

PRODUCTS AND DUALITY IN WALDHAUSEN CATEGORIES

MICHAEL WEISS AND BRUCE WILLIAMS

12/11/96

ABSTRACT. The natural transformation Ξ from \mathbf{L} -theory to the Tate cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on \mathbf{K} -theory (constructed in [WW2] and [WWd]) commutes with external products. Corollary: The Tate cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on the \mathbf{K} -theory of any ring with involution is a generalized Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum, and it is 4–periodic.

0. INTRODUCTION

Categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences (Waldhausen categories for short) were introduced in [Wald]. Generalizing earlier constructions due to Quillen and others, Waldhausen defined the K -theory spectrum $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ of a Waldhausen category \mathcal{C} . It was realized early on [Vo] that suitable notions of Spanier–Whitehead duality in \mathcal{C} give rise to involutions on $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$. We formalized this idea in [WWd] by introducing the notion of a Spanier–Whitehead product (SW product for short) in \mathcal{C} . To some extent this will be recalled below. When \mathcal{C} is equipped with an SW product \odot and (\mathcal{C}, \odot) satisfies certain conditions (§2 of [WWd]), then the following are defined:

- (1) a duality involution on $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ (more precisely, on something homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$) ;
- (2) a quadratic L -theory spectrum $\mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{C})$;
- (3) a symmetric L -theory spectrum $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$;
- (4) maps of spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{symmetrization} : \mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}), \\ \Xi : \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is the cofiber of the *norm map* from the homotopy orbit spectrum of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ to the homotopy fixed point spectrum:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})_{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N99, 57R50, 19D10.

Key words and phrases. Products, Ring Spectrum, Tate Cohomology, Surgery.

Both authors supported in part by NSF grant.

(See [WW2, §2] and [AdCoDw] or [GreMa].) Our interest in the map Ξ , or rather in the composition of Ξ with the symmetrization map from quadratic to symmetric L -theory, stems from the fact that it appears in an approximative algebraic description of *structure spaces* (moduli spaces of closed manifolds equipped with a homotopy equivalence to a fixed manifold M). Here we show that Ξ commutes with external products—a fact which greatly facilitates calculations.

0.1. Conventions, notation. Adopting a convention from [WWd], we usually denote spectra by boldface letters, and the corresponding (zero–th) infinite loop spaces by “unbold” letters. For example, $K(\mathcal{C})$ is an infinite loop space, and $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is a spectrum.

For the definition of *spectrum* and *CW–spectrum*, we refer to [Ad]. We shall also need *bispectra* and *CW–bispectra*. A bispectrum is a family of pointed spaces $E_{m,n}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and maps

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{m,n} &: \Sigma E_{m,n} \rightarrow E_{m+1,n} \\ \rho_{m,n} &: \Sigma E_{m,n} \rightarrow E_{m,n+1}\end{aligned}$$

such that $\lambda_{m,n+1}\Sigma(\rho_{m,n}) = \rho_{m+1,n}\Sigma(\lambda_{m,n})\tau : \Sigma^2 E_{m,n} \rightarrow E_{m+1,n+1}$ where $\tau : \Sigma^2 \rightarrow \Sigma^2$ permutes the suspension coordinates. If all $E_{m,n}$ are pointed CW–spaces and all $\lambda_{m,n}$ and $\rho_{m,n}$ are, up to CW–isomorphism, inclusions of CW–subspaces, then we speak of a CW–bispectrum. A *map* from a CW–bispectrum \mathbf{X} to another bispectrum \mathbf{Y} is defined as an equivalence class of pairs (\mathbf{X}', f) where \mathbf{X}' is a cofinal CW–bi–subspace of \mathbf{X} and $f : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a so–called function. (This definition is completely analogous to Boardman’s definition of a map from a CW–spectrum to another spectrum.)

Example/Definition: The smash product of a CW–spectrum $\mathbf{X} = \{X_m\}$ and a CW–spectrum $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n\}$ is the CW–bispectrum $\mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$ having the pointed CW–space $X_m \wedge Y_n$ in bidegree (m, n) . (We have suppressed the structure maps for convenience of notation.) We realize that this is a very conservative approach to smash products, but for the purposes of this paper it seems just right.

1. EXTERNAL PRODUCTS

We assume that the reader is familiar with the \mathcal{S}_\bullet construction and the definition $K(\mathcal{C}) := \Omega|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}|$ from [Wald]. For reasons given in [Wald, §1.3], $K(\mathcal{C})$ is an infinite loop space (“To pursue the analogy with Segal’s version . . .”). For external products, we quote directly from [Wald, §1.5]:

“We digress to indicate in which way the twice de-looped K –theory $w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}$ is used in defining *products*; or better, *external pairings* (products are induced from those). The ingredient that one needs is a bi–exact functor of categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences. This is a functor $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $(A, B) \mapsto A \wedge B$, having

the property that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ the partial functors $A \wedge ?$ and $? \wedge B$ are exact, and where in addition the following more technical condition must also be satisfied; namely, for every pair of cofibrations $A \mapsto A'$ and $B \mapsto B'$ in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively, the induced square of cofibrations in \mathcal{C} must be admissible in the sense that the map $A' \wedge B \cup_{A \wedge B} A \wedge B' \rightarrow A' \wedge B'$ is a cofibration. A bi-exact functor induces a map, of bisimplicial bicategories

$$w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{A} \times w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}$$

which upon passage to geometric realization factors through the smash product

$$|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{A}| \wedge |w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{B}| \longrightarrow |ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}|$$

and in turn induces

$$\Omega |w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{A}| \wedge \Omega |w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{B}| \longrightarrow \Omega \Omega |ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}|.$$

This is the desired pairing in K -theory in view of the homotopy equivalence of $|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}|$ with $\Omega |w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}|$, and a (much more innocent) homotopy equivalence of $w\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}$ with $ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{C}$ which we will have occasion later on to consider in detail (the ‘swallowing lemma’ ...).”

2. EXTERNAL PRODUCTS AND SW PRODUCTS

To begin, we recall from [WWd] the notion of an SW product. Let \mathcal{C} be a *Waldhausen category* and let $*$ denote the zero object in \mathcal{C} .

2.1. Definition [WWd, 1.1]. By an SW product on \mathcal{C} we shall mean a functor

$$(C, D) \mapsto C \odot D$$

from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ to the category of Spaces which is *w-invariant*, *symmetric* and *bilinear* (explanations follow).

- *w-Invariance* means that the functor takes pairs of weak equivalences to homotopy equivalences.
- *Symmetry* means that the functor comes with an isomorphism $\tau : C \odot D \cong D \odot C$, natural in both variables, whose square is the identity on $C \odot D$.
- *Bilinearity* means (in the presence of symmetry) that, for fixed but arbitrary D , the functor $C \mapsto C \odot D$ takes any *cofiber square* in \mathcal{C} to a homotopy pullback square of Spaces. (A cofiber square is a commutative pushout square in which either the horizontal or the vertical arrows are cofibrations.) Bilinearity also means that $* \odot D$ is contractible.
- The *category of Spaces* (with a capital S) refers to the category of fibrant simplicial sets. (This is mostly to ensure that we have canonically defined homotopy inverse limits in our category of Spaces.)

