## Syllabus

## U.S. Foreign Policy FALL 2016 USEM POLS 13181-03 DeBartolo 143 T R 12:30-13:45

Professor Dan Lindley

Office: 448 Decio Hall; Phone: 574-631-3226; Email: dlindley "at" nd.edu

Website: http://www.nd.edu/~dlindley/

Office Hours: Wednesdays 12:30-14:00; Thursdays 14:00-15:30; and by appointment

What can be done to limit nuclear proliferation and reduce the chance of a nuclear detonation on U.S. territory? Would you send U.S. soldiers into war? If so, into which wars and for what reasons? How much is the global environment degrading and what can we do about it? Does trade help or hurt the U.S. economy and its citizens? Will there be a World War III? What can the U.S. do to prevent it?

This course is designed to help you answer these questions (and others) about the major problems facing U.S. policy-makers and citizens. To make wise decisions about problems, you have to: 1. know yourself; 2. know the problem; and 3. know your adversary (and others with influence on the problem).

Accordingly, we will study:

- 1. Several theories about foreign policy. In social science, theories are rough guides that help us identify patterns in history. This allows us to (roughly) diagnose problems, predict the future, and propose solutions.
- 2. The U.S. foreign policy process, including the President, Congress, the bureaucracy, the media, and public opinion. Theories and process constitute Part I of this syllabus.
- 3. The history of U.S. foreign policy, from Washington's farewell address through the World Wars and the Cold War to the Gulf War. This is Part II.
- 4. Several major issue areas, including weapons of mass destruction, trade and economics, and the environment. This is Part III. Forecasts and strategies for the future is Part IV.

Why take this course?

- 1. War, pollution, and starvation are perverse, shameful, and/or tragic. Thus, they are worthy of study and even fun to study.
- 2. It will help you be a better citizen by understanding more fully our major problems. Many of you will be leaders in your various fields and will assume positions of responsibility. The more you are aware and knowledgeable about our major problems, the more you may be able to save some lives, reduce pollution, or increase general prosperity.
- 3. It will help you professionally by teaching you to write, think, and speak more clearly and persuasively. Politics, bargaining, debate, and writing are part of any job and they are the heart of USFP's subject matter and assignments.

#### Requirements

First, please arrange to come to my office hours or make an appointment to visit sometime in the first two weeks of the semester.

The main assignments are:

- 1. 24 pages of writing, spaced over a number of assignments and culminating in a ten page paper. Grades will be weighted by length. Assignments will be handed out and discussed as the due dates near. They will range from op-ed style opinion papers of two pages in length, to theoretical explanations of historical events of 5 +/- pages, and a capstone 10 page paper that asks you to analyze and solve a policy problem. Typically, the op-ed is worth 10%, the 5 pagers are worth 15% each so 30% total, the 10 pager is worth 30%, and participation is worth 30%. Participation is difficult if you do not attend. Due Dates and assignment handouts will be announced and distributed according to our progress through the materials.
- 2. If I sense that the class has not learned enough, that class participation was sub-par, I will also assign a final exam.
- 3. Borderline course grades may be adjusted upward at the end of the semester for meritorious participation, delivery of a mini-lecture, and so forth. If you've done something wonderful and USFP related and you want to talk to the class about it, please let me know. Grades are never adjusted downward.

In addition, you must attend three USFP-related lecture/talk sponsored by one of the institutes or programs on campus. The speaker may be an outside scholar or practitioner or a local scholar or practitioner. GRADING: You must write a ½ - ¾ page (150 words or so) response paper for this talk and hand it in. A response paper gives your reactions to the talk, addresses issues raised, and so forth. For each talk not attended, you will lose 1 point on your final GPA for your assignments in the course.

There are several books to read and many readings on online reserves, although the books should be on reserve at the library. There are about 75 pages of reading per class meeting. (roughly 2100+ total pages assigned/28 class meetings – last I checked). The readings vary in amount and complexity by week. Be prepared for heavy reading during some sections. Heavy can mean sheer length and/or lots of small but conceptually distinct items. Learn to read efficiently. WHATEVER YOU DO, DO NOT GET CAUGHT IN THE MENTAL TRAP OF "IF I CAN NOT DO ALL OF THE READING, I WILL NOT DO ANY." Always do as much as you can. Some hints on reading efficiently are on the how to read handout. If after reviewing this syllabus, you wish to drop the course, please do so. Sooner is better than later. On the other hand, many of you reviewed the syllabus before choosing the course. Welcome!

The **Online Readings** are available via the library via the "Library Reserves" under "Quicklinks" at https://library.nd.edu/

Some of these readings have their own direct websites as well.

The books are available at the Hammes bookstore and they are:

Allison, Graham, and Zelikow, Philip, Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis, 2 ed., (New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, 1999)

Merrill, Dennis, J. and Thomas G. Paterson, *Major Problems in American Foreign Policy Volume II: Since 1914*, 7 ed. (Wadsworth, 2010) (or later).

Thomas G. Paterson, J. Garry Clifford, Shane J. Maddock, Deborah Kisatsky, and Kenneth J. Hagan, *American Foreign Relations, A History Since 1895*, Vol. 2, 7th ed. (Wadsworth, 2010)(or later).

In addition to the regular course readings, you are required to keep up with major USFP-related current events by regular reading of the *Washington Post* or the *New York Times* (see web sites below - many more fun ones on my website). Discussion of current events will be part of class and optional section meetings. Tests will ask current events questions.

## Grading

There is no curve. All excellent work will receive an A. All good and competent work will receive a B. Work with

some significant flaws will receive a C. Work with very significant flaws will receive a D along with recommendations or requirements to speak with the TA, the Professor, any special counseling and advising services, etc. as appropriate. Incompetent, negligent, or non-existent work will receive an F.

The TAs (if any) and I want you to do excellent work. We will try hard to explain assignments clearly ahead of time and otherwise do everything we can to help you do your best. For example, when the TAs grade papers they will pay particular attention to helping you write better and thus do better on your next assignment. TA review sessions are designed in large part to review class materials and to answer your questions about anything to do with the class. (NOTE: no TAs this semester)

Extensions: These may be granted for legitimate reasons, including illness of the student or in the student's family. Other reasons should be discussed well in advance with me or a TA. Flexibility may be possible ahead of time; very little is possible after the fact. Schedule and assignment pressures require planning by the student much more than they require flexibility from us. Most students have lots of work and commitments. We must be fair to those who do not ask for extensions and face similar or worse time pressures. Fait accomplis (turning something in late without permission) beat the alternative of not handing anything in, but they reflect poorly on the student and are insulting to us. Grades on fait accomplis and other avoidable late assignments will be adjusted accordingly.

