Mid-term Examination

General Directions: This examination is divided into three sections of four questions each. You are to answer a total of four questions, choosing at least one from each section.

The Historical Background to Logical Empiricism

- 1. Sketch the kind of argument that Pierre Duhem employed to motivate his brand of conventionalism. In what sense is Duhem's conventionalism a species of epistemological holism?
- 2. There are at least two ways of interpreting Ernst Mach's philosophical program, one that emphasizes the phenomenalist moment in his thinking and one that emphasizes the historical-critical and biological-economic moment. Describe these two readings of Mach and the evidence supporting each.
- 3. Recent scholarship emphasizes logical empiricism's debt to the Marburg neo-Kantian tradition. After briefly outlining the development of that tradition, describe some of the specific neo-Kantian influences on the early development of logical empiricism.
- 4. In what ways do the logicist (Frege-Russell) and formalist (Hilbert) programs in the foundations of mathematics represent challenges to the Kantian view of the nature of mathematical truth?

The Vienna Circle and Its Friends

- 5. Moritz Schlick was well known in his pre-Vienna Circle days for advocating a "semiotic" theory of truth as "univocal" or "unambiguous" ("eindeutige") coordination or correlation between proposition and fact. Explain what Schlick meant by the semiotic view of truth and contrast this view with both correspondence and coherence views of truth.
- 6. Hans Reichenbach's first book, *Relativitätstheorie und Erkenntnis Apriori*, advanced a novel point of view about the a priori. What was that view and what kind of reaction did it elicit for Schlick?
- 7. Otto Neurath and the other members of the "left wing" of the Vienna Circle disagreed with the "right wing" about both the structure and empirical interpretation of scientific theories and about the place of social and political values in theory choice. What were these differences and how were the two debates connected with one another?
- 8. What was the protocol-sentence debate all about? Be sure to explain the positions of Otto Neurath and Moritz Schlick.

Do Some Philosophy

- 9. The young Hans Reichenbach argued that a contingent a priori played a significant role in scientific cognition. More recently, Michael Friedman has made Reichenbach's notion of the contingent a priori the starting point of his own attempted Kant revival. Assess the prospects for success of such a program.
- 10. We spoke in class about the question of the "gap" between the contents of subjective experience and putatively objective, scientific knowledge formulated propositionally. In your opinion, can this gap be bridged? If not, why not? If so, how? Or is the question itself perhaps not well posed?

- 11. A corollary to Gödel's first incompleteness theorem is that all first-order theories as powerful as or more power than elementary Peano arithmetic will be non-categorical, meaning that they will not determine for themselves a class of models all of the members of which are isomorphic to one another. We spoke in class about how this result may be read as implying the failure of the Marburg program. More generally, one often takes this to point to limits on the ability of theory to capture reality and, perhaps, as occasioning some deep questions about realism itself. On the other hand, one could also read it as implying that Hilbert was wrong in saying that to think clearly is to think axiomatically, or that one is wrong to press formal methods too far in epistemology. What do you think?
- 12. In the course of the protocol-sentence debate, Schlick leveled against Neurath the charge that, because it was committed to a coherence theory of truth, epistemological holism devolves into an anything-goes relativism. Is this a fair and accurate criticism?