

**FIELD SEMINAR IN AMERICAN DEMOCRACY
POLITICAL SCIENCE 60001**

Fall 2007

Mondays, 6:00 – 8:30 PM
334 DeBartolo Hall

Contact information

Office: 422 Decio Phone: 631-7809 E-mail: dave_campbell@nd.edu

Office hours

Mondays: 10:30 AM – 12:00 PM Wednesdays: 3:30 PM to 5:00 PM
(or by appointment)

Welcome to the American Field Seminar. This course is designed to introduce graduate students to the wonderful world of American politics—the questions, theories, debates, and methodologies found in the field. Regrettably, the course cannot do justice to every subject in the study of American politics, but we will cover a wide swath of them.

Objectives

In taking this course, you will become fluent in the language of American politics. Think of the course as addressing three central questions:

1. *What do we know--and not know--about American politics?*

What are the points of consensus in the field? What are the disagreements? This course is an opportunity to read in areas that may not be your central interest. This is all to the good. While the time will come for specialization, one should also be exposed to the breadth of scholarship in American politics. Often the most path-breaking research comes from cross-fertilizing one area of research with ideas from another.

2. *How do we know what we know?*

Although this is not primarily a methodology course, we will focus some of our attention on “ways of knowing.” What are the methods used in the study of American politics? What can we learn from using different methodological approaches? The most successful scholars are familiar with a broad range of methods.

3. *Why do we care?*

As we study of American politics, we will grapple with evaluating how democracy in the United States operates. The minutiae of methods and nuances of theory too often distract

us from the central question of whether American democracy fulfills its own lofty objectives: government of, by, and for the people.

Requirements

As a graduate seminar, students are expected to have digested the reading material assigned for that week. Come to class prepared to discuss and debate it. The more prepared you are for each class meeting, the better our discussions will be.

The reading load in this course is relatively heavy and, at times, fairly dense. So be sure to set time aside each week for both reading and reflection.

The formal requirements for the course are as follows:

1. Six response papers of 1,000 words each. Each response paper should address the topic for a particular week, and is meant to be an opportunity to offer a critical assessment of research in that subfield. Some of the questions papers should address include: What are the central questions in this subfield? Where do you stand on the major debates, and why? What are the gaps in what is known? Avoid simply summarizing the literature. These papers should be primarily analytical.

In addition to engaging the assigned reading, each response paper is required to cite at least three other articles--from peer-reviewed journals--which do not appear on the syllabus. Citing other books from academic presses (again, which are not on the syllabus) is fine too.

Papers are due, via e-mail, by 6:00 PM on the Sunday before our weekly class meeting. It would be wise to distribute your response papers over the semester, and not wait for the final six class meetings.

2. Each student will prepare a set of discussion questions for the rest of the class at least once during the semester (we need a minimum of one per class, so the number each student must do depends on our total enrollment). These are designed to trigger our discussion, and so should be e-mailed to the *entire class* by noon on the day of our weekly class meeting.

Note: we will only distribute discussion questions during weeks in which we do not have guest presenters leading the seminar.

3. There will be a take-home final, meant to resemble the comprehensive exam in American politics. Students will receive the exam question during our final class meeting on December 10th, and have one week to write a 2,500 word essay responding to the assigned question. Exams will be due by 6:00 PM on December 17th. They may be submitted via e-mail. However, let me stress that computer problems are not an excuse for missing the deadline. Anticipate e-mail/network/software/hardware problems and plan accordingly.

Your grade will be distributed as follows:

- | | | |
|----|---------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Response papers | 30% (5% each) |
| 2. | Final exam | 50% |
| 3. | Class participation | 20% |

Readings

Most of the readings are articles, available through JSTOR or other electronic media. I recommend that students purchase the following books, as we will read substantial portions of them. However, at least one copy of each has also been placed on reserve in the Hesburgh Library. I would also recommend that you consider purchasing other books on the syllabus—a good personal library is invaluable to a scholar.

Aldrich, John H. 1995. *Why Parties? The Origin and Transformation of Political Parties in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Dahl, Robert A. 1956. *A Preface to Democratic Theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mutz, Diana. 2006. *Hearing the Other Side: Deliberative Versus Participatory Democracy*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Putnam, Robert D. 2000. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Skowronek, Stephen. 1993. *The Politics Presidents Make*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Williams, Linda Faye. 2003. *The Constraint of Race: Legacies of White Skin Privilege in America*. University Park, PN: The Pennsylvania State University Press.