Important Note: You can not pass the course unless you complete the two major writing assignments (the five and ten page papers) and take the midterm and final exams (if given). While the percentages indicate the weighting of each assignment, they assume completion of all major assignments. You can not pass if (for example) you have A's on all your assignments and then skip the final or fail to write an assigned paper. Failure to complete all the assignments is grounds for failure in the course, regardless of the percentages.

## Cheating

Do not cheat. Your work must be your own. In writing for political science courses, cheating is most likely to take the form of plagiarism. Plagiarism is when writers use other people's words or ideas and do not give them credit. Use footnotes or endnotes to give credit for direct quotes, paraphrased quotes, or borrowed ideas. I will explain how to use footnotes and endnotes in class, in section, and in handouts. If you don't know what footnotes or endnotes are...Ask! Do not copy other people's old papers. Do not use your own old papers or use work done for another course. Do not copy or buy papers or sections of papers from the web or other sources. Do not quote or paraphrase without giving credit in footnotes or endnotes. This is true regardless of source from Wikipedia to online articles to books and other print sources.

Your ideas, your arguments, and the vast majority of your text must be your own. Everything which is not your own must be noted. Penalties for cheating range include redoing work, lowered grades, course failure, letters on your permanent record, and expulsion. Every year, a number of students are not allowed to graduate because they cheated. That is a ~\$30,000 mistake. I will be furious and feel personally betrayed if anyone cheats in my class. As you may know, the University acquired an institutional license for Turnitin.com, the leading plagiarism detection service on the internet. If papers are suspected of having been plagiarized, I will use Turnitin.com as per the guidance provided by the University Honor Code Committee. For more information on cheating and its consequences, please consult the University Honor Code. Let me repeat: if you have any questions about how to use citations or about plagiarism, please ask!

You **MUST** use foot/endnotes when:

- you use other people's words or ideas in any way from direct quotes to paraphrasing to borrowed ideas.
- you include a fact that is not commonly known. Anything you had to look up must be cited.

There are several links that explain citations and how to use them, via this link, under Writing: <a href="http://www.nd.edu/~dlindley/handouts/handoutlinks.html">http://www.nd.edu/~dlindley/handouts/handoutlinks.html</a>

#### Cheating during tests.

All tests are closed book except as noted. You may not receive any form of information during **any** test from **any** electronic communications device/s, including but not limited to cell phones, smart phones, Ipods, computers, and so forth. All such devices must be turned off for the duration of any test. This is a courtesy to others as well as a preventive step. Please be forewarned that any appearance of violation of this policy will be presumed to be a violation of this policy.

Despite all this tough talk, which is most sincere, there are three other reasons not to cheat. First, it may alter grading curves and injure others by lowering the grades of students who did not cheat. While I do not have curves, that is nonetheless a possibility. Second, as student scholars, you are aspiring to learn how to be scholars. Scholars in the social sciences and far beyond use citations so that others can see how and how well they built their arguments and discovered new thought. Do not shortchange yourself. My writing assignments require you - believe it or not (!!) - to do independent research. If you view this as an invitation, you will flourish. And this is a serious business, so please use citations. Finally, you are paying a lot of money to learn critical reading, writing, and above all thinking and argumentational skills. Do you really want to short-change yourself? 1 Do you not want to learn what original thinking is? To come up with ideas that are your own, and develop arguments and deploy evidence to support them? I've worked hard to provide assignments that improve writing and allow you to explore your interests. If you are here to learn, do not cheat.

#### **Unprofessional Behavior**

Arriving late without good reason or making distracting noise in class (whispering, beepers, cell phones, etc.) is unprofessional and selfish. Such behavior bothers others who have paid money to concentrate and learn something in class.

Please do not play computer games, surf the web, check email, or do anything other than take notes with your computers and other electronic devices. If you must do these rude and distracting activities, please sit in the back row so as not to disturb the more serious consumers. If you are observed using electronic devices for purposes other than taking notes, I will be made grumpy by the sign of disrespect, I will wonder why you bothered to show up for class, and you will have to worry for the rest of the semester about unspecified retribution (read Arthur Clarke's *Childhood's End*; that's a threat that brought down governments). Note that papers and tests are graded without regard to personal histories, favorable or unfavorable. Fairness first and above all.

If you use the words 'like' and 'you know' (or other language ticks) excessively and when they add no meaning to what you are saying, you should know that many people consider those ticks unprofessional, casual, and sloppy. Take this opportunity to think about what you are saying and to refrain from excess use of such language.

I encourage you to be fairly formal in your interactions with me and the TAs. Unfortunately, I once had a hard time writing a stellar letter of recommendation for an otherwise good student because he/she was in the habit of starting his/her emails with "Hey Prof!" I had to mention this in the letter as a reservation because of the risk of sending this student before an interview board and having him/her come across as informal and flip. I take this business seriously and will reserve my maximum respect for those who share that sense of purpose (regardless of your feelings for me or the course).

#### Nature of Classes and Assignments

Stanley Fish, "The Ontology of Plagiarism: Part Two," *The New York Times*, August 16, 2010 at http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/08/16/the-ontology-of-plagiarism-part-two/?hp

Lectures: Among other things, these are designed to cover points not mentioned in the readings, to sew together disparate points in the readings, to provide background history to help you understand the readings, and to offer contending points of view about topics for your consideration. Sometimes I review the readings, sometimes I cover other things I think are important. The former is especially true for the more theoretical readings. I expect debate and discussion in class.

Attendance: By some definition, you are a paying customer and you can do what you wish with the product. Attendance is not required, but poor attendance and poor performance frequently go hand in hand. Especially as participation is 30% of the grade. You will miss much content (I hope) and cues as to what I think is important in the world and for class. You may miss pop quizzes. Students with good attendance in class and sections frequently do better. By one way of counting (tuition/fees/etc. divided by minutes in class assuming five classes – 60,000 /2; /5; /28; /75), you are paying \$2.86 per minute of class time, in good old days dollars. In my view, not attending class is a waste. If you do not plan on coming much, why not drop and spare us all?