If $C \odot D$ is naturally pointed for all C and D , and $\tau : C \odot D \rightarrow D \odot C$ is a pointed map, then \odot will be called a *pointed* SW product. Every SW product \odot can be upgraded to a pointed SW product as follows: first replace $C \odot D$ by the homotopy equivalent $(C \odot D)/(* \odot *)$, which is pointed, and then apply Kan's natural procedure for making arbitrary simplicial sets fibrant.

Let \mathcal{HC} be the category of fractions obtained from \mathcal{C} by making all weak equivalences in \mathcal{C} invertible. (We assume that \mathcal{C} is small or at least equivalent to a small category.) Write $[C, D]$ for the set of morphisms from C to D in \mathcal{HC} . The conditions or axioms that we impose on (\mathcal{C}, \odot) to ensure that things like $\mathbf{L}_\bullet(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and the Ξ -map exist are briefly as follows [WWd, §2].

- i) \mathcal{C} admits a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom.
- ii) \mathcal{HC} is *stable*, i.e., the suspension $\Sigma : \mathcal{HC} \rightarrow \mathcal{HC}$ is an equivalence of categories.
- iii) A morphism in \mathcal{C} is a weak equivalence if it becomes an isomorphism in \mathcal{HC} .
- iv) For each B in \mathcal{HC} , the functor on \mathcal{HC} given by $C \mapsto \pi_0(B \odot C)$ is corepresentable, say by an object $T(B)$. (Note that T can be regarded as a functor $\mathcal{HC}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{HC}$; it is self-adjoint since $[T(B), C] \cong \pi_0(B \odot C) \cong \pi_0(C \odot B) \cong [T(C), B]$.)
- v) The canonical morphism $T^2(B) \rightarrow B$ (adjoint to $\text{id} : T(B) \rightarrow T(B)$) is an isomorphism for every B in \mathcal{HC} .

We say that an element in $\pi_0(B \odot C)$ is *nondegenerate* if the corresponding morphism $T(B) \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{HC} is an isomorphism. More generally, an element in $\pi_k(B \odot C)$ will be considered *nondegenerate* if the corresponding element in $\pi_0(\Sigma^{-k}B \odot C)$ is nondegenerate. Condition v) ensures that τ takes nondegenerate elements in $\pi_k(B \odot C)$ to nondegenerate elements in $\pi_k(C \odot B)$.

2.2. Outline. In sections 3, 4 and 5 below we work with three Waldhausen categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} related by a bi-exact functor $(A, B) \mapsto A \diamond B$ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ to \mathcal{C} . In addition we assume that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are equipped with pointed SW products satisfying the usual axioms and that the functor $(A, B) \rightarrow A \diamond B$ intertwines the SW products (details follow). The short-term goal is then to produce maps of spaces, from $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge L^\bullet(\mathcal{B})$ to $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ and from $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ to $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$, reflecting the category theoretic data at the space level. Here $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is short for $\Omega^\infty \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$, and so on. Most important for us, we want commutativity in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge L^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow \Xi \wedge \Xi & & \downarrow \Xi \\
\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}).
\end{array}$$

Possible and interesting choices for \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} etc. are listed in §2.A. In §6 we define

the products at the spectrum level and establish multiplicativity at the spectrum level. Some more input is required for that, but for now the following suffices.

2.3. Hypotheses in detail. We assume that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are equipped with SW products, all denoted by \odot for economy. In addition to the bi-exact functor $\diamond : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ we need a natural map of simplicial sets, the “intertwiner”:

$$j : (A_1 \odot A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot B_2) \rightarrow (A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot (A_2 \diamond B_2)$$

for A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{A} and B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{B} . These data are subject to three rather obvious conditions. The first is that axioms i)–v) above must hold for (\mathcal{A}, \odot) and (\mathcal{B}, \odot) and (\mathcal{C}, \odot) . The second condition is that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A_1 \odot A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot B_2) & \xrightarrow{\tau \wedge \tau} & (A_2 \odot A_1) \wedge (B_2 \odot B_1) \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\ (A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot (A_2 \diamond B_2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (A_2 \diamond B_2) \odot (A_1 \diamond B_1) \end{array}$$

must commute for A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{A} and B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{B} . Third condition: If $[\eta] \in \pi_0(A_1 \odot A_2)$ and $[\zeta] \in \pi_0(B_1 \odot B_2)$ are nondegenerate [WWd, 3.6], then $[j(\eta \wedge \zeta)]$ is nondegenerate in $\pi_0((A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot (A_2 \diamond B_2))$.

2.A. EXAMPLES

2.A.1. Preliminaries. Let $E \mapsto E^\sharp$ be the Kan–Dold functor [Cu] from chain complexes to simplicial abelian groups ; the n –simplices of E^\sharp are the chain maps from the cellular chain complex of the CW–space Δ^n to E .

The Kan–Dold functor is not well–behaved with respect to tensor products. To repair this we introduce another functor $E \mapsto E^{\sharp\sharp}$ from chain complexes to bisimplicial abelian groups ; the (m, n) –bisimplices of $E^{\sharp\sharp}$ are the chain maps from the cellular chain complex of the product CW–space $\Delta^m \times \Delta^n$ to E . Note that the set of (m, n) –bisimplices is in canonical bijection with the set of (n, m) –bisimplices ; this leads to a canonical involution on $|E^{\sharp\sharp}|$ and also to a canonical involution on the *diagonal* of $E^{\sharp\sharp}$ (a simplicial set whose n –simplices are the (n, n) –bisimplices of $E^{\sharp\sharp}$, and whose realization is homeomorphic to that of $E^{\sharp\sharp}$).

A natural bisimplicial map $E^\sharp \times F^\sharp \rightarrow (E \otimes F)^{\sharp\sharp}$ is given by $(f, g) \mapsto f \otimes g$ for an m –simplex $f \in E^\sharp$, and an n –simplex $g \in F^\sharp$. Note that this is not a homomorphism. It factors through the smash product $E^\sharp \wedge F^\sharp$. We may regard it as a map of simplicial sets by passing to diagonals.

2.A.2. Example. Given rings with involution R and S , let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be the categories of bounded chain complexes of f.g. projective left modules over R , S and $T = R \otimes S$, respectively. Define the SW product on \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} by

$$C \odot D := \begin{cases} (C \otimes_R D)^\# \\ (C \otimes_S D)^\# \\ (C \otimes_T D)^{\#\#} \end{cases}$$

respectively. Define $\diamond : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $C \diamond D := C \otimes D$. The definition of $\tau : C \odot D \rightarrow D \odot C$ is obvious for C, D in \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{B} . For C, D in \mathcal{C} we define $\tau : C \odot D \rightarrow D \odot C$ by combining $C \otimes_T D \rightarrow D \otimes_T C$ with the canonical involution on $?^{\#\#}$.

The ‘‘intertwiner’’ $j : (C \odot D) \wedge (C' \odot D') \rightarrow (C \diamond C') \odot (D \diamond D')$ for C, D in \mathcal{A} and C', D' in \mathcal{B} is obtained by writing the domain as $E^\# \wedge F^\#$ and the codomain as $(E \otimes F)^{\#\#}$, for $E = C \otimes_R D$ and $F = C' \otimes_S D'$, and applying the construction of 2.A.1. The conditions in 2.3 are satisfied.