Academic Honesty

The entire academic enterprise requires a high degree of scholarly integrity. Plagiarism—using the words or ideas of others without appropriate attribution—strikes at the core of that enterprise. Thus, the penalties for plagiarism are severe. If you engage in plagiarism, you will fail this course. Even more seriously, you put your entire academic career at risk.

The university's Academic Code of Honor provides more details about the standards expected of a Notre Dame student:

http://graduateschool.nd.edu/html/policies/index.html#academic_integrity

Schedule (subject to change)

ER = Available on electronic reserves

LR = Available in the library reserves (hard copy)

All other readings are available on the Field Seminar CD.

Week 1: September 3rd **Introduction, Procedures, Etc.**

Week 2: September 10th **American Democracy** [Professor Michael Zuckert]

The Federalist Papers. Nos. 10, 37, 39, and 51. [ER]

Ayer, Alfred J. 1938. *Language, Truth, and Logic*. London: V. Gollancz. Introduction, Chs. 1 and 6 (pp. 102-113 only). [LR]

Dahl, Robert A. 1956. *A Preface to Democratic Theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chs. 1 (esp.), 3, and 5. Skim the rest. [LR]

Strauss, Leo. 1989. "What Is Political Philosophy?" In *An Introduction to Political Philosophy: Ten Essays by Leo Strauss*, ed. Hilail Gildin. Detroit: Wayne State University Press. Pp. 3-24 only. [ER]

Also recommended:

Beard, Charles A. 1944. *An Economic Interpretation of the Constitution of the United States*. New York: Macmillan.

Brown, Robert. 1956. *Charles Beard and the Constitution*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Dahl, Robert H. 1956. *A Preface to Democratic Theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Riker, William 1984. "The Heresthetics of Constitution-Making: The Presidency in 1787, with Comments on Determinism and Rational Choice." *American Political Science Review* 78(March):1-16.

Schumpeter, Joseph A. 1947. *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy*. New York: Harper & Bros.

Held, David. 1996. *Models of Democracy*. Second Edition. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Week 3: September 17th **America's Civic Landscape**

Putnam, Robert. 2000. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster. Chapters 1-9 [LR]

Putnam, Robert. 2007. "E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the Twenty-First Century." *Scandinavian Political Studies* 30 (2): 137-74.

Skocpol, Theda, Marshall Ganz, and Ziad Munson. 2000. "A Nation of Organizers: The Institutional Origins of Civic Voluntarism in the United States." *American Political Science Review* 94 (3): 527-546.

Brehm, John, and Wendy Rahn. 1997. "Individual-Level Evidence for the Causes and Consequences of Social Capital." *American Journal of Political Science* 41 (3): 999-1023.

Also recommended:

- Costa, Dora L. and Matthew E. Kahn. 2003. Civic Engagement and Community Heterogeneity: An Economist's Perspective. *Perspectives on Politics* 1 (1): 103-111.
- Galston, William A. 2001. "Political Knowledge, Political Engagement, and Civic Education." *Annual Review of Political Science* Vol. 4: 217-234.
- Macedo, Stephen, et al. 2005. *Democracy at Risk: How Political Choices Have Undermined Citizenship and What We Can Do About It*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press. [A Report of the American Political Science Association's Standing Committee on Civic Engagement and Education]
- Skocpol, Theda. 2004. *Diminished Democracy: From Membership to Management in American Civic Life*. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press
- Nie, Norman, Jane Junn, Kenneth Stehlik-Barry. 1996. *Education and Democratic Citizenship*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- Zukin, Cliff, Scott Keeter, Molly Andolina, Krista Jenkins, and Michael X. Delli Carpini. 2006. *A New Engagement? Political Participation, Civic Life, and the Changing American Citizen*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Week 4: September 24 **Political Participation**

- Brady, Henry E., Sidney Verba, Kay Lehman Schlozman. 1995. "Beyond SES: A Resource Model of Political Participation." *American Political Science Review* 89 (2): 271-294.
- Schlozman, Kay Lehman. 2002. "Citizen Participation in America: What Do We Know? Why Do We Care?" In *Political Science: State of the Discipline*, eds. Ira Katznelson and Helen V. Milner. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc. [ER]
- McDonald, Michael P., Samuel Popkin. 2001. "The Myth of the Vanishing Voter." *American Political Science Review* 95 (4): 963-974.
- Gerber, Alan S., Donald P. Green. 2000. "The Effects of Canvassing, Telephone Calls, and Direct Mail on Voter Turnout: A Field Experiment." *American Political Science Review* 94 (3): 653-663.
- Campbell, David E. 2006. *Why We Vote: How Schools and Communities Shape Our Civic Life*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1-3. [to be distributed in class]