Sections and review sessions: These are weekly optional meetings that may be held by the TAs to review course materials and to answer your questions about anything to do with the class. I view TAs and sections as resources to help you learn the most and do the best you can in USFP. I do not anticipate section in F 10, but the TA and I will have plenty of office hours, and are more than willing to make appointments as well.

Written Assignments: The five page paper asks you to explain a historical or current USFP event with reference to the theories presented in class and in the readings. The ten page paper will be a policy memo. You can select a USFP problem from a list to be handed out or a problem of your choice (with approval of Professor or your TA). You will propose a solution to the problem. The solution must take into account historical factors, must assess the technical/factual and political nature of the problem, and must grapple with such barriers to your solution as adversaries' policies, and difficulties (and opportunities) suggested by the theories we covered. The allies, friends, and theories may also suggest reasons why your solution would work. More on the written assignments will be handed out when appropriate.

Hopefully, NOT APPLICABLE for this term. Midterm and Final: these will have a number of quiz-type define and explain items for which you identify and explain the significance of terms/concepts/events/persons/etc. You will be given five minutes per item to write answers in an exam blue book. The Final Exam will also have several longer essay questions, and possible essay questions will be handed out before the final. Some of these questions will appear on the final. You may take notes on the list's pages and bring them to the final. No other pages or materials can be open or consulted during the final. In studying for the final, you are encouraged to form study groups so long as the end product reflects each student's original contribution. Indeed, students are encouraged to study together and to discuss the course all semester. However, all work, from written assignments to the final, must reflect each student's own individual effort and ideas.

All above assignments will be described in greater detail when the time comes.

## Email

I expect you to routinely check emails for listservs related to the course. I send out everything from newspaper stories, talk announcements, and campus events to information about assignments and exams to revisions to the syllabus. While I also cover much of this in class, missing an email is not an excuse for missing the information sent.

#### **Useful Web Sites**

To keep up with IR-related current events (as required for POLS 30201), you can read the US' top two newspapers on the web: the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post*. These are known as "newspapers of record." Some clicking around may be necessary to find all the relevant international, national, and sometimes business/economic news on tap at each site. See: <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/">http://www.nytimes.com/</a> and <a href="http://www.nytimes.co

I have designed my main website <a href="http://www.nd.edu/~dlindley/">http://www.nd.edu/~dlindley/</a> to make current events and international relations research fairly easy. Near the top of the page are links to various newspapers, journals, branches of government, international organizations, and various think tanks and non-governmental organizations. You may wish to bookmark the page for the duration of the course. I use it to click around and get my daily fix on news and views. You can reach the POLS 30201 website from my home page, and here you will find the syllabus, the assignments,, and the lecture outlines will be posted there before tests. Many useful (?!) handouts are also available via my home page (under HANDOUTS and ADVICE)

I welcome ideas for additions, corrections on dead links, etc.

# Class Outline, F 2016

	Class Outline, F 2016	1
Class Number & Date	Subject	DUE
Class 1, 8/23	Introduction	
Class 2, 8/25	More Introduction	
Class 3, 8/30	Theoretical Concepts	
Class 4, 9/1	Theoretical Concepts (APSA)	
Class 5, 9/6	Theoretical Concepts	
Class 6, 9/8	Theoretical Concepts	
Class 7, 9/13	The Policy Process and the Cuban Missile Crisis	f
Class 8, 9/15	The Policy Process and the Cuban Missile Crisis	
Class 9, 9/20	The Policy Process and the Cuban Missile Crisis	
Class 10, 9/22	The Policy Process and the Cuban Missile Crisis	
Class 11, 9/27	Catch up class	f
Class 12, 9/29	USFP History to World War I (WWI)	
Class 13, 10/4	World War I and World War II	
Class 14, 10/6	World War I and World War II	
Class 15, 10/11	Cold War	
Class 16, 10/13	Cold War	
Class 17, 10/25	Vietnam War	
Class 18, 10/27	Gulf War with emphasis on the role of the media	
Class 19, 11/1	Gulf War with emphasis on the role of the media	f
Class 20, 11/3	WMD, Terrorism, Proliferation, and Arms Control	
Class 21, 11/8	WMD, Terrorism, Proliferation, and Arms Control	
Class 22, 11/10	WMD, Terrorism, Proliferation, and Arms Control	
Class 23, 11/15	Economics: Trade, Aid, Development, Globalization	
Class 24, 11/17	Economics: Trade, Aid, Development, Globalization	
Class 25, 11/22	Ethnic Conflict, Humanitarian Intervention, and Peacekeeping	f
Class 26, 11/29	Ethnic Conflict, Humanitarian Intervention, and Peacekeeping	
Class 27, 12/1	Resources and the Environment	
Class 28, 12/6	The Future and What We Should Do About It	
Class 29, 12/8	The Future and What We Should Do About It	
12/19	Grades Due, 3:45	

## Part I: Introduction; Theoretical Concepts and Policy Process

#### **Class 1: Introduction**

Welcome to USFP! Introduce Professor and TAs. Review syllabus. Overview of themes of course. Start overview of USFP.

#### Class 2: Overview of USFP

What is a foreign policy? Does the U.S. have a foreign policy? What is important in the world? How can the U.S. promote its interests and/or improve the quality of life for its citizens and other people?