2.A.3. Example. Let \mathcal{A} be the category of CW–spectra (in the sense of Boardman, [Ad]) with finitely many cells, with cellular maps as morphisms. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ and let \mathcal{C} be the category of CW–bispectra with finitely many cells. We regard the smash product of two CW–spectra as a CW–bispectrum. In this spirit, define $\diamond : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathbf{X} \diamond \mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$ and define \odot on \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} by

$$\mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{Y} := \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y})$$

(the simplicial set whose n –simplices are the bispectrum maps $\Delta_+^n \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$.) For \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} in \mathcal{C} let $\mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{Y}$ be the diagonal of the bisimplicial set whose (m, n) –bisimplices are the 4–spectrum maps

$$\Delta_+^m \wedge \Delta_+^n \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}.$$

The intertwiner j is defined (modulo obvious identifications, as a map of simplicial sets) by $(f, g) \mapsto f \wedge g$ for m –simplices $f \in \mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{Y}$ and $g \in \mathbf{X}' \odot \mathbf{Y}'$, with \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} in \mathcal{A} and \mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}' in \mathcal{B} . The definition of τ is obvious in the case of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and it is analogous to that in 2.A.2 in the case of \mathcal{C} . The conditions in 2.3 are satisfied.

2.A.4. Example. Given \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} with \diamond and SW products and intertwiner j as in 2.3, we can generate more examples by parametrization. Let X and Y be finite simplicial complexes. Let $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ be the category of colimit–preserving functors from the poset of subcomplexes of X to $\text{cof } \mathcal{A}$. See [WWd, §1.5] for more details. The functors in question are determined up to unique isomorphism by their restriction to the poset of *faces* of X , and for this reason it is permitted to think of $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ as a category of certain functors from the poset of faces of X to \mathcal{A} . We shall do so. We view $\mathcal{B}^*(Y)$ in the same way, and think of $\mathcal{C}^*(X, Y) := (\mathcal{C}^*(X))^*(Y)$ as a category of certain functors from the poset of pairs (s, t) to \mathcal{C} . Here s and

t are faces of X and Y , respectively. Now we can extend $\diamond : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to $\diamond : \mathcal{A}^*(X) \times \mathcal{B}^*(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(X, Y)$ by the rule $(F_1 \diamond F_2)(s, t) := F_1(s) \diamond F_2(t)$.

The intertwiner j also extends. To see this we recall that an SW product on $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ is defined in terms of that on \mathcal{A} by the formula

$$F_1 \odot F_2 := \operatorname{holim}_s F_1(s) \odot F_2(s)$$

for F_1, F_2 in $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$. We use the same formula to equip $\mathcal{B}^*(Y)$ with an SW product ; in $\mathcal{C}^*(X, Y)$ we must define

$$F_1 \odot F_2 := \operatorname{holim}_{s,t} F_1(s, t) \odot F_2(s, t)$$

where s, t run through the faces of Δ^m and Δ^n , respectively. So if $F_1 = E_1 \diamond E'_1$ and $F_2 = E_2 \diamond E'_2$, then $F_1 \odot F_2$ becomes

$$\operatorname{holim}_{s,t} (E_1(s) \diamond E'_1(t)) \odot (E_2(s) \diamond E'_2(s))$$

and as such receives a canonical map, induced by j , from

$$\operatorname{holim}_{s,t} (E_1(s) \odot E_2(s)) \wedge (E'_1(t) \wedge E'_2(t))$$

which in turn receives a canonical map from

$$[\operatorname{holim}_s (E_1(s) \odot E_2(s))] \wedge [\operatorname{holim}_t (E'_1(t) \odot E'_2(t))],$$

that is, from $(E_1 \odot E_2) \wedge (E'_1 \odot E'_2)$. The composite map

$$(E_1 \odot E_2) \wedge (E'_1 \odot E'_2) \longrightarrow (E_1 \diamond E'_1) \odot (E_2 \diamond E'_2)$$

is the intertwiner we are looking for: a natural transformation between functors from $\mathcal{A}^*(X) \times \mathcal{A}^*(X) \times \mathcal{B}^*(Y) \times \mathcal{B}^*(Y)$ to pointed Spaces. Let us call it \bar{j} , temporarily, to distinguish it from the original j . The conditions in 2.3 are satisfied, and here it is worth checking the third (on nondegeneracy).

Suppose therefore that $[\eta] \in \pi_0(E_1 \odot E_2)$ and $[\zeta] \in \pi_0(E'_1 \odot E'_2)$ are nondegenerate. By [WWd, 8.8] nondegeneracy of $[\eta]$ can be expressed as follows: for each face $s \subset X$, the image $[\eta_s]$ of $[\eta]$ under the specialization map

$$\pi_0(E_1 \odot E_2) \rightarrow \pi_{|s|}(E_1(s) \odot E_2(s/\partial s))$$

is nondegenerate. Here $E_2(s/\partial s)$ is short for $E_2(s)/E_2(\partial s)$. Nondegeneracy of $[\zeta]$ can be expressed in the same way. A twofold application of 8.8 shows that $[\xi] := [\bar{j}(\eta \wedge \zeta)]$ is nondegenerate provided its image $[\xi_{st}]$ under

$$\pi_0((E_1 \diamond E'_1) \odot (E_2 \diamond E'_2)) \rightarrow \pi_{|s+t|}((E_1 \diamond E'_1)(s \times t) \odot (E_2 \diamond E'_2)((s \times t)/\partial(s \times t)))$$

is nondegenerate, for every face $s \subset X$ and every face $t \subset Y$. By rewriting the codomain as $(E_1(s) \diamond E'_1(t)) \odot (E_2(s/\partial s) \diamond E'_2(t/\partial t))$, we see that $[\xi_{st}] = [j(\eta_s \wedge \zeta_t)]$, which is indeed nondegenerate because of our assumption on j .

2.A.5. Example. Again suppose that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are given as in 2.3 with \diamond and SW products and intertwiner j . We note that \diamond extends to a bi-exact functor

$$\mathfrak{S}_k \mathcal{A} \times \mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_k \mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{C}$$

by $(E \diamond F)(i, j, i', j') := E(i, j) \diamond F(i', j')$. See [WWd, §1] for notation. The appropriate SW products on $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{C}$ are also described in [WWd, §1]; they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \odot A_2 &:= \text{holim } A_1(i, j) \odot A_2(p, q) \\ B_1 \odot B_2 &:= \text{holim } B_1(i', j') \odot B_2(p', q') \\ C_1 \odot C_2 &:= \text{holim } C_1(i, j, i', j') \odot C_2(p, q, p', q') \end{aligned}$$

for A_1, A_2 in $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathcal{A}$ and B_1, B_2 in $\mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{B}$ and C_1, C_2 in $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{C}$, with the following conditions on the indices: $i + q$ and $j + p$ are $\geq k$, whereas $i' + q'$ and $j' + p'$ are $\geq \ell$. If $C_1 = A_1 \diamond B_1$ and $C_2 = A_2 \diamond B_2$ then

$$j : (A_1(i, j) \odot A_2(p, q)) \wedge ((B_1(i', j') \odot B_2(p', q'))) \longrightarrow C_1(i, j, i', j') \odot C_2(p, q, p', q')$$

is a natural transformation of functors in the variable $(i, j, i', j', p, q, p', q')$ and induces therefore

$$j : (A_1 \odot A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot B_2) \longrightarrow C_1 \odot C_2.$$

Again the conditions in 2.3 are satisfied for $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_k \mathfrak{S}_\ell \mathcal{C}$ with the extended SW products and the extended \diamond and j . In particular, the nondegeneracy condition can be verified using [WWd, 6.6]. We omit the details.