Also recommended:

- Verba, Sidney, Kay Lehman Schlozman; Henry Brady; Norman H. Nie. 1993. "Citizen Activity: Who Participates? What Do They Say?" *American Political Science Review* 87 (2): 303-318.
- Keeter, Scott, and Michael X. Delli Carpini. 1996. *What Americans Know About Politics and Why It Matters*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

- Rosenstone, Steven J. and John Mark Hansen. 1993. *Mobilization, Participation, and Democracy in America*. New York: Macmillan.
- Verba, Sidney, Kay Lehman Schlozman, and Henry E. Brady. 1995. *Voice and Equality: Civic Voluntarism in American Politics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wolfinger, Raymond and Steven Rosenstone. 1980. *Who Votes?* New Haven: Yale University Press.

Week 5: October 1st

Public Opinion

- Converse, Philip E. 1964. "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics." In *Ideology and Discontent*, ed. David Apter. New York: The Free Press.
- Converse, Philip E. 2006. "Democratic Theory and Electoral Reality." *Critical Review* 18 (1-3): 297-330.
- Kinder, Donald. 2006. "Belief Systems Today." *Critical Review* 18 (1-3): 197-216.
- Zaller, John, and Stanley Feldman. 1992. "A Simple Theory of the Survey Response: Answering Questions versus Revealing Preferences." *American Journal of Political Science* 36 (3): 579-616.
- Page, Benjamin, and Robert Y. Shapiro. 1983. "Effects of Public Opinion on Policy." *American Political Science Review* 77 (1): 175-190.
- Stimson, James A., Michael B. MacKuen, and Robert S. Erikson. 1995. "Dynamic Representation." *American Political Science Review* 89 (3): 543-565.

Also recommended:

- Zaller, John R. 1992. *The Nature and Origins of Mass Opinion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stimson, James A. 1999. *Public Opinion in America: Moods, Cycles, and Swings*. Second Edition. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Page, Benjamin I. and Robert Y. Shapiro. 1992. *The Rational Public: Fifty Years of Trends in Americans' Public Policy Preferences*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Niemi, Richard G. and Herbert F. Weisberg. 2001. *Controversies in Voting Behavior*. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press.
- The entire issue of *Critical Review*, Volume 18, issues 1-3. "Special Issue on Democratic Competence." (dedicated to Converse's "Nature of Belief Systems")

Week 6: October 8th

Elections

- Campbell, Angus, Phillip Converse, Warren Miller, and Donald Stokes. 1960. *The American Voter*. New York: Wiley. Chapters 6-7 [ER]
- Fiorina, Morris P. 1981. *Retrospective Voting in American National Elections*. New Haven: Yale University Press. Chapters 1-3 [LR]

- Green, Donald P., Bradley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. 2002. *Partisan Hearts and Minds: Political Parties and the Social Identity of Voters*. Chapters 1-3 [LR]
- MacKuen, Michael B., Robert S. Erikson, and James A. Stimson. 1989. "Macropartisanship." *American Political Science Review* 83 (4): 1125-1142.
- Bartels, Larry M. 2000. "Partisanship and Voting Behavior, 1952-1996." *American Journal of Political Science* 44 (1): 35-50.
- Kinder, Donald R., and D. Roderick Kiewiet. 1981. "Sociotropic Politics: The American Case." *British Journal of Political Science* 11 (2): 129-161.

Also recommended:

- Berelson, Bernard, Paul Lazarsfeld, and William N. McPhee. 1956. *Voting*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lupia, Arthur, and John Matsusaka. 2004. "Direct Democracy: New Approaches to Old Questions." *Annual Review of Political Science* 7: 463-482
- Mayhew, David R. 2000. "Electoral Realignments." *Annual Review of Political Science* 3: 449-474
- Gelman, Andrew, and Gary King. 1993. "Why Are American Presidential Election Campaign Polls so Variable When Votes Are so Predictable?" *British Journal of Political Science* 23 (4): 409-451
- Key, V.O. Jr. 1949, 1984. *Southern Politics in State and Nation*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press.
- Key, V.O. Jr. 1966. *The Responsible Electorate*. New York: Vintage.
- Nie, Norman, Sidney Verba, and John Petrocik. 1976. *The Changing American Voter*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Week 7: October 15th **Presidency** [Professor Peri Arnold]

- Neustadt, Richard. 1960/1990. *Presidential Power and the Modern Presidents*. New York: Free Press. Chapters 1-5. [LR]
- Skowronek, Stephen. 1993. *The Politics Presidents Make*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press. Chapters 1-3, 6. [LR]
- Moe, Terry, and William Howell. 1999. "The Presidential Power of Unilateral Action." *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 15 (1): 132-79.