	- man map
Reading	gs
	President Barack Obama, <i>National Security Strategy</i> (Washington, D.C.: The White House, May 2010). This is the master strategy for U.S. foreign policy. It appears that we do have a foreign policy. What do you think? If you were spying on the U.S., what would you be able to tell your bosses after reading this document? http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/national_security_strategy.pdf
	"New World Coming: American Security in the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century: Major Themes and Implications" U.S. Commission on National Security/21st Century. [9, in online reserves or here: <a href="http://www.fas.org/man/docs/nwc/nwc.htm">http://www.fas.org/man/docs/nwc/nwc.htm</a> ] Note the first conclusion. These folks have received good press for being so prescient. Many of these sorts of reports were ignored until 9/11/01. Why? Human Nature? Bureaucratic politics? Wishful thinking? Any reasons that can be fixed? More reports by this Blue Ribbon commission at: <a href="http://www.fas.org/man/docs/nwc/">http://www.fas.org/man/docs/nwc/</a>
	National Intelligence Council, CIA, <i>Global Trends 2030: Alternative Worlds</i> , NIC 2012-001 December 2012, Executive Summary, pp. i-xiv. [via the website below or just google it] An amazingly comprehensive survey of future problems ranging from economic to environmental to security. http://www.dni.gov/index.php/about/organization/global-trends-2030
Classe	s 4-7: Theoretical Concepts
	re review some theories which help us analyze USFP. We will also discuss methodology in the social sciences. o we know what we know? How can we make arguments when the data are not always clear?
Some of	of these articles and arguments should be familiar from your intro IR Classes
	ver some systemic influences on foreign policy such as the security dilemma and balance of threat theory; some ve and decision-making theories, as well as collective action problems, two-level games, culture, and racism.
Readin	gs (214 pages)
	Robert Jervis, "Offense, Defense, and the Security Dilemma," in Robert J. Art and Robert Jervis, <i>International Politics</i> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> ed. (New York, 1992), pp. 146-169. [24, in online reserves] Why do states fear each other? How do arms races arise? What can be done to temper the corrosive effects of anarchy?
	Robert Jervis, <i>Perception and Misperception in International Politics</i> (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1976), pp. 58-84. [27, in online reserves] Carrots and Sticks are the two main policy instruments. When should a state use carrots to despiral or sticks to deter? A bad choice can be

disastrous. This reading about spirals suggests some conditions under which it is wise to pick carrots,

	be used to induce and sticks used to coerce).
	Stephen M. Walt, <i>The Origins of Alliances</i> (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1987), pp. 17-49; 274-285. [45, in online reserves] A central question facing policy makers is: Why do states make or end up with certain friends and enemies? Walt's writings offer many candidate explanations, all of which hold some water. But he prefers balance of threat theory. His conclusion offers some interesting insights about U.S. Cold War policy. How would you write the conclusion for today's post-Cold War world?
	Robert Jervis, "Hypotheses on Misperception," in G. John Ikenberry, ed., <i>American Foreign Policy: Theoretical Essays</i> (Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman, and Co. 1989), pp. 461-482. [22, in online reserves] Plus: Meg Greenfield, "They Won'tThey Can't" Newsweek, June 1 1997, p. 78; and Tin Weiner, "Naivete at the CIA: Every Nation's Just Another U.S." New York Times, June 7, 1998. [2, in online reserves] The above Jervis readings suggest that some policies may have unintended consequences. This Jervis piece suggests that policy makers may be inherently prone to misperception. Uh Oh. Jervis outlines fourteen hypotheses here; if you boil them down to their essence, how many remain? The Greenfield and Weiner articles dramatically illustrate the relevance of the Jervis piece. Jervis should be required reading at the CIA. Students: do the country a favor read the Jervis piece and get a job in Washington.
	Irving Janis, "Escalation of the Vietnam War: How Could It Happen?" in G. John Ikenberry, ed., <i>American Foreign Policy: Theoretical Essays</i> , 3 ed. (New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, 1999), pp. 533-560 [27, in online reserves] Welcome to Groupthink. As with many other theories, be aware of this one in your professional and personal lives.
	Elinor Ostrom, <i>Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action</i> (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1990), pp. 1-7 [7, in online reserves] A short but important reading that is particularly relevant to environmental and alliance issues.
	Robert D. Putnam, "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games," in Peter B. Evans, Harold K. Jacobson, and Robert D. Putnam, eds., <i>Double-Edged Diplomacy: International Bargaining and Domestic Politics</i> (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1993), pp. 431-468 [30, in online reserves]
	Essays in Merrill, Dennis, J. and Thomas G. Paterson, <i>Major Problems in American Foreign Policy Volume II: Since 1914</i> , 7 ed. (Wadsworth 2010), pp. 1-29 [30] (hereafter Merrill and Paterson, <i>Major Problems</i> ). These cover a host of FP influences from culture to racism.
Classes	s 8-11: The Policy Process and the Cuban Missile Crisis
sketch powers are dec	will review the policy process in the first day or two of lecture while you plunge ahead into the readings. I will out who the various actors (President, Congress, etc.) are, what their legal rights and powers are, and what their are in reality. Think about Allison's models as I discuss the actors. Who or what sets the policy agenda? How isions made? And once decisions are made, how well are they executed? Can you apply insights from this at of the course to jobs you have had (or will have)?
Readin	gs [482 pages]
	Thomas G. Paterson, J. Garry Clifford, Shane J. Maddock, Deborah Kisatsky, and Kenneth J. Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations A History Since 1895</i> , Vol. 2, 7 ed. (Wadsworth 2010), pp. 338-342 [4]

and others when it is wise to pick sticks. Are carrots and sticks mutually exclusive? (carrots may also

	Cuban Missile Crisis. Start with this for a big-picture summary history that will help you understand the details in Allison.	
	Merrill and Paterson, Major Problems, chapter on the Cuban Missile Crisis, pp. 360-404 [55]	
	Allison, Graham, and Zelikow, Philip, <i>Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis</i> , 2 ed., (New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, 1999), 3 segments: pp. 1-142, pp. 143-254, pp. 255-407. The book is the best extant illustration of how theories work as lenses. Imagine each theory to be a lens and note how different facts come into focus with each theory. Don't worry about the minutiae of each model as you first read it; just grasp the big picture (lecture will help with this, because minutiae will be helpful for you for the midterm, etc.). The Cuban Missile Crisis was the closest the U.S. and Soviet Union came to nuclear war.	
	Robert Kaiser and Steven Mufson, "Blue Team' Draws a Hard Line on Beijing," <i>Washington Post</i> , February 22, 2000 [6, in online reserves]. An inside look at some of the forces shaping foreign policy. Is this sinister and conspiratorial? Or just the way a democracy works? What other causes receive similar support? Are there lines which should not be crossed? If so, where and how would you draw it?	
	FP Roundtable "The War over Israel's Influence" Foreign Policy, No 155 (July/August 2006) pp. 57-66 [10, in online reserves]	
	Part II: History of USFP	
Class 12: USFP History to World War I (WWI)		
	e begin to look at the history of USFP in the hopes that knowing our past will help us analyze the present and the future. As I said above: "Know Yourself."	
Readin	gs [80+ pages]	
	Washington's Farewell Address, 1796; The Monroe Doctrine: President Monroe's Annual Message to Congress, December 2, 1823; and The Open Door Note, 1899 and Circular Note to the Great Powers, 1900, in Thomas G. Paterson, ed., <i>Major Problems in American Foreign Policy, Documents and Essays</i> , Volume I: To 1914 (Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath and Co, 1978), pp. 85-89, 167-168, 296-299 [11, in online reserves] Three fun-to-read actual historical documents about some of the major policies of the first 100 years of USFP.	
	Merrill, Dennis, J. and Thomas G. Paterson, <i>Major Problems in American Foreign Policy Volume I: To 1920</i> , 5 ed. (Houghton Mifflin, 2000), Chapter 11, pp. 346-375 [30, in online reserves]. The Spanish-American war helped launch the U.S. onto the world stage. <i>Read all about it!</i> (and find out why in this context that phrase is a sick joke).	
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations</i> , read Chapter 1, skim chapter 2 (but read sections "The Constable" and "Japan, China") pp. 1-32 [33+]. Standard background on the material being covered.	