3. PRODUCTS AT THE SPACE LEVEL: SYMMETRIC L-THEORY

Fix \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} and additional structure as in 2.3. Define the Δ -set $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ in accordance with [WWd, §9], so that the set of m -simplices is the set of 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects in $\mathcal{A}^*(m) = \mathcal{A}^*(\Delta^m)$. Define $L^\bullet(\mathcal{B})$ in the same manner.

It is clear that we need a description of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ as a bi- Δ -set if we want to have a product map $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge L^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$. We therefore re-define $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ as the bi- Δ -set whose (m, n) -bisimplices are the 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects in $\mathcal{C}^*(m, n) := \mathcal{C}^*(\Delta^m, \Delta^n)$ (see example 2.A.4). To *legalize* the inflated definition of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ we observe that it is isomorphic to the realization of the Δ -space

$$(\bullet) \quad m \mapsto L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^*(m))$$

with the traditional definition of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^*(m))$. Each $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^*(m))$ is a fibrant Δ -set by the remark following [WWd, 9.2], and comparison of the homotopy groups shows

that all face operators $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^*(m_1)) \rightarrow L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^*(m_2))$ in (\bullet) are homotopy equivalences. Hence the geometric realization of (\bullet) has the correct homotopy type.

We are now ready for the product map $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge L^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$, as a map between bi- Δ -sets (see the remark just below). A typical (m,n) -bisimplex in $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \times L^\bullet(\mathcal{B})$ is a pair consisting of a 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré object (A, ϕ) in $\mathcal{A}^*(m)$ and a 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré object (B, ψ) in $\mathcal{B}^*(n)$. Using 2.A.4, we map this to the (m,n) -bisimplex in $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ given by the object $A \diamond B$ in $\mathcal{C}^*(m,n)$ together with the 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré structure

$$j(\phi \wedge \psi) \in ((A \diamond B) \odot (A \diamond B))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Remark. $L^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $L^\bullet(\mathcal{B})$ are pointed Δ -sets, i.e., contravariant functors from a certain category Δ to the category of pointed sets. The product of two Δ -sets *can* be defined roughly like the product of two simplicial sets, but products in this sense do not commute with geometric realization. A similar remark applies to smash products. We therefore prefer to define the smash product of two pointed Δ -sets X, Y as the bi- Δ -set which in bidegree (m, n) equals the pointed set $X_m \wedge Y_n$. Smash product in this sense commutes with geometric realization, provided the geometric realizations are taken in the pointed sense: all (bi)-simplices corresponding to a base point, in any degree or bidegree, must be collapsed.

4. PRODUCTS AT THE SPACE LEVEL: K-THEORY AND THE TATE CONSTRUCTION

We keep the notation of the preceding section. From §1 we know that $\diamond : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a map $\Omega|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{A}| \wedge \Omega|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{B}| \rightarrow \Omega\Omega|ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}|$ which we can write informally as $K(\mathcal{A}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$. What we would like to have, though, is a product map from $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ to $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$, described in a way which makes the comparison with product maps in symmetric L-theory easy. We start by describing the appropriate models for Ω^∞ of $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

Our model for $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is the geometric realization of $m \mapsto K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ as in [WWd, §9]. Since this involves spaces $K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))$ for $m \geq 0$, we must add that the preferred model for $K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))$ is $\Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{A}^*(m)|$, defined as in [WWd, §7]. The x prefix indicates a modification of Waldhausen's \mathcal{S}_\bullet construction which depends on the SW product. With these models, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on each $K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))$ so that $K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ has a meaning.— The preferred model for $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is constructed in the same manner.

As we shall explain, an appropriate model for $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is the geometric realization of the bi- Δ -space $(m, n) \mapsto K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$, with $\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)$ as in the pre-

ceding section. Here $K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))$ itself must be defined as $\Omega\Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)|$. Again the prefix x is there to ensure that $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts. To verify that $|n \mapsto K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}|$ has the right homotopy type, i.e. that of $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$, what we need to show is that the face operators in the Δ -space

$$m \mapsto |n \mapsto K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}|$$

are all homotopy equivalences. Since $|n \mapsto K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}|$ for fixed m is related to $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^*(m))^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ by a chain of natural homotopy equivalences, we are reduced to showing that the face operators in

$$m \mapsto \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^*(m))^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

are all homotopy equivalences. But that is clear because the mapping cone of any face map $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^*(m)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^*(0))$ is *induced* as a spectrum with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action. See [WWd, 9.4] and [WW2, 4.6].

The product map that we need can now be described as a bi- Δ -map between bi- Δ -spaces, as follows. The Waldhausen product construction of §1, with $\mathcal{A}^*(m)$, $\mathcal{B}^*(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)$ instead of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , has a straightforward refinement to

$$(\bullet\bullet) \quad \Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{A}^*(m)| \wedge \Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{B}^*(n)| \longrightarrow \Omega\Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)|.$$

This involves not only $\diamond : \mathcal{A}^*(m) \times \mathcal{B}^*(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(m, n)$, but also the intertwiner j . In somewhat more detail: An object of degree s in the simplicial category $xw\mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{A}^*(m)$ is a pair (C, D) of objects in $\mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{A}^*(m)$, coupled by means of an s -simplex z in $C \odot D$ whose component is nondegenerate. See [WWd, §4]. Given another object of the same degree s in $xw\mathcal{S}_\ell\mathcal{B}^*(n)$, consisting of a pair (C', D') of objects in $\mathcal{S}_\ell\mathcal{B}^*(n)$ and an s -simplex z' in a nondegenerate component of $C' \odot D'$, one finds that $C \diamond C'$ and $D \diamond D'$ are objects in $\mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{S}_\ell\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)$ coupled by means of the s -simplex $j(z, z')$ which belongs to a nondegenerate component of $(C \diamond C') \odot (D \diamond D')$. In this way \diamond and j induce

$$|xw\mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{A}^*(m)| \times |xw\mathcal{S}_\ell\mathcal{B}^*(n)| \longrightarrow |xw\mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{S}_\ell\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)|$$

for each m and n . This in turn induces $(\bullet\bullet)$. The detailed description shows that for each m and n the map $(\bullet\bullet)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting diagonally on the domain (and trivially on all loop coordinates in sight). With the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathcal{A}^*(m)) &= \Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{A}^*(m)|, \\ K(\mathcal{B}^*(m)) &= \Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{B}^*(m)|, \\ K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)) &= \Omega\Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)| \end{aligned}$$

we obtain from $(\bullet\bullet)$ a composite map

$$\begin{array}{c}
 K(\mathcal{A}^*(m))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \wedge K(\mathcal{B}^*(n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \\
 \downarrow \\
 (K(\mathcal{A}^*(m)) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}^*(n)))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \\
 \downarrow \\
 K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}
 \end{array}$$

for each m and n . On passage to geometric realizations this gives a product map

$$\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}).$$

5. MULTIPLICATIVITY OF Ξ

We keep the notation of §§ 3 and 4. The goal is to establish the commutative diagram in 2.2. One obstruction is that we have not yet given a description of $\Xi : L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ adapted to the models for $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ currently in use. Such a description is easy to supply: an (m, n) -bisimplex (C, ϕ) in $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ determines a homotopy fixed point of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on

