



Physics with Electrons and Photons at the CMS experiment

Colin Jessop

University of Notre Dame

The CMS Collaboration









- Motivation: Why e/γ are important to CMS program. What are the challenges.
- Brief revision of Energy Loss Mechanisms for electrons and photons
- Choice of ECAL technology. Construction and Current Status
- Reconstruction of Photons and Electrons illustrated with case studies of H->γγ, H->ZZ

NB: My groups contributions are to e/γ reco software, ECAL commissioning and operation, testbeams, DAQ.



Primary Goal of LHC





14 TeV pp L=10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ Effectively a high energy gluon collider

To Understand the Mechanism of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking - The Higgs

August 7th, 2008



Standard Model Higgs Constraints





95% Confidence Limits (Spring 2007)
m_H > 114.4 GeV (Direct Search)
m_H < 182 GeV (Inferred from constraints on radiative corrections to measured M_w,M_t + Direct search limit)

If the minimal standard model is correct expect a "low" mass Higgs (~100 to 200 GeV)











Backgrounds



Most of σ_{total} is due to jet production

From D0 at Tevatron:

Probability Jet to fake photon ~ 1 in 10^4

Jet to fake electron ~ 1 in 10^5

Also backgrounds from real e/γ but these tend to be smaller and more manageable



Need very selective trigger and excellent e/γ reconstruction capabilities and jet rejection







Very Brief Revision of Electron/Photon energy loss in matter

Electron/Positron Energy Loss in matter



Electron energy loss primarily by Brem at $E > E_c$ (~20 MeV) and ionization below. Brem Radiation probability depends on radiation length X_0



Photon Energy Energy Loss





Photon energy loss primarily pair production at E > E_c (~20 MeV) and Compton Scattering below



Brem+ Pair Production = Electromagnetic Showers





A reasonable model of this process:

1. Each electron E > E_c travels 1 X_0 and gives up 50% E to photon

2. Each photon travels 1 X₀ and pair produces with 50% E to each

3. Electrons with E< E_c lose energy by ionization

Can show that Max number of shower particles occurs at: $X_{\text{max}} \propto \ln(\frac{E_0}{E_c})$

Total charged track length:

$$L \propto rac{E_0}{E_c}$$

Measure Energy by measuring L with ionization or scintillation





To contain >99% shower need depth of material ~ 25 X_0

To measure lateral position accurately need segmentation $\sim X_0$





Sampling vs Total Absorption Calorimeter

Sampling Calorimeter Lead- causes shower

Active Detector (ionization chamber or scintillator) to measure total track length L

Cheap with poor resolution ~2.5% for 100 GeV Photon

Total absorption calorimeter



Scintillator both causes shower and is active detector

Expensive with good Resolution ~0.5% at 100 GeV









CMS ECAL Technology Choice

August 7th, 2008

Higgs Width





Reconstruction of H-> $\gamma\gamma$



Measure photons in ECAL and form invariant mass mγγ

$$m_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{2E_{\gamma 1}E_{\gamma 2} \left(1 - \cos\theta_{\gamma 1, \gamma 2}\right)}$$

Width of peak determined by Energy resolution

$$\frac{\Delta m_{\gamma\gamma}}{m_{\gamma\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Delta E_{\gamma 1}}{E_{\gamma 1}} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_{\gamma 2}}{E_{\gamma 2}} \oplus \frac{\Delta \theta_{\gamma\gamma}}{\tan(\theta_{\gamma\gamma}/2)} \right]$$

(angular resolution also but limited by vertex resolution)

The significance of signal maximized by best possible energy resolution in calorimeter. Use total absorption calorimeter

(Note this plot for 100 fb^{-1} = year 2012-2013)

August 7th, 2008





The LHC Environment



Year	Luminosity x10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻	Integrated Luminosity fb ⁻¹		
2007	0.005	0.02		
2008	0.03	1.2		
2009	0.1	4		
2010+	1.0	40		

Bunch crossing rate : 40 MHz Every 25 ns : up to 20 p-p interactions and up to 1000 charged particles

Need fast and highly segmented detectors to avoid pileup of events and detectors must be radiation tolerant