(Hereafter: Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, American Foreign Relations) on the

## Classes 13, 14: World War I and World War II

Perhaps no issue is more worrisome than the prospect of a Third World War. While we discuss this in the last few sessions of class, it is instructive to learn why the U.S. entered the first two world wars. We did not have to. We made choices. What choices did we make? What influenced our policy? Are these same influences present today? Will future threats resemble the threats that cost us such large amounts of blood and treasure in the past?

Keadın	gs
<u>WWI</u>	
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, American Foreign Relations, chapters 3 and 4. [72]
	John G. Coogan, "Wilson's Unneutrality and Its Costs," in Thomas G. Paterson, ed., <i>Major Problems in American Foreign Policy, Documents and Essays</i> , Volume II: Since 1914, 3 ed. (Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath and Co, 1989), pp. 63-71. [9, in online reserves].
	Merrill and Paterson, Major Problems, World War I chapter (2), pp 28-69 [41]
<u>WWII</u>	
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, American Foreign Relations, chapters 4 and 6.
	Jonathan G. Utley, "Roosevelt's Failure of Leadership, Bureaucracy, and War with Japan," in Thomas G. Paterson, ed., <i>Major Problems in American Foreign Policy, Documents and Essays</i> , Volume II: Since 1914, 3 ed. (Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath and Co, 1989), pp. 226-229. [3, in online reserves]
	Jonathan G. Utley, <i>Going to War With Japan 1937-1941</i> (Knoxville, KY: University of Tennessee Press, 1985), pp. 151-156 [5, in online reserves]
	Waldo Heinrichs, <i>The Threshold of War: Franklin D. Roosevelt and American Entry into World War II</i> (New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1988), pp. 141-142, 177-79, 246-247 (note 68) [6, in online reserves]. "Was the crucial American decision to cut off oil exports to Japan taken by a bureaucracy out of control? Utley and Heinrichs disagree. How can this mystery be unraveled?" Credit to Prof. Van Evera, MIT, for Utley vs Heinrichs and this quote from his CoW syllabus ( <a href="http://web.mit.edu/17.423/www/Archive98/Syllabus.html">http://web.mit.edu/17.423/www/Archive98/Syllabus.html</a> )
	Merrill and Paterson, Major Problems, U.S. Entry into WWII chapter (4), pp. 111-152 [41]
Classe	s 15, 16: Cold War
nuclea: potenti	United States and the Soviet Union were locked in a global struggle and threatened to destroy each other with a weapons for almost forty years. It seems strange, even absurd, in retrospect. How did we get into such a ally disastrous situation? How did we manage to survive? Are we really in the clear now? Note: we will also on some of the Cold War's strategic nuclear issues when we cover Weapons of Mass Destruction in future classes.
Readin	gs (141+pages)
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations</i> , chapters 7, and 8 pp. 225-318.
	Kennan, George F., American Diplomacy, expanded ed. (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press,

	1984). Perhaps the most well-known and influential analysis of US grand strategy. What would he write today? Is such clarity possible?
	George F. Kennan's Long Telegram, Winston S. Churchill's "Iron Curtain" Speech, 1946; The Trumar Doctrine, 1947; The Marshall Plan (Economic Cooperation Act of 1948); and National Security Council Paper No. 68 (NSC-68), 1950 in Merrill and Paterson, <i>Major Problems</i> , all within the Origins of the Cold War chapter 6, within pp. 186-235. These are some of the documents that marked the start of the Cold War and helped set it in motion. One thing to note in these documents is their clarity of purpose. How does current "National Security Strategy" document compare? Consult optionally the rest of chap. 6 and chaps 7 and 8.
Class	17: Vietnam War
initiall	e Vietnam War was a scarring experience for the U.S. that continues to affect policy to this day. How did an y small involvement turn into such a heart-wrenching, soul-searching debacle? What lessons were learned, or have been learned? Could it happen again?
Readin	gs (86 pages)
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations</i> , chapter 9 (skip Cuban Missile Crisis).
	Merrill and Paterson, Major Problems, Vietnam War, chapter 11.
Class	18, 19: Gulf War with emphasis on the role of the media
U.S. na the Gu war so	date, this is the major war of the post-Cold War period. The Persian Gulf has long been declared an area vital to ational interests. A vital interest is one for which the U.S. is willing to spend blood to protect. Why did we fight lf War? Would you have sent troops to push Iraq out of Kuwait? Why? Why was the Congressional vote for the close, especially in the Senate (see "Authorization" below)? The readings provide a number of varied and nate viewpoints that address these questions.
We are study of	e spending two days on the Gulf War, not just because of its intrinsic importance and because it is a recent case of the policy process in action, but also because we will use the event to analyze the role of the media in ucing policy and to explore the ethical issues raised by decisions to go to war.
Readin	gs (94 pages). All but the first reading and the Merrill and Paterson item under President Bush are in the online
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations</i> , pp. 459-463 Again, an overview that will help you integrate and understand the rest of the readings.
	Elizabeth Drew, "Washington Prepares for War," excerpts from "Letter from Washington," <i>New Yorke</i> r, February 4, 1991 in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 180-193. [14, in online reserves] A glimpse at what was going on in Washington. Who was making what decisions, and what considerations were most important?
	Thomas L. Friedman, "Washington's 'Vital Interests'" originally published as "Confrontation in the Gulf: U.S. Gulf Policy - Vague 'Vital Interest," <i>New York Times</i> August 12, 1990 and reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New