$$|xw\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)| \cong |xww\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)| \subset \Omega^2|xww\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)| =: K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)),$$

i.e., a point $\Xi(C, \phi)$ in $K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$. The rule $(C, \phi) \mapsto \Xi(C, \phi)$ defines Ξ as a map of bi- Δ -spaces,

$$(\bullet) \quad \text{sp}_0(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C}^*(m, n))^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \quad (m, n \geq 0)$$

where sp_0 denotes the set of 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects. We must legalize the (re-)definition. To this end note once again that the inclusions of horizontal or vertical 0-skeletons in the geometric realizations of domain and codomain of (\bullet) are homotopy equivalences. So when it comes to identifying the map we have defined by (\bullet) , we can discard the terms with $m > 0$. Also, there is a standard inclusion

$$\Sigma|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(0, n)| \cong \Sigma|ww\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(0, n)| \hookrightarrow |w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(0, n)|$$

which leads to a homotopy equivalence e_n from $\Omega|w\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(0, n)|$ to $\Omega\Omega|ww\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{C}^*(0, n)|$. ■

We see that (\bullet) specialized to $m = 0$ differs from the standard definition of Ξ only by composition with the degreewise homotopy equivalence $\{e_n \mid n \geq 0\}$. This completes the legalization. With the definitions of Ξ that we now have (the standard one for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and an inflated one for \mathcal{C}), commutativity does indeed hold in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge L^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow \Xi \wedge \Xi & & \downarrow \Xi \\
 \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}).
 \end{array}$$

6. STABLE PRODUCTS

In this section the goal is to enhance the product maps defined in §§ 3,4 to spectrum level maps and to show that Ξ is multiplicative at the spectrum level. It was stated in 2.2 that this would require more input ; what the extra input amounts to, in the notation of 2.2 and 2.3, is more *stability* in the SW products on \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} and in the intertwiner j .

It was shown in [WWd, 10.4] that any pointed SW product \odot_0 on a Waldhausen category \mathcal{A} admits a delooping, provided \mathcal{A} has a cylinder functor. In other words, there exists another pointed SW product \odot_1 on \mathcal{A} and a natural pointed homotopy equivalence from $C \odot_0 D$ to $\Omega(C \odot_1 D)$. The pair (\mathcal{A}, \odot_0) satisfies the axioms i)–v) listed in §2 if and only if the pair (\mathcal{A}, \odot_1) satisfies them.

As a consequence a pointed SW product \odot_0 on \mathcal{A} determines a *stable* SW product \odot_\bullet . This is a sequence of pointed SW products $\dots, \odot_{-1}, \odot_0, \odot_1, \dots$, together with natural pointed maps $C \odot_i D \rightarrow \Omega(C \odot_{i+1} D)$ which are homotopy equivalences and respect the symmetry τ . The stable SW product can be used, if \mathcal{A} and \odot satisfy axioms i)–v) in §2, to produce a map of Ω -spectra

$$\Xi : \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

specializing in degree 0 to the map of spaces $\Xi : L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ which we used in the preceding sections.— Below we will also need *bistable* SW products. A bistable SW product $\odot_{\bullet\bullet}$ on a Waldhausen category \mathcal{C} is a bisequence of pointed SW products $\odot_{i,j}$ on \mathcal{C} together with natural pointed maps

$$\begin{aligned} C \odot_{i,j} D &\rightarrow \Omega(C \odot_{i,j+1} D) \\ C \odot_{i,j} D &\rightarrow \Omega(C \odot_{i+1,j} D) \end{aligned}$$

which make each collection $C \odot_{\bullet\bullet} D := \{C \odot_{i,j} D\}$ into an Ω -bispectrum and respect the symmetry τ .

6.1. Hypotheses. As in the preceding sections we work with three Waldhausen categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} related by a bi-exact functor $(A, B) \mapsto A \diamond B$ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ to \mathcal{C} . In addition we assume that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} are equipped with stable SW products \odot_\bullet . On \mathcal{C} , we need a bistable SW product $\odot_{\bullet\bullet}$. Finally we ask for a natural map of bispectra, the intertwiner:

$$j : (A_1 \odot_\bullet A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot_\bullet B_2) \rightarrow (A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot_{\bullet\bullet} (A_2 \diamond B_2)$$

for A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{A} and B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{B} . These data are subject to three conditions. The first is that axioms i)–v) above must hold for (\mathcal{A}, \odot_0) and (\mathcal{B}, \odot_0) and $(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{0,0})$. The second condition is that the diagram of bispectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (A_1 \odot_{\bullet} A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot_{\bullet} B_2) & \xrightarrow{\tau \wedge \tau} & (A_2 \odot_{\bullet} A_1) \wedge (B_2 \odot_{\bullet} B_1) \\
 \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
 (A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot_{\bullet\bullet} (A_2 \diamond B_2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (A_2 \diamond B_2) \odot_{\bullet\bullet} (A_1 \diamond B_1)
 \end{array}$$

must commute for A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{A} and B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{B} . Third condition: If $[\eta] \in \pi_0(A_1 \odot_0 A_2)$ and $[\zeta] \in \pi_0(B_1 \odot_0 B_2)$ are nondegenerate [WWd, 3.6], then $[j(\eta \wedge \zeta)]$ is nondegenerate in $\pi_0((A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot_{0,0} (A_2 \diamond B_2))$.

Remark. It is clear from [WWd] that in the circumstances of 2.3 the pointed SW products on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} can be made stable, and the pointed SW product on \mathcal{C} can be made bistable. Whether it is also possible to improve the intertwiner j to a map of bispectra is another matter. We do not know. It is therefore possible that hypotheses 6.1 are more restrictive than hypotheses 2.3. Fortunately they are not so restrictive that any of the examples in §2.A have to be excluded. See §6.A.

Remark. There is a mild variation on 6.1 where $C \odot_i D$ is only defined for $i \geq 0$ and C, D both in \mathcal{A} or both in \mathcal{B} , and $C \odot_{i,j} D$ is only defined for $i, j \geq 0$ and C, D in \mathcal{C} . This would be sufficient for our purposes.

In the following $L(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)$ for example means: $L(\mathcal{A})$ constructed using the SW product \odot_i on \mathcal{A} . Also $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)$ means: $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ with the involution determined by the SW product \odot_i . More details will be supplied as needed.