Very Dense ($X_0 = 0.9 \text{ cm}$) – it's a transparent lead brick

Single Crystal which emits fast green scintillation light

Crystal acts as optical waveguide and light internally reflected onto photo-detector



Crystal Calorimeters in HEP



Date	75-85	80-00	80-00	80-00	90-10	94-10	94-1	<mark>95-20</mark>
Experiment	C. Ball	L3	CLEO II	C. Barrel	KTeV	BaBar	BELLE	CMS
Accelerator	SPEAR	LEP	CESR	LEAR	FNAL	SLAC	KEK	CERN
Crystal Type	Nal(TI)	BGO	CsI(TI)	CsI(TI)	CsI	CsI(Tl)	CsI(Tl)	PbWO ₄
B-Field (T)	-	0.5	1.5	1.5	-	1.5	1.0	4.0
r _{inner} (m)	0.254	0.55	1.0	0.27	-	10	1.25	1.29
Number of Crystals	672	11,400	7,800	1,400	3,300 <	6,580	8,800	76,000
Crystal Depth (X_0)	16	22	16	16	27	16 to 17.5	16.2	25
Crystal Volume (m ³)	1	1.5	7	1	2	5.9	9.5	11
Light Output (p.e./MeV)	350	1,400	5,000	2,000	40 🕻	5,000	5,000	2
Photosensor	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	WS^a +Si PD	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	APD^a
Gain of Photosensor	Large	1	1	1	4,000	1	1	50
σ_N /Channel (MeV)	0.05	0.8	0.5	0.2	small	0.15	0.2	40
Dynamic Range	104	10 ⁵	104	104	104	10 ⁴	104	10 ⁵
							-	

CMS: High Granularity to decrease occupancy but increases cost (~\$80-100 M)

PbWO is fast and radiation hard but has low light yield

August 7th, 2008





CMS Crystals: (X₀=0.9cm) 23cm in length

Transverse size of CMS crystals ~ $2.2 \text{ cm} \times 2.2 \text{ cm}$ (Moliere Radius = 2.2 cm)





Fast Scintillation to reduce Pileup





CMS ECAL Construction and Status

August 7th, 2008

The ECAL





August 7th, 2008



Barrel Endcap
η <1.48 1.48 η <3.0
0.0175x0.0175 varies in η
2.18x2.18x23 2.85x2.85x22
25.8 24.7(+3)
61.2 K 14.9K
36 supermodules 4Dees



The CMS experiment









CMS Barrel Installation



August 7th, 2008









A completed Dee with all Supercrystals

Auguter Zths 2008

DCOAliOoclesusopaat UCB







Dee1 lowering and rotation 19 July 08

Dee1 mounting on HE 22 July 08

DCDAillockes/sopatt UCB



Dee2 mounting on HE 24 July 08

Auganser Zths 2008

Lead Tungstate Properties

Radiation resistant to very high doses.





But:

Temperature dependence ~2.2%/ ^{O}C \rightarrow Stabilise Crystal Temp. to $\leq 0.1^{O}C$ Formation and decay of colour centres in dynamic equilibrium under irradiation \rightarrow Precise light monitoring system Low light yield (~1% Nal)

 \rightarrow Photodetectors with gain in mag field



Specially Developed Photodetectors



Monitoring and Calibration



CMS

Transparency changes from 1-2% (Barrel) to > 10% (endcap) over course of a run

Precision Laser Monitoring System essential to avoid Severe resolution degradation

In situ Calibration from W->ev, π^0 -> $\gamma\gamma$, Z⁰->e+e-, Z-> $\mu\mu\gamma$ essential to Achieve design performance



Laser light monitoring system



Colour centres

These form in PbWO₄ under irradiation Partial recovery occurs in a few hours

Damage and recovery during LHC cycles tracked with a laser monitoring system

2 wavelengths: 440 nm and 796 nm



Light injected into each crystal using guartz fibres, via the front (Barrel) or rear (Endcap)

Laser pulse to pulse variations followed with pn diodes to 0.1%

Normalise calorimeter data to the measured changes in transparency



Autouter Zths 2008

DCOAiooclesusopaat UCB

PWO Crystal ECAL Resolution

(Measured in Ideal conditions at testbeam. Reality later.)