	York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 203-206. [4, in online reserves] A critique of the war-for-oil argument. Good to see some facts in some of these arguments
	Representative Stephen J. Solarz, "The Case for Intervention," originally published as "The Stakes in the Gulf," in <i>The New Republic</i> , January 7/14, 1991 and reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 269-274; 282-283. [8, in online reserves] A summary of many of the standard justifications for going to war. Which do you dis/agree with?
	Patrick Buchanan, "Have the Neocons Thought This Through?" originally published as a nationwide syndicated column, "How the Gulf Crisis is Rupturing the Right" on August 25, 1990 and reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 213-215. [3, in online reserves] Generally, Republican conservatives were more in favor of the war than Democrats. But here is a critique of the movement toward war from inside the conservative camp. Note his assumptions about what the war would look like once underway.
	"Authorization for Use of Military Force," Joint Congressional Resolution of January 12,1991, reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 287-289. [3, in online reserves] Note the close vote. This is how these resolutions are written.
	President George Bush, "The Liberation of Kuwait has Begun," Speech of January 16, 1991, reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 311-314. Bush's justifications for war. A motivational call to arms by the one responsible. Also Merrill and Paterson, <i>Major Problems</i> , p. 550-551.[4, in online reserves]
	Saddam Hussein, "The Mother of All Battles," Speech of January 20, 1991 reprinted in Micah L. Sifry and Christopher Cerf, eds., <i>The Gulf War Reader: History, Documents, Opinions</i> (New York, NY: Times Books/Random House, 1991), pp. 315-316. [2, in online reserves] In a sense, this is Hussein's response to Bush.
	Janice Gross Stein, "Threat-Based Strategies of Conflict Management: Why Did They Fail in the Gulf?" in Stanley A. Renshon, ed., <i>The Political Psychology of the Gulf War: Leaders, Publics, and the Process of Conflict</i> (Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press, 1993), pp. 121-144. [23, in online reserves] (but endnotes should be part of online reserves, adding 10pp) This reading to returns us to the role of policy analyst and assesses the questions raised in the theory section above: when do carrots or sticks work and under what conditions? Was it possible to coerce Hussein? What mistakes were made and could we do better in the future?
We are	following readings shift our attention to the role of the media and public opinion in the foreign policy process. a democracy. Do leaders follow public opinion? Should they? Or do leaders shape public opinion? And how e media filter, distort, transmit, or otherwise help and hinder communications between leaders and the public?
	John E. Reilly, ed., "American Public Opinion and U.S. Foreign Policy 1995" (Chicago, IL: Chicago Council on Foreign Relations, 1995), pp. 6-12. [6, in online reserves] An overview of U.S. public opinion on various USFP-related issues.
	Everette E. Dennis, et. al., "Censorship. The Military and the Media: The Gulf Conflict in Historical Perspective," in <i>The Media at War: The Press and the Persian Gulf Conflict</i> (New York, NY: Gannett

	There are certainly good arguments on all sides of the issue. What do you think the rules for the media should be?	
	Marvin Kalb, "A View from the Press," and Lt. General Thomas W. Kelly (ret.) "A View from the Military," in W. Lance Bennett and David L Paletz, eds., <i>Taken By Storm: The Media, Public Opinion, and U.S. Foreign Policy in the Gulf War</i> (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1994), pp. 3-8. [5, in online reserves] Contending views on the role of the media during the Gulf War.	
	David Pearson, "The Media and Government Deception," <i>Propaganda Review</i> (Spring 1989), pp. 6-11 [5, in online reserves] A grim picture. Based on the above readings and your own experience, do you agree?	
	Barbara Crossette, "The FP Memo: How to Defuse the Bolton Bomb" <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No. 155 (July.August 2006) pp. 68-72 [5, in online reserves]	
	Part III: ISSUES AND DEBATES	
STARTING HERE through to the Future of USFP classes, WE MAY IMPROVISE AND SELECT NEW TOPICS and/or DIFFERENT READINGS, ETC, DEP ON CLASS INTERESTS, TIME LEFT, ETC.		
Classes 20, 21, 22: Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD), Terrorism, Proliferation, and Arms Control		
As weapons and delivery know-how, technology, and materials spread, the likelihood increases of cities being blown up by Nuclear weapons or gassed by Biological or Chemical weapons (NBC weapons are the three WMD). What is the extent of the problem? And what can be done about it? Arms control sounds good, but how well has it worked in the past?		
The sta	skes with WMD are huge. What kind of world will you inherit and what kind of world will you leave behind?	
Readin	gs (258 pages +/-)	
	U. S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, <i>The Effects of Nuclear War</i> (Washington, DC: OTA, 1979), pp. 1-12 [12, in online reserves] Skim. Nuclear weapons are destructive.	
	Falkenrath, Richard A. Robert D. Newman, and Bradley A. Thayer, <i>America's Achilles' Heel: Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Terrorism and Covert Attack</i> (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1998). [7, in online reserves]. Various pages; note facts about the NEST on p. 42, the effects of attacks, and the recommendations in the conclusion, including the chart on pp. 270-71)	
	Howard, Russell D., Reid L. Sawyer, and Natasha Bajema, eds., <i>Terrorism and Counterrorism: Understanding the New Security Environment</i> , Third edition (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill 2009), Various chapters and subchapters, 1.1, 5.2, 5.4, 7 (all), 8 (all), 10 (all).	
	The Center for American Progress and the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, "The Terrorism Index," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No. 155 (July/August 2006) pp. 49-55 [7, in online reserves]	
	"The CTB Treaty and Nuclear Non-Proliferation: The Debate Continues," <i>Arms Control Today</i> , Vol. 28, No. 2 (March 1998), pp. 7-11 [5, in online reserves]. A debate about the ability of states to limit	

Foundation Media Center, Columbia University, June 1991), pp. 8-20. [12, in online reserves] How does media coverage during the Gulf War compare to coverage during past wars? What has changed?