According to [WWd, 11.1] the spaces $L(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ form an Ω -spectrum (the structure maps are from $\Sigma L(\mathcal{A} \odot_i)$ to $L(\mathcal{A}, \odot_{i+1})$ and their adjoints are homotopy equivalences). Also, the spaces $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ form an Ω -spectrum. Here $\Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is really short for the geometric realization of the Δ -space

$$m \mapsto K(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

where $K(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)$ in turn is short for $\Omega|xw\mathcal{S}_{\bullet}\mathcal{A}|$, where the x prefix has a meaning which depends on the SW product \odot_i . The maps

$$\Xi : L(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$$

commute with the structure maps and define therefore a map of Ω -spectra, denoted by the same letter:

$$\Xi : \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Of course, all this is equally true with \mathcal{A} replaced by \mathcal{B} . In fact, by the same mechanism, something similar is true for \mathcal{C} : the maps

$$\Xi : L(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j}) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$$

commute with the structure maps and define therefore a map of Ω -bispectra, denoted by the same letter:

$$\Xi : \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Also by inspection, the product maps

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i) \wedge L(\mathcal{B}, \odot_j) &\longrightarrow L(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j}) \\ \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \wedge \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B}, \odot_j)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) &\longrightarrow \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \end{aligned}$$

induced by \diamond and the bidegree (i, j) part of the intertwiner j commute with the structure maps, and so define maps of bispectra:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i) \wedge \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{B}, \odot_j) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j}) \\ \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}, \odot_i)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} \wedge \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B}, \odot_j)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}, \odot_{i,j})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}. \end{aligned}$$

With these specific models, commutativity holds in the diagram of bispectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow \Xi \wedge \Xi & & \downarrow \Xi \\ \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} \wedge \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}. \end{array}$$

6.A. EXAMPLES

6.A.1. Example (compare 2.A.2). Given rings with involution R and S , let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be the categories of bounded chain complexes of f.g. projective left modules over R , S and $T = R \otimes S$, respectively. Define stable SW products on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and a bistable SW product on \mathcal{C} , by

$$\begin{aligned} C \odot_i D &:= (\Sigma^i(C \otimes_R D))^\# \\ C \odot_i D &:= (\Sigma^i(C \otimes_S D))^\# \\ C \odot_{i,j} D &:= (\Sigma^{i+j}(C \otimes_T D))^{\#\#} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The structure maps $\Sigma(C \odot_i D) \rightarrow C \odot_{i+1} D$ for C, D both in \mathcal{A} or both in \mathcal{B} are special cases of a natural map of simplicial sets $\Sigma(E^\#) \rightarrow (\Sigma E)^\#$ defined for every chain complex E of abelian groups, as follows. To an $(n-1)$ -chain in $E^\#$, given by a chain map f from the cellular chain complex $\text{cl}(\Delta^{n-1})$ to E , we associate the composition $\Sigma f \cdot p$ from $\text{cl}(\Delta^n)$ to ΣE . Here $p : \text{cl}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow \Sigma \text{cl}(\Delta^{n-1})$ can be defined as the map of reduced cellular chain complexes induced by a canonical quotient map of CW-spaces, $\Delta_+^n \rightarrow \Sigma(\Delta_+^{n-1})$.

The structure maps in $C \odot_{\bullet\bullet} D$ for C, D in \mathcal{C} are defined similarly ; they are special cases of natural bisimplicial maps $\Sigma_h(E^{\#\#}) \rightarrow (\Sigma E)^{\#\#}$ and $\Sigma_v(E^{\#\#}) \rightarrow (\Sigma E)^{\#\#}$ where Σ_h and Σ_v denote horizontal and vertical suspension respectively (certain functors from pointed bisimplicial sets to pointed bisimplicial sets).

Finally the construction of j as a map of bispectra from $(A_1 \odot_{\bullet} A_2) \wedge (B_1 \odot_{\bullet} B_2)$ to $(A_1 \diamond B_1) \odot_{\bullet\bullet} (A_2 \diamond B_2)$ is completely analogous to what we had in 2.A.3. To avoid sign troubles, avoid using the symbol Σ ; use instead $\mathbb{S}^1 \wedge$ or $(\mathbb{Z}, i) \otimes$ as appropriate, where (\mathbb{Z}, i) is the chain complex of free abelian groups equal to \mathbb{Z} in degree i and zero elsewhere.

6.A.2. Example compare 2.A.3. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ and \diamond be as in 2.A.3. For \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} both in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and $i \geq 0$ let

$$\mathbf{X} \odot_i \mathbf{Y} := \Omega^\infty(\mathbb{S}^i \wedge \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}),$$

or more precisely: the simplicial set whose n -simplices are the bispectrum maps from $\Delta_+^n \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0$ to $\mathbb{S}^i \wedge \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$. For \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} in \mathcal{C} and $i, j \geq 0$ let

$$\mathbf{X} \odot_{i,j} \mathbf{Y} := \Omega^\infty(\mathbb{S}^i \wedge \mathbb{S}^j \wedge \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}),$$

more precisely: the bisimplicial set whose (m, n) -simplices are the 4-spectrum maps from $\Delta_+^m \wedge \Delta_+^n \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{S}^0$ to $\mathbb{S}^i \wedge \mathbb{S}^j \wedge \mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$.

The intertwiner j is defined (modulo obvious identifications, as a map of bispectra made from bisimplicial sets) by $(f, g) \mapsto f \wedge g$ for an m -simplex $f \in \mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{Y}$ and an n -simplex $g \in \mathbf{X}' \odot \mathbf{Y}'$, with \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} in \mathcal{A} and \mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}' in \mathcal{B} . The definition of τ is obvious in the case of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and it is analogous to that in 6.A.1 in the case of \mathcal{C} .

7. ASSOCIATIVITY

Our results so far include the statement that $\Xi : \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a *multiplicative* map of spectra if \mathcal{C} is the category of bounded chain complexes of finitely generated free abelian groups (for example). But the proof involves two distinct models for each of the spectra $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$, making it nontrivial to state and prove that Ξ is a map of ring spectra. However, with a definition of *ring spectrum* adapted to the purpose (7.1. below), it can be done.

In definition 7.1, we mean by an *n-spectrum* a collection of pointed CW-spaces X_α for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, together with structure maps $\Sigma X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ which are, up to CW-isomorphism, inclusions of CW-subspaces and are defined whenever $\beta - \alpha$ has a single nonzero coordinate, and that is equal to 1. The structure maps are subject

to the usual relations. For instance, a 1–spectrum is an ordinary CW–spectrum, a 2–spectrum is a CW–bispectrum, and so on. We make n –spectra into a category following Boardman [Ad, Part III]: a morphism is an equivalence class of *functions*. We insist on cellular maps for simplicity.

7.1. Definition. A *ring multispectrum* consists of

- an n –spectrum $\mathbf{F}(n)$ for each $n > 0$;
- maps of $(m + n)$ –spectra $\mu : \mathbf{F}(m) \wedge \mathbf{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(m + n)$ (for all $m, n > 0$) which are associative ;
- a map of 1–spectra $\eta : \mathbf{S}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(1)$ such that the following compositions are homotopy equivalences for every $n > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{F}(n) &\xrightarrow{\eta \wedge \text{id}} \mathbf{F}(1) \wedge \mathbf{F}(n) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{F}(1 + n) \\ \mathbf{F}(n) \wedge \mathbf{S}^0 &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \eta} \mathbf{F}(n) \wedge \mathbf{F}(1) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{F}(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The *underlying spectrum* $\mathbf{F}(1)$ of a ring multispectrum $\mathbf{F}(?)$ as in 7.1 has a homotopy associative multiplication with two–sided homotopy unit.