Also recommended:

- Wildavsky, Aaron. 1966. "The Two Presidencies." *Transaction* 4(2).
- Allison, Graham. 1969. "Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis." *American Political Science Review* 63(September):689-718.
- Bendor, Jonathan and Thomas Hammond. 1992. "Rethinking Allison's Models." *American Political Science Review* 86(June):301-22
- Greenstein, Fred. 1982. *The Hidden-Hand Presidency: Eisenhower as Leader*. New York: Basic Books.
- Kernel, Samuel. 1997. *Going Public*. Third Edition. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press.

- Bond, Jon and Richard Fleisher. 1990. *The President in the Legislative Arena*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ostrom, Charles W. and Dennis M. Simon. 1985. "Promise and Performance: A Dynamic Model of Presidential Popularity." *American Political Science Review* 79(June):334-58.

Week 8: October 29th **Parties** [Professor Christina Wolbrecht]

- Downs, Anthony. 1957. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: HarperCollins. Chapters 2, 7-8. [ER]
- Aldrich, John. 1995. *Why Parties?* Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1-3, 9. Skim the rest. [LR]
- Fiorina, Morris. 2002. "Parties and Partisanship: A 40-year Retrospective." *Political Behavior* 24 (June):93-115.

Also recommended:

- Gerring, John. 1998. *Party Ideologies in America, 1828-1996*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Key, V.O. 1955. "A Theory of Critical Elections." *Journal of Politics* 17(February):3-18.
- Key, V.O. 1959. "Secular Realignment and the Party System." *Journal of Politics* 21(May):198-210.
- Burnham, Walter Dean. 1970. *Critical Elections and the Mainsprings of American Politics*. New York: Norton.
- Schlesinger, Joseph A. 1984. "On the Theory of Party Organization." *Journal of Politics* 46(May):369-400.
- Schlesinger, Joseph A. 1985. "The New American Political Party." *American Political Science Review* 79(December):1152-69.
- Sundquist, James. 1986. *Dynamics of the Party System*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.
- Carmines, Edward G. and James A. Stimson. 1989. *Issue Evolution: Race and the Transformation of American Politics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Week 9: November 5th **Interest Groups**

- Olson, Mancur. 1965. *The Logic of Collective Action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Chapter 1. [ER]
- Salisbury, Robert H. 1969. "An Exchange Theory of Interest Groups." *Midwest Journal of Political Science* (now *AJPS*) 13(February):1-32.
- Salisbury, Robert H. 1984. "Interest Representation: The Dominance of Institutions." *American Political Science Review* 78(March):64-76.
- Walker, Jack L. 1983. "The Origins and Maintenance of Interest Groups in America." *American Political Science Review* 77(June):390-406.
- Hall, Richard and Frank Wayman. 1990. "Buying Time: Moneyed Interests and the Mobilization of Bias in Congressional Committees." *American Political Science Review* 84(September):797-820.

Also recommended:

- Schattschneider, E.E. 1960. *The Semisovereign People: A Realist's View of Democracy in America*. Hinsdale, IL: Dryden.
- Bentley, Arthur F. 1908. *The Process of Government*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Truman, David. 1951. *The Governmental Process*. New York: Knopf.
- Lowi, Theodore. 1979. *The End of Liberalism*. Second Edition. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Hansen, John Mark. 1985. "The Political Economy of Group Membership." *American Political Science Review* 79(March):79-96.
- Schlozman, Kay Lehman and John Tierney. 1986. *Organized Interests and American Democracy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Walker, Jack L. 1991. *Mobilizing Interests Groups in America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Heinz, John P., Edward O. Laumann, Robert L. Nelson, and Robert H. Salisbury. 1993. *The Hollow Core: Private Interests in National Policy Making*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Baumgartner, Frank R. and Beth L. Leech. 1998. *Basic Interests: The Importance of Groups in Politics and Political Science*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Week 10: November 12th **Congress** [Professor John Griffin]