	the danger of WMD with arms control. The central points in this debate could be applied to almost any weapon or arms control treaty.
	"The long, long half-life," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol.379, No. 8481 (June 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) pp. 21-23 [3, in online reserves]
	Matthew Bunn and Anthony Wier, <i>Securing the Bomb 2006</i> , (Cambridge, Mass., and Washington, D.C.: Project on Managing the Atom, Harvard University, and Nuclear Threat Initiative, July 2006) pp. v-6, 106, 110 [18, in online reserves]
	Keir A. Lieber and Daryl G. Press, "The Rise of U.S. Nuclear Primacy," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vol. 85, No 2 (March/April 2006) pp. 42-54 [13, in online reserves]
Classo	es 23, 24: Economics: Trade, Aid, Development, Globalization
Here v	we survey some of the major economic issues facing US foreign policy makers.
Readi	ngs (162 pages). All are in the online reserves
	John W. Wright, ed., <i>The New York Times Almanac</i> , 1998 (New York, NY: New York Times, 1997), pp. 508-518. [11] Again, we start with the basic facts. Who is trading with who, etc. Keep your eye on the big picture, although stats fans (like me) are always trying to find unexpected facts and odd relationships.
	FY 2007 International Affairs Summary pp. 1-7 [7, in online reserves]
	Millennium Challenge Corporation, <i>Budget Justification 2007</i> , "Executive Summary," pp. 1-6 [4, in online reserves]
	Steven Radelet, "A Primer on Foreign Aid," (Center for Global Development, July 2006) pp. 1-23 (+5pgs) [28, in online reserves]
	U.S. Department of State, FY 2007 Budget in Brief (February 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) [7, in online reserves]
	"A glimmer of light at last," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No. 8483 (June 24 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) pp. 51-52 [2, in online reserves]
	Eric J. Labs, "The Role of Foreign Aid in Development," (Washington, DC: Congressional Budget Office, May 1997), pp. 7-10. [4, in online reserves] A survey of U.S. foreign aid by fellow MIT grad, Dr. Labs. Focus on arguments and graphs
	David G. Victor, "Recovering Sustainable Development," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vol. 85, No. 1 (January/February 2006) pp. 91-103 [13, in online reserves]
	"The magnificent seven," The Economist, Vol. 379, No. 8475 (April 29th, 2006) pp. 51-52 [3, in online reserves]
	Richard Odingo, "We can't solve poverty until we stop climate change," <i>The Independent</i> , May 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2006 [3, in online reserves]
	Michael A. Fletcher and Paul Blustein, "Millenium Challenge Will Give More Grants to Well-Governed Nations" <i>The Washington Post</i> , January 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2006 [4, in online reserves]

Larry Elliott, "Millennium Challenge: UN spells out the stark choice: do more for world's poor or face disaster" <i>The Guardian</i> , September 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2005 [3, in online reserves]
Editors of <i>The Economist</i> , "Kill or Cure?" in <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 346, No. 8050 (January 10, 1998), pp. 13-14. [2, in online reserves] By now, you will have read stories in the newspaper about Russian economic problems. Loans from the U.Ssupported IMF dwarf regular U.S. foreign aid. But these loans are often wasted or become subject to politics. Is there an alternative?
Theodore H. Moran, "Trade and Investment Dimensions of International Conflict" in Chester A. Crocker and Fen Osler Hampson with Pamela Aall, <i>Managing Global Chaos: Sources and Responses to International Conflict</i> (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 1996), pp. 155-170. [14, in online reserves] A good summary of the possible effects of trade on relations between states. Samuel J. Palmisano, "The Globally Integrated Enterprise," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vol. 85, No. 3 (May/June 2006) pp. 127-136 [10, in online reserves]
Editors of <i>The Economist</i> , "The future of the state;" "Markets go global;" "Still in command;" and "Ballad of the Global Worker," in The World Economy Survey in <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 344, No. 8035 (September 20, 1997), pp. 1-42 (15 pp. actual). [15, in online reserves] A series of articles assessing the extent of globalization.
Timothy Samuel Shah and Monica Duffy Toft, "Why God is Winning," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No. 155 (July/August 2006) pp. 38-43 [5, in online reserves]
Editors of <i>The Economist</i> , "If Japan Should Crash?" in <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 347, No. 8063 (April 11, 1998), p. 12. [1, in online reserves] "Coming to market," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No. 8473 (April 15, 2006) pp. 69 [3, in online reserves] More articles casting a critical eye on the extent of globalization.
Richard N. Haass, "Sanctioning Madness," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vol. 76, No. 6 (November/December 1997), pp. 74-85. [12, in online reserves] From Serbia and Iraq to India and Pakistan, the U.S. government loves sanctions. Haass doesn't. Many counter-arguments to Haass exist. What do you think they are?
National Intelligence Council, CIA, <i>Global Trends 2015: A Dialogue About the Future with Nongovernmental Experts</i> , NIC-2000-02, December 2000, pp. 34-38. [4] To read the black and white graphs, the order of the lines in the box is the order of the lines in the graph on the <i>right</i> side of the Y axis. This is the last reading in the online reserves. For the full color version, check out: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/globaltrends2015/globaltrends2015.pdf">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/globaltrends2015/globaltrends2015.pdf</a>
The Fund for Peace and the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, "The Failed States Index," Foreign Policy, No. 154 (May/June 2006) pp. 50-58 [8, in online reserves]

## Classes 25, 26: Ethnic Conflict, Humanitarian Intervention, and Peacekeeping

Many people have died or will die in conflicts in places like Bosnia, Somalia, Rwanda, Cambodia, and the Sudan. Should we care? Why? If we decide we care, what should we do about it? The readings run through a number of arguments including summarizing U.S. interests in the developing world, the role of human rights in foreign policy, the extent and nature of ethnic conflict, and a case study of what happened in the former Yugoslavia to help tie these various arguments and themes together.