Proof/Explanation. Let $\mathcal{H}(n)$ be the homotopy category of n –spectra. The internal smash product $\mathcal{H}(1) \times \mathcal{H}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(1)$ that we use here will be denoted by \smile , to avoid confusion with the obvious smash product $\wedge : \mathcal{H}(1) \times \mathcal{H}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(2)$. It is defined implicitly by a natural bijection

$$[\mathbf{X} \smile \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}] \cong [\mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{W}]$$

for \mathbf{W} in $\mathcal{H}(1)$, where the square brackets denote morphism sets in $\mathcal{H}(1)$ and $\mathcal{H}(2)$, respectively. The implicit definition is justified because $\mathbf{S}^0 \wedge$ is an equivalence $\mathcal{H}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(2)$. Now let $\mathbf{F}(?)$ be a ring multispectrum. Then the composition of $\mu : \mathbf{F}(1) \wedge \mathbf{F}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(2)$ with the inverse of $\mu(\eta \wedge \text{id}) : \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{F}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(2)$ is an element in $[\mathbf{F}(1) \wedge \mathbf{F}(1), \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{F}(1)] \cong [\mathbf{F}(1) \smile \mathbf{F}(1), \mathbf{F}(1)]$ which we can view as a multiplication $\mathbf{F}(1) \smile \mathbf{F}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(1)$. From the conditions in 7.1, the multiplication is associative and has $[\eta] \in \pi_0(\mathbf{F}(1))$ as a left unit. On the right, $[\eta]$ acts invertibly. But $[\eta]^2 = [\eta]$ since $[\eta]$ is a left unit, so $[\eta]$ must be a right unit, too. \square

7.2. Example. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of bounded chain complexes of finitely generated free abelian groups, with the stable SW product \odot_\bullet specified in 6.A.1, that is,

$$C \odot_i D := (\Sigma^i(C \otimes D))^\sharp.$$

Then $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is the underlying spectrum $\mathbf{E}(1)$ of a ring multispectrum $\mathbf{E}(?)$.

Proof, in outline. In more detail, $\mathbf{E}(1)$ is the 1–spectrum whose i –th term is the Δ –set $L^\bullet(\mathcal{C}, \odot_i)$, so that the m –simplices in the i –th term are the 0–dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects in $\mathcal{C}^*(m)$ with respect to the SW product \odot_i .

We have seen $\mathbf{E}(2)$ constructed in §6 and §6.A. The input is a bistable SW product $\odot_{\bullet\bullet}$ on \mathcal{C} , defined as in 6.A.1 by the formula

$$C \odot_{ij} D := (\Sigma^{i+j}(C \otimes D))^{\#\#}.$$

The (i, j) -term of $\mathbf{F}(2)$ is defined as the bi- Δ -set whose (m, n) -bisimplices are the 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects in $\mathcal{C}^*(m, n)$ with respect to the SW product \odot_{ij} . The multiplication $\mu : \mathbf{E}(1) \wedge \mathbf{E}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(2)$ has been described in §6 and 6.A.1.

In order to construct $\mathbf{E}(k)$ for $k > 2$, we need first a k -stable SW product on \mathcal{C} (a functor from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ to k -spectra). The definition is an obvious generalization of 6.A.1. Then we define the (i_1, \dots, i_k) -term of $\mathbf{E}(k)$ as the k - Δ -set whose (m_1, \dots, m_k) -simplices are the 0-dimensional symmetric Poincaré objects in $\mathcal{C}^*(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ with respect to the ordinary SW product which is the (i_1, \dots, i_k) -term in the given k -stable SW product.

Multiplication μ and unit η are obvious. To ensure that μ is associative, use a definition of tensor product (of finitely generated free abelian groups) which is strictly associative, not just associative up to coherent isomorphism. This can be arranged. To check that η satisfies the conditions in 7.1, look at homotopy groups, noting that all (multi-) Δ -sets in sight are fibrant. \square

7.3. Example. Keep \mathcal{C} and the stable SW product \odot_{\bullet} from 7.2. Then $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is the underlying spectrum of a ring multispectrum $\mathbf{F}(?)$. *Idea of proof:* exactly as in 7.2.

7.4. Example. Let \mathcal{C} be the Waldhausen category of CW-spectra with finitely many cells, with the stable SW product specified in 6.A.2:

$$\mathbf{X} \diamond \mathbf{Y} := \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{X} \wedge \mathbf{Y}).$$

Then $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ are the underlying spectra of ring multispectra $\mathbf{E}(?)$ and $\mathbf{F}(?)$, respectively.

7.5. Conclusion. *With \mathcal{C} as in 7.2 and 7.3 or as in 7.4, the map $\Xi : \mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ can be refined to a map of ring multispectra.*

Proof. The appropriate ring multispectra have just been described, and Ξ extends for obvious reasons (compare §5, §6). \square

7.6. Proposition. *With \mathcal{C} as in 7.2 and 7.3 or 7.4, the unit $1 \in \pi_0(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ has infinite order.*

Preliminaries for the proof. Our argument involves a calculation in real topological K -theory. It is possible that the connective real topological K -theory spectrum \mathbf{bo} has a description in terms of chain complexes, analogous to that we have been using for the algebraic K -theory of \mathbb{Z} , but such a description has not been worked out as far as we know. This forces us to use older models for K -theory spectra.

Let R be any ring. According to Segal [Seg], the symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{M}(R)$ of finitely generated projective left R -modules, with isomorphisms as morphisms, determines a (special) Γ -space with underlying space $|\mathcal{M}(R)|$, and therefore a connective spectrum. We may call this the algebraic K -theory spectrum of R .

If the ring R comes with an involution (involutory anti-automorphism), then it is a good idea to enlarge $\mathcal{M}(R)$ a little, as follows. Let $x\mathcal{M}(R)$ have objects (P, Q, z) where P, Q are in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and $z : P^* \rightarrow Q$ is an isomorphism of left R -modules. (Here P^* is $\text{hom}_R(P, R)$, made into a left R -module using the involution on R .) A morphism $(P, Q, z) \rightarrow (P', Q', z')$ in $x\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a pair of isomorphisms $i : P \rightarrow P'$ and $j : Q \rightarrow Q'$ such that $jzi^* = z'$. (If we identify Q with the dual of P and Q' with the dual of P' using z and z' respectively, this means that j is the *inverse of the transpose* of i .) Clearly $x\mathcal{M}(R)$ is still a symmetric monoidal category, equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(R)$ as such. But it comes with an involution $(P, Q, z) \mapsto (Q, P, \bar{z})$ where $\bar{z} : Q^* \rightarrow P$ is the adjoint of z . This then leads to an involution on the algebraic K -theory spectrum $\mathbf{K}(R)$, provided we define $\mathbf{K}(R)$ using the symmetric monoidal category $x\mathcal{M}(R)$ and Segal's Γ -space machinery.

The Segal type model of $\mathbf{K}(R)$, made from $x\mathcal{M}(R)$, is contained in the larger model of $\mathbf{K}(R)$ that we normally use, the one defined in terms of the category of bounded chain complexes of f.g. projective left modules over R , and an SW product as in 2.A.2. The inclusion is a homotopy equivalence, and respects the involutions.