- Shepsle, Kenneth A. and Barry R. Weingast. 1994. "Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 19(May):149-79.
- Miller, Warren E. and Donald E. Stokes. 1963. "Constituency Influence in Congress." *American Political Science Review* 57: 45-56.
- Hutchings, Vincent. 2001. "Political Context, Issue Salience, and Selective Attention: Constituent Knowledge of the Clarence Thomas Confirmation Vote." *The Journal of Politics* 63(3): 846-868.
- Griffin, John, and Brian Newman. 2005. "Are Voters Better Represented?" *The Journal of Politics* 67(4): 1206-1227.
- Gerber, Elisabeth and Jeffrey B. Lewis. 2004. "Beyond the Median: Voter Preferences, District Heterogeneity, and Representation." *Journal of Political Economy* 112(6): 1364-1383.
- Bartels, Larry M. 1991. "Constituency Opinion and Congressional Policy Making: The Reagan Defense Build Up." *American Political Science Review* 85(2): 457-454.

Week 11: November 19th **Courts** [Professor Don Kommers]

- Graber, Mark. 2005. "Constitutionalism and Political Science: Imaginative Scholarship, Unimaginative Teaching," *Perspectives on Politics* 3: 135-148.
- Keck, Thomas. 2007. "Party, Policy, or Duty: Why Does the Supreme Court Invalidate Federal Statutes?" *American Political Science Review* 101: 321-338.
- Epstein, Lee and Jack Knight. 1998. *The Choices Justices Make*. Washington, D.C.: CQ

Press. Chapters 1-3. [LR]
Barnes, Joe. 2007. "Bringing the Courts Back In: Interbranch Perspectives on the Role of Courts in America." *Annual Review of Political Science* 10: 25-43.

Also recommended:

- Murphy, Walter F. 1964. *Elements of Judicial Strategy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1, 2, and 8. (e-reserves)
- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Harold J. Spaeth. 2002. *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McGuire, Kevin T. 2007. "The Judicial Branch: Judging America's Judges" in *A Divided Republic* New York: Oxford (Annenberg Democracy Project).
- Hirschl, Ran. 2004. *Towards Juristocracy: The Origins and Consequences of the New Constitutionalism*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Dahl, Robert H. 1957. "Decision-Making in a Democracy: The Supreme Court as National Policy-Maker." *Journal of Public Law* 6:279-95.
- Casper, Jonathan D. 1976. "The Supreme Court and National Policy Making." *American Political Science Review* 70(March):50-63.
- George, Tracey E. and Lee Epstein. 1992. "On the Nature of Supreme Court Decision Making." *American Political Science Review* 86(June):323.
- Rosenberg, Gerald N. 1993. *The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring About Social Change?* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mishler, William and Reginald S. Sheehan. 1993. "The Supreme Court as a Countermajoritarian Institution? The Impact of Public Opinion on Supreme Court Decisions." *American Political Science Review* 87(March):87-101.
- Franklin, Charles H. and Liane C. Kosaki. 1989. "Republican Schoolmaster: The U.S. Supreme Court, Public Opinion, and Abortion." *American Political Science Review* 83(Sept):751-71.

Week 12: November 26th **Race and Ethnicity** [Professor Dianne Pinderhughes]

- Williams, Linda Faye. 2003. *The Constraint of Race: Legacies of White Skin Privilege in America*. University Park, PA: The Pennsylvania State University Press. [LR]
- Wolbrecht, Christina, and Rodney Hero, editors. 2005. *The Politics of Democratic Inclusion*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press. [LR]
- Dawson, Michael. 2003. *Black Visions: The Roots of Contemporary African-American Political Ideologies*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. [LR]

Also recommended:

- DeSipio, Louis. 1996. *Counting on the Latino Vote: Latinos as a New Electorate*. Charlottesville, VA: The University Press of Virginia.
- Hamilton, Dona Cooper and Charles Hamilton. 1997. *The Dual Agenda*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Lee, Taeku, S. Karthick Ramakrishnan, and Ricardo Ramírez, editors. 2006.