August 7th, 2008



Preshower Detector









Preshower detector

Motivation: Improved π^0/γ **discrimination** Rapidity coverage: 1.65 < $|\eta|$ < 2.6 (End caps)

2 orthogonal planes of Si strip detectors behind 2 X0 and 1 X0 Pb respectively

Strip pitch: 1.9 mm (63 mm long) Area: 16.5 m² (4300 detectors, 1.4 x10⁵channels)

High radiation levels, dose after 10 yrs: 2 x 10¹⁴ n/cm², 60 kGy => operate at -10°C

A micromodule with its silicon sensor (32 channels)

90% of micromodules have been produced



63mm





The first full Dee absorber with a complete complement of sensors

Preshower installation expected during winter shutdown



DCOAlicochesisoppat UCB









localized to just

August 7th, 2008





Barrel - commissioning



Commissioning

The 36 Supermodules of the Barrel ECAL have been fully integrated into the trigger and readout chain of CMS

The detector has participated in several months of CMS cosmic runs and has recorded millions of cosmic ray events

The commissioning has been extremely important for debugging the trigger and data paths and for timing in the trigger primitives

CMS is now able to trigger with the full Barrel ECAL



A plot of over 3.2 million hits in the Barrel ECAL from cosmic ray triggered events in CMS

DCOAioochesusopaat UCB



Barrel - commissioning





A cosmic ray event in CMS involving the Barrel ECAL and Muon Drift Tubes A dramatic cosmic ray muon bremstrahlung in the Barrel ECAL

DCOAlicockeessorpat UCB







Selection and reconstruction of e/y

August 7th, 2008



Unusually large amount of material in front of Calorimeter (0.4 to 1.4 X_0) from Silicon tracker (c.f. BaBar 0.4 X_0)

- 1. Causes Electron Bremstrahlung
- 2. Causes Photons to pair produce

Significantly degrades resolution and Efficiency to reconstruct good e/γ



Electron Bremstrahlung







Electrons brem in tracker material and bend in ϕ in 4T mag field so cluster energy is distributed in ϕ .

35% electrons radiate more that 70% of energy before ECAL95%



Reducing Jet background to e/γ



Four tools: Shower Shape, Isolation, Track Matching, E/P



Level 1 Triggering (Hardware)



No tracks in trigger so e/γ is just a cluster. Use isolation and lateral shape to reduce jet background.



High Level Trigger (HLT)



L1: Possible to trigger on combination of up to four isolated or non isolated clusters.

Thresholds: (~100% efficient for H-> $\gamma\gamma$ and H->Z(ee)Z(ee) with e/ γ in fiducial region)Single Isolated:Et > 23 GeVDouble Isolated:Et > 12 GeVDouble Non-Isolated:Et > 19 GeV

HLT: Software trigger that adds, superclustering, tracking and partial or full reconstruction to give a full set of analysis tools for jet rejection.



Bremsstrahlung recovery in clustering

For a single e/γ that does not brem or convert cluster size is typically about 3x3 crystals (94% Energy contained)



Recover Brem by making "superclusters" which are a cluster of clusters in $\phi.$

(Hydrid/Island algorithms for Barrel/endcap)



August 7th, 2008

Example of an Electron reconstructed in ECAL







Colin Jessop at UCB



August 7th, 2008

Cluster Position Algorithm



Crystals are non-projective to avoid Leakage in cracks

Position of Xstal: shower max projected onto xstal axis

Use log E weighting to calculate centroid as E degrades exponentially



August 7th, 2008

Electron Reconstruction using ECAL and tracker

- 1. Find SuperCluster in ECAL
- 2. Use primary vertex to construct a presumed trajectory between SuperCluster and Vertex
- 3. Look for pixel hits in window about trajectory
- 4. Using pixel seeds build trajectory in to out and look for associated silicon tracker hits
- 5. Fit trajectory

- Propagate to Propagate to the pixel layers and look for compatible hits
- 6. Correct Cluster Energy for energy loss in material

Electron tracking uses Gaussian Sum Filter (GSF) which takes into account the effect of the interaction of the material in the tracker on the trajectory





(P,covar(p))

The Gaussian Sum Filter (GSF)Tracker CN

Kalman Filter introduced to take into account of energy loss in material when technology moved from gas to denser silicon trackers.

