

## Economic interpretation of dual

Consider the following primal problem:

Maximize

$$c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

subject to all  $x_i \geq 0$

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1$$

...

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n \leq b_m.$$

### **Economic interpretation:**

$n$  economic *activities*,  $m$  *resources*

$c_j$  is *revenue per unit* of activity  $j$

$b_i$  is *maximum availability* of resource  $i$

$a_{ij}$  is *consumption of resource  $i$  per unit of activity  $j$*

## The dual

Minimize

$$b_1 y_1 + \dots + b_m y_m$$

subject to all  $y_i \geq 0$

$$a_{11} y_1 + \dots + a_{1m} y_m \geq c_1$$

...

$$a_{n1} x_1 + \dots + a_{nm} y_m \geq c_n.$$

## Interpreting the dual variables

If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is optimal for the primal, and  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is optimal for the dual, then we know:

$$c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n = b_1y_1 + \dots + b_my_m$$

Left-hand side: Maximal revenue

Right-hand side:

$\sum_{\text{resources } i} (\text{availability of resource } i) \times (\text{revenue per unit of resource } i)$

In other words: Value of  $y_i$  at optimal is *dual price of resource  $i$*

Away from optimality, we have

$$c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n < b_1y_1 + \dots + b_my_m$$

Left-hand side: current (suboptimal) revenue

Right-hand side:  $\sum_{\text{resources } i} (\text{worth of resource } i)$

Solution is not optimal because resources are not being fully utilized

## Interpreting the dual constraints

If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is feasible (not necessarily optimal) for the primal, and  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is the corresponding collection of dual values, then we know:

Current objective coefficient of  $x_j$

$$= (\text{Left-hand side of dual constraint } j) - (\text{Right-hand side})$$

$$= (a_{1j}y_1 + \dots + a_{mj}y_m) - c_j$$

$c_j$  is a measure of revenue per unit (of activity  $j$ )

So  $a_{1j}y_1 + \dots + a_{mj}y_m$  is an *imputed* (implicit) *cost per unit* (of act.  $j$ )

Also,  $y_i$  is *imputed cost* per unit of resource  $i$  in a unit of activity  $j$

If objective coefficient of  $x_j$  (= cost – revenue, = *reduced cost*) is **strictly negative**, then revenue > cost, so it makes sense to increase activity  $j$  — this is the pivoting process of the simplex method