- Transforming Politics, Transforming America: The Political and Civic Incorporation of Immigrants in the United States*, pp. 106-126. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press.
- Lien, Pei-te, Christine Sierra, Carol Hardy-Fanta, Dianne Pinderhughes. 2006. "Changing Guards, Changing Views, Preliminary Findings from the Gender and Multicultural Leadership Survey," Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association.
- Pinderhughes, Dianne. 1987. *Race and Ethnicity in Chicago Politics A Reexamination of Pluralist Theory*. Champaign-Urbana: University of Illinois Press. Chapter 5
- Smith, Rogers. 1997. *Civic Ideals: Conflicting Visions of Citizenship in U.S. History*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Katherine Tate. 2004. *Black Faces in the Mirror : African Americans and Their Representatives in the U.S. Congress*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press,
- Walton, Hanes. 1997. *African American Power and Politics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Walton, Hanes, Cheryl Miller and Joseph P. McCormick. 1991. "Race and Political Science: The Dual Traditions of Race Relations Politics and African-American Politics" 145-174 in *Political Science in History* Ed. James Farr, John Dryzek and Stephen Leonard. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Week 13: December 3rd

Media

- Kinder, Donald R. 1998. "Communication and Opinion," *Annual Review of Political Science* 1: 167-197.
- Schudson, Michael. 2002. "The News Media as Political Institution," *Annual Review of Political Science* 5: 249-269.
- Goldstein, Kenneth, and Travis Ridout. 2004. "Measuring the Effects of Televised Political Advertising in the United States," *Annual Review of Political Science* 7: 205-226.
- Bartels, Larry M. 1993. "Messages Received: The Political Impact of Media Exposure," *American Political Science Review* 87 (2): 267-285.
- Baum, Matthew. 2002. "Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public," *American Political Science Review* 96 (1): 91-109.

Also recommended:

- Baum, Matthew, and Samuel Kernell. 1999. "Has Cable Ended the Golden Age of Presidential Television?" *American Political Science Review* 93 (1): 99-104.
- Cook, Timothy E. 2005. *Governing With the News: The News Media as a Political Institution*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (2nd edition).
- Iyengar, Shanto and Donald R. Kinder. 1987. *News That Matters: Television and American Opinion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Iyengar, Shanto. 1991. *Is Anyone Responsible? How Television Frames Political Issues*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Patterson, Thomas. 1993. *Out of Order*. New York: Knopf.
- Arnold, R. Douglas. 2004. *Congress, the Press, and Political Accountability*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- Gilens, Martin. 1999. *Why Americans Hate Welfare: Race, Media, and the Politics of Antipoverty Programs*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Just, Marion R., Ann N. Crigler, Dean E. Alger, Timothy E. Cook, Montague Kern, and Darrell West. 1996. *Crosstalk: Citizens, Candidates, and the Media in a Presidential Campaign*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Geer, John. 2006. *In Defense of Negativity: Attack Ads in Presidential Campaigns*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ansolabehere, Stephen D., Shanto Iyengar, Adam Simon, and Nicholas Valentino. 1994. Does Attack Advertising Demobilize the Electorate? *American Political Science Review* 88 (4): 829-838
- Lau, Richard R., Lee Sigelman, Caroline Heldman, Paul Babbitt. 1999. The Effects of Negative Political Advertisements: A Meta-Analytic Assessment. *American Political Science Review* 93 (4): 851-875.
- Kahn, Kim Fridkin, Patrick J. Kenney. 1999. Do Negative Campaigns Mobilize or Suppress Turnout? Clarifying the Relationship between Negativity and Participation. *American Political Science Review* 93 (4): 877-889.
- Wattenberg, Martin P., Craig Leonard Brians. 1999. Negative Campaign Advertising: Demobilizer or Mobilizer? *American Political Science Review* 93 (4): 891-899.
- Ansolabehere, Stephen D., Shanto Iyengar, and Adam Simon. 1999. Replicating Experiments Using Aggregate and Survey Data: The Case of Negative Advertising and Turnout. *American Political Science Review* 93 (4): 901-909.

Week 14: December 10th **Participation, Deliberation, and Association**

- Delli Carpini, Michael, Fay Lomax Cook, and Lawrence R. Jacobs. 2004. "Public Deliberation, Discursive Participation, and Citizen Engagement," *Annual Review of Political Science* 7: 315-344.
- Theiss-Morse, Elizabeth and John R. Hibbing. 2005. "Citizenship and Civic Engagement," *Annual Review of Political Science* 8: 227-249.
- Mutz, Diana. 2006. *Hearing the Other Side: Deliberative versus Participatory Democracy*. New York: Cambridge University Press [all]. [LR]