Readin	gs (126 pages)
	John Rapely, "The New Middle Ages," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vo. 85, No. 3 (May/June 2006) pp. 95-103 [9, in online reserves]
	"The illusion of Empire Lite," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No. 8483 (June 24 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) pp. 13-14 [2, in online reserves]
	Richard K. Betts, "The Delusion of Impartial Intervention," <i>Foreign Affairs</i> , Vol. 73, No. 6 (November/December 1994), pp. 20-33. [14, in online reserves] According to Betts, military interventions in civil wars involve numerous pitfalls.
	Chapter 12, "Human Rights and Foreign Policy," in Jack Donnelly, <i>Universal Human Rights in Theory and Practice</i> (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1989), pp. 229-249. [21, in online reserves]
	The United Nations, "The Universal Declaration of Human Rights," (December 10, 1948) <a href="http://www.un.org/Overview/rights.html">http://www.un.org/Overview/rights.html</a> [7, in online reserves
	"Bringing the wicked to the dock," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 378, No. 8468 (March 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) pp. 20-22 [3, in online reserves]
	"Still fiddling while it burns," "Africa's most wanted," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No. 8480 (June 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 2006) pp. 43-44, in online reserves
	Helena Cobban, "Think Again: International Courts," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No. 153 (March/April 2006) pp. 22-28 [6, in online reserves]
	Paterson, Clifford, Maddock, Kisatsky, and Hagan, <i>American Foreign Relations</i> , Chap 11 "Civil Wars" through Invasions, pp. 434-459.
	Michael E. Brown, excerpts from "Introduction," in Michael E. Brown, ed. <i>The International Dimensions of Internal Conflict</i> (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1996), pp. 1-12. [12, in online reserves]
	Ivo Daalder, "Fear and Loathing in the Former Yugoslavia," in Michael E. Brown, ed. <i>The International Dimensions of Internal Conflict</i> (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1996), pp. 35-67. [33, in online reserves]
Class 2	7: Resources and the Environment
	such should we worry about the environment? Can environmental problems lead to war? The U.S. depends on ed resources for its well-being or does it? Under what conditions should we go to war for resources?
Readings (80 pages, of which 18 are to skim). All are in the online reserves	
	Introduction and Chapter 10, "Should We Conserve Resources for Others' Sakes? What Kinds of Resources Need Conservation?" in Julian L. Simon, <i>The Ultimate Resource</i> (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1981), pp. 3-8 and 144-155. [18 - skim; focus on <i>Economist</i> article below] A provocative set of arguments that suggest we needn't worry about resources. Note that many of those who take an economic view about resources and the environment are often more optimistic than those who start with political, moral, or other assumptions. Why is this so?

"Steady as she goes," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No. 8474 (April 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2006) pp. 65-67 [3, in online reserves]
Editors of <i>The Economist</i> , "Environmental Scares: Plenty of Gloom" in <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 345, No. 8048 (December 20, 1997), pp. 19-20. [2, in online reserves] A skeptical view on the significance of reports of environmental problems.
Thomas F. Homer-Dixon, "Environmental Scarcity and Mass Violence," in Gearoid O Tuathail, Simon Dalby, and Paul Routledge, eds., <i>The Geopolitics Reader</i> (London, UK: Routledge, 1998), pp. 204-211 (reprint from Current History 1996). [8, in online reserves] Is this a warning about the future? If scarcity is on the rise, what problems will we face?
Vaclav Smil, "Some Contrarian Notes on Environmental Threats to National Security," in Gearoid O. Tuathail, Simon Dalby, and Paul Routledge, eds., <i>The Geopolitics Reader</i> (London, UK: Routledge, 1998), pp. 212-214 (reprint from Canadian Foreign Policy 1994). [3, in online reserves] Somewhat of a counterargument to Homer-Dixon. Are environmental problems properly conceived of as national security problems?
Lester R. Brown, "The Future of Growth," in Lester R. Brown, et. al., eds., <i>State of the World: 1998</i> (New York, NY: W. W. Norton and Co., 1998), pp. 3-20. [18, in online reserves] Another fairly standard, on the pessimistic side, view of our future.
World Resources Institute, <i>World Resources, 1998-1999: A Guide to the Global Environment</i> (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press), pp. 170-178. [9, in online reserves]
National Intelligence Council, CIA, <i>Global Trends 2015: A Dialogue About the Future with Nongovernmental Experts</i> , NIC-2000-02, December 2000, pp. 19-31. [12] To read the black and white graphs, the order of the lines in the box is the order of the lines in the graph on the <i>right</i> side of the Y axis. This is the last reading in the online reserves. For the full color version, check out: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/globaltrends2015/globaltrends2015.pdf">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/globaltrends2015/globaltrends2015.pdf</a> Thomas L. Friedman, "The First Law of Petropolitics," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No 154 (May/June 2006) pp. 28-26 [9, in online reserves]
Christopher Dickey, "The Oil Shield," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No 154 (May/June 2006) pp. 37-39 [3, in online reserves]

## Part IV: Forecasts and Strategies for the Future

## Classes 28-29: The Future and What We Should Do About It

Will there be another World War? Why? Is the U.S. a declining power? Who, if anyone should we be afraid of? What should be the future U.S. grand strategy? We opened with a look at current U.S. grand strategy and we close with various arguments about the future of U.S. grand strategy.

Readings (145 pages)

This section's readings suggest different U.S. grand strategies. Posen and Ross review a number of grand strategies and go up the middle: we should be involved in some places, some of the time, when it's important.

force, see: <a href="http://www.nd.edu/~krocinst/ocpapers/op">http://www.nd.edu/~krocinst/ocpapers/op</a> 22 1.PDF	
	Barry R. Posen and Andrew L. Ross "Competing Visions for U.S. Grand Strategy," <i>International Security</i> , Vol. 21, No. 3 (Winter 1996/97). pp. 5-48 [43, in online reserves]
	Eugene Gholz, Daryl G. Press, and Harvey M. Sapolsky, "Come Home America: The Strategy of Restraint in the Face of Temptation," <i>International Security</i> , Vol. 21, No. 4 (Spring 1997), pp. 5-53 [48, in online reserves].
	"A giant stirs, a region bridles," <i>The Economist</i> , Vol. 379, No.8477 (May 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2006) pp. 25-27 [3, in online reserves]
	"Look out, Europe, they say," <i>The Economist,</i> Vol. 379, No. 8483 (June 24th, 2006) pp. 29-34 [3, in online reserves]
	Peter Hakim, "Is Washington Losing Latin America?" Foreign Affairs, Vol. 85, No. 1 (January/February 2006), pp. 39-53 [15, in online reserves]
	Michael Mandelbaum, "David's Friend Goliath," <i>Foreign Policy</i> , No. 152 (January/February 2006), pp. 50-56 [6, in online reserves]
	National Intelligence Council, CIA, <i>Global Trends 2030</i> (see more information in first set of readings). http://www.dni.gov/files/documents/GlobalTrends_2030.pdf: exec summary pp. i-xv; pp. 15-19; 70-82; 98-106. Skim the rest, check out tables/charts.

Gholz, Press, and Sapolsky seductively apply the Yellowstone natural fire policy to USFP and argue that we should butt-out (ie.: let it burn and that's good for us). Howard argues for pre-emption, while Walt highlights some possible dangers – though focusing on grand strategy more generally. Optional: For my views on the war on terrorism, beginning with the point that it is not a war but a campaign involving more than military