiative: S. Eckermann³, S. Gabersek¹, Q. Jiang¹, A. Reinecke¹, C. Reynolds¹ SAANGRIA Collaboration: D. Fritts⁴, R. Smith⁵, M. Taylor⁶

¹Naval Research Laboratory – Monterey, CA ²Naval Postgraduate School– Monterey, CA ³Naval Research Laboratory – Washington DC ⁴Northwest Research Associates, Colorado Research Associates Division, Boulder, CO ⁵Yale University, New Haven, CT ⁶Utah State University, Logan, UT

<u>Outline</u>

NRL Initiative and SAANGRIA T-REX Experiment and Dataset COAMPS Predictability Tools Research Focus for MURI

Mesoscale Predictability

Anthes et al. (1985) (and recently) argued that the predictability of many mesoscale phenomena can exceed that suggested by Lorenz if they are organized by the largescale (e.g., fronts) or controlled by external forcing (e.g., orography, land use...).



Questions

Are mesoscale circulations forced by the synoptic-scale or lower boundary (e.g.,terrain) endowed with enhanced predictability? If so, to what degree?
What are the characteristics of mesoscale predictability in such situations?
How do gravity waves influence the predictability?

Approach

- Use adjoints and ensembles to examine mesoscale predictability.
- Focus on initial condition sensitivity, perturbations growth, and scale interactions for a variety of mesoscale flows.
- Make use of field program datasets to test predictability hypotheses.

SAANGRIA

Southern Andes – ANtarctic Gravity-wave InitiAtive Pls: D. Fritts, R. Smith, J. Doyle, S. Eckermann, M. Taylor Observe Gravity Waves in Planetary "Hotspot" where they are intense, deep, persistent and generated by all of the major sources (mountains, cyclones, jets, convection)

> Gravity Wave Variances from MLS Aura at 32 km (Aug 2006)

SAANGRIA Experimental Design

10-week field program in austral winter ~June to September 2013



May Include Additional Aircraft (DLR Falcon, UK BAe146, European HALO)



Terrain-Induced Rotor Experiment

Objective: Explore rotor, mountain wave dynamics & interaction with BL
Included both mountain rotor and quiescent flow objectives
Sierra Nevada and Owens Valley (March-April 2004 & 2006 with 29 IOPs)
International effort [NCAR, DRI, NRL, DLR, NOAA, Leeds, Met Office, Universities (Yale, Stanford, Utah, Cal.-Berkeley, Washington, Houston)], Pls: V. Grubisic (DRI/NCAR/U. Vienna, NSF PI), J. Doyle (NRL)
Observational Assets: 3 aircraft, 3 lidars, radars, profilers, >130 obs







Terrain-Induced Rotor Experiment AMS T-REX Special Collection (35 papers, BAMS, MWR, JAS, JAMC, JTECH) **Observational, Theory, Modeling, Forecasting, Predictability**



(Manuscript received 11 July 2008, in final form 2 October 2008)

Rotors and Sub-Rotors during T-REX COAMPS Large Eddy Simulation



DLR Doppler Lidar Velocities



Very high-resolution models require high-fidelity observations
Models can guide our search for new fine-scale phenomena.





T-REX Model Intercomparison Mountain Wave and Thermally-Forced Flows

Mountain Wave Test Cases



Doyle et al. 2011

Thermally-Forced Flow Test Cases



New Dynamical Core Nonhydrostatic Unified Model for the Atmosphere (NUMA)

• Spectral Element Dynamical Core:

- High order accuracy
- Extremely scalable
- Mesoscale, Global options (w/ MPI)
- Semi-implicit solver
- Incorporation of physics underway







COAMPS Predictability Tools Multi-scale Ensemble and Adjoint Capabilities





COAMPS Adjoint

Multi-scale Adjoint Summer Example for Dugway



- 1 m s⁻¹, 1 K perturbations near lake, grow by 5 times in 12 h.
- Sensitivity is 200 times larger on the fine mesh.
- Winter cass shows greater sensitivity on coarse mesh (stronger flow).



Sensitivity (12 n) on the coarse mesh comparable to fine mesh.
Winter case shows greater sensitivity on coarse mesh (stronger flow).

COAMPS Observation Impact System Integration of Data Assimilation and Model Adjoints

COAMPS Impacts 12/24-31 2010

CONUS



Observations Impact Derived from Data Assimilation and Model Adjoints

13

Research Focus Predictability and Dynamics

- Quantify the predictability limits for terrain-influence mesoscale flows
 - terrain can both enhance and degrade predictability
 - weak vs. strong forcing (winter vs. summer; winds, fog, clouds)
- Quantify the observation impact for the mesoscale and use this information for observing network guidance
 - quantify conventional and nonconventionial observations impact as a function of data density (e.g., data spare regions)
- Gain insight into how gravity waves influence mesoscale predictability
- Compare and understand the strengths and weaknesses of adjoint and ensemble sensitivity approaches
- Continue to build the NRL predictability toolbox for COAMPS
- Collaborate with Matterhorn PIs and incorporate new technology into the Navy's COAMPS