 $P' = P - \langle E_{loss} \rangle$ covar(p')=covar(p)-covar(E_{loss})

More efficient, better covariance matrix, get measure of P_{in} at vertex and at P_{out} at ECAL

Kalman uses Gaussian model of losses. GSF approximates correct Bethe-Heitler model of loss with sum of Gaussians

<Eloss>

(P',covar(p'))

Compare P_{in}-P_{out} (tracks) with E_{brem} (ECAL)





Colin Jessop at UCB

About 60% of electrons between 5 and 100 GeV are in class 4 (Bad)



Classified according to whether Brem has been fully Recovered and whether emitted photon has converted

Classification of Electrons

1. Golden Electrons: less than 20% brem which is fully recovered

Correlates to resolution

- 2. Big Brem: >50% brem which is fully recovered
- 3. Narrow: 20-50% brem which is fully recovered
- 4. Showering (Bad). Brem which is not recovered due to photon conversion



golden

big brem

3000





August 7th, 2008



Photon Reconstruction - Unconverted Photons





Unconverted photons are easily reconstructed with good Energy and position Resolution but a significant fraction convert due to material



Photon Conversions in H-> $\gamma\gamma$



~44% of photons from H $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ events convert

Of all conversions

~25% occur late in the tracker (i.e. with $R_{conv} > 85 \text{ cm or } Z_{conv} > 210 \text{ cm}) \rightarrow \text{good}$ as un-converted photons as for energy resolution in ECAL ~20% occur very early in the pixel detector



Photon Conversions





Early conversions (near vertex) degrade resolution significantly if use standard clustering algorithm. Need conversion finder.

Finding Photon Conversions

Start from SuperCluster

Do out to in tracking with GSF

Find tracks that intersect

About 75% efficient for R < 0.85 cm (trackers extends to 120cm) Significant Improvement in resolution but still worse than unconverted photons

For R > 0.85 conversions do not degrade resolution since electrons tend to fall within normal supercluster

Conclusion

CMS

Straight forward counting analysis using e/γ described

US Institutes in ECAL / e/γ

US ECAL is managed by Roger Rusack (U Minn.)

Hardware R&D

Caltech: Laser Monitoring System, Crystals Minnesota: APD readout

Testbeams, Construction and Commissioning

Caltech, FNAL, KSU, FSU, Minnesota, Notre Dame, Virginia

Calibration, Reconstruction Software and Data Analysis with electrons and photons

Caltech, FNAL, KSU, FSU, Minnesota, Notre Dame, Virginia

All in close collaboration with the many institutes comprising the CMS collaboration !

Backup Slides

August 7th, 2008

Preshower detector

Motivation: Improved π^0/γ **discrimination** Rapidity coverage: 1.65 < $|\eta|$ < 2.6 (End caps)

2 orthogonal planes of Si strip detectors behind 2 X0 and 1 X0 Pb respectively

Strip pitch: 1.9 mm (63 mm long) Area: 16.5 m² (4300 detectors, 1.4 x10⁵channels)

High radiation levels, dose after 10 yrs: 2 x 10¹⁴ n/cm², 60 kGy => operate at -10°C

A micromodule with its silicon sensor (32 channels)

90% of micromodules have been produced

63mm

The first full Dee absorber with a complete complement of sensors

Preshower installation expected during winter shutdown

DCOAirackessorpatat UCB

Laser light monitoring system

Colour centres

These form in PbWO₄ under irradiation Partial recovery occurs in a few hours

Damage and recovery during LHC cycles tracked with a laser monitoring system

2 wavelengths: 440 nm and 796 nm

Light injected into each crystal using quartz fibres, via the front (Barrel) or rear (Endcap)

Laser pulse to pulse variations followed with pn diodes to 0.1%

Normalise calorimeter data to the measured changes in transparency

versus time (h)

Augunst Zohş 2008

Colicochesisoppatt UCB

NOTRE DAME