Proof of 7.6. It is sufficient to consider the case where \mathcal{C} is the category of 7.2, so that $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let \mathbf{bo} be the connective K -theory spectrum. The standard map from $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ to \mathbf{bo} (via the algebraic K -theory spectrum of \mathbb{R}) can be made into a map of spectra with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action, but to see this we have to use the Segal model for $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$. That is, we think of $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ as the spectrum obtained from the symmetric monoidal category with involution $x\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})$. We think of \mathbf{bo} as the spectrum obtained by Segal's method from a topological symmetric monoidal category with involution $x\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_t)$ whose objects are triples (V, W, z) where V and W are finite dimensional real vector spaces and $z : V^* \rightarrow W$ is a linear isomorphism. This establishes the claim that the standard map from $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ to \mathbf{bo} can be viewed as a map of spectra with involution, and it also shows that the appropriate involution on \mathbf{bo} is essentially trivial. Namely, $x\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_t)$ has a full subcategory, equivalent to the whole, consisting of the objects $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m, z)$ where z is the standard isomorphism from the dual of \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^m . On this subcategory, the involution is actually trivial. We finish

the argument as follows. The norm fibration sequences for $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ and \mathbf{bo} give us a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_0(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})_{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(\mathbf{bo}_{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}). \end{array}$$

It is enough to show that the image of $1 \in \pi_0(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ in $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ has infinite order. This image has a canonical lift to $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2})$, represented by the trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$. By the calculations of [AtSe], the trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ represents an element of infinite order in the cokernel of the homomorphism $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}_{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2})$.

In more detail: $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge$ and the standard generators for the two summands are $[\varepsilon]$ and $[\varepsilon] - [\eta]$, where ε is the trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ and η is the nontrivial line bundle on $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$. (These "generators" only generate a dense subgroup of $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2})$, to be precise.) The inclusion $\mathbf{bo} \rightarrow \mathbf{bo}_{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ induces an isomorphism in π_0 so that $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}_{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The norm homomorphism sends the standard generator of $\pi_0(\mathbf{bo}_{h\mathbb{Z}/2})$ to the bundle on $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ manufactured from the permutation representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on \mathbb{R}^2 ; this is in the class $[\varepsilon] + [\eta]$. \square

7.7. Corollary. *The homomorphism Ξ_* from $\pi_{4k}(\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ to $\pi_{4k}(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is injective, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The graded homomorphism Ξ_* from $\pi_*(\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}))$ to $\pi_*(\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is 4-periodic by 7.5, since its domain has an invertible element in degree 4. By 7.6, it is also injective in degree 0. \square

7.8. Definition. A *module multispectrum* over a ring multispectrum $\mathbf{F}(?) = (\mathbf{F}(?), \mu, \eta)$ consists of

- an n -spectrum $\mathbf{G}(n)$ for each $n > 0$;
- maps of $(m+n)$ -spectra $\rho : \mathbf{F}(m) \wedge \mathbf{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(m+n)$ (for all $m, n > 0$) which are subject to two conditions. The first is *associativity*: the two evident maps from $\mathbf{F}(\ell) \wedge \mathbf{F}(m) \wedge \mathbf{G}(n)$ to $\mathbf{G}(\ell+m+n)$ defined in terms of ρ and μ agree, for arbitrary $\ell, m, n > 0$. The second concerns the unit. Namely, the composition of $\rho : \mathbf{F}(1) \wedge \mathbf{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(1+n)$ with $\eta \wedge \text{id} : \mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(1) \wedge \mathbf{G}(n)$ is a homotopy equivalence $\mathbf{S}^0 \wedge \mathbf{G}(n) \simeq \mathbf{G}(1+n)$.

Remark. Keep the notation of 7.8. In the homotopy category of 1-spectra, $\mathbf{G}(1)$ is a module over $\mathbf{F}(1)$.

7.9. Example. For any ring with involution R , the spectrum $\mathbf{K}(R)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is the 1-term of a module multispectrum over a ring multispectrum whose 1-term is $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$. (Here $\mathbf{K}(R)$ is short for $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})$ where \mathcal{B} is the category of bounded chain complexes of f.g. projective R -modules.)

7.10. Corollary. *The spectrum $\mathbf{K}(R)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a generalized Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum, for every (discrete) ring with involution R . It is also 4-periodic:*

$$\mathbf{K}(R)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} \simeq \Omega^4 \mathbf{K}(R)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Proof. $\mathbf{K}(R)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a 2-local spectrum, and (in the homotopy category of 1-spectra) a module over the ring spectrum $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Z})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and then also over $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z})$. Now $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z})$ is 4-periodic with the definition we use, which agrees with [Ra2] but not with [Ra1]. (In other words: there exists an invertible element in $\pi_4(\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}))$.) Further, $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z})$ is an algebra over the ring spectrum \mathbf{MSO} which is a generalized Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum when localized at the prime 2. See [TW]. \square

Remark. Let \mathcal{C} be the Waldhausen category of CW-spectra with finitely many cells, as in 7.4. Then $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a module over $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$, but $\mathbf{L}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is not 4-periodic, nor is it an algebra over \mathbf{MSO} . We can not conclude that $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbf{A}(*)^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is generalized Eilenberg–MacLane or that it is 4-periodic. It is certainly not generalized Eilenberg–MacLane.

In fact it can be shown that Waldhausen’s splitting of $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{A}(*)$ into \mathbf{S}^0 and another wedge summand is invariant under the duality involution. The resulting involution on \mathbf{S}^0 is trivial. Therefore $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})^{th\mathbb{Z}/2}$ contains the Tate spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting trivially on \mathbf{S}^0 as a wedge summand, up to homotopy equivalence. By the affirmed Segal conjecture [Car], [Lin] the Tate spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting trivially on \mathbf{S}^0 is homotopy equivalent to the completion at 2 of the sphere spectrum. It is not a wedge summand of a generalized Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum.

REFERENCES

- [Ad]: J.F.Adams, *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*, Chicago Lectures in Math., University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [AdCoDw]: A.Adem, R.L.Cohen and W.G.Dwyer, *Generalized Tate Homology, Homotopy fixed points, and the transfer*, Proc.of 1988 Northw.Univ.Conf.on Alg.Topology, Contemp.Math. **96** (1989).
- [AtSe]: M. Atiyah and G.Segal, *Equivariant K -theory and completion*, J. Diff. Geom. **3** (1969), 1–18.
- [Car]: G.Carlsson, *Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture*, Annals of Math. **120** (1984), 189–224.
- [Cu]: E.B.Curtis, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Adv. in Math. **40** (1971), 107–209.
- [GreMa]: J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P.May, *Generalized Tate, Borel and CoBorel Cohomology*, University of Chicago Preprint (1992).
- [Lin]: W.H.Lin, *On conjectures of Mahowald, Segal and Sullivan*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **87** (1980), 449–458.
- [Ran1]: A.Ranicki, *The algebraic Theory of Surgery, I, II*, Proc.Lond.math.Soc.(3) **40** (1980), 87–283.
- [Ran2]: A.Ranicki, *Algebraic L -theory and topological manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, GB, 1992.
- [Seg]: G.Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [TW]: L.Taylor and B.Williams, *Surgery spaces: formulae and structure*, pp. 170–195 in Proc. 1978 Waterloo Algebraic Topology Conf., Lecture Notes in Math. 741, Springer 1979.
- [Wald]: F.Waldhausen, *Algebraic K -theory of Spaces*, Proc. of 1983 Rutgers Conf. on Algebraic Topology, Springer Lect.Notes in Math.1126 (1985), 318–419.
- [WW2]: M.Weiss and B.Williams, *Automorphisms of Manifolds and Algebraic K -Theory: II*, J.Pure and Appl.Algebra **62** (1989), 47–107.
- [WWd]: M.Weiss and B.Williams, *Duality in Waldhausen categories*, Preprint 54 pp., University of Notre Dame 1996.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIV. OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA
E-mail address: `weiss.13@nd.edu`

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIV. OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA
E-mail address: `bruce@bruce.math.nd.edu`