

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
DEPARTMENT OF AEROSPACE AND MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
AME40463: Senior Design Project

Engineering Notebook Evaluator Comments - First Review
from Mr. Chandan Mozumder - Feb. 25, 2008

Review was based upon the following Grading Criteria:

1. Consistent entries (6 pts)
 - a. Multiple entries every week during the project (6)
 - b. Entries on Tues/Thurs every week during the project (4)
 - c. Few entries missing (3)
 - d. Irregular use with significant gap (2)
2. Professional Presentation
 - a. Consistently neat, well organized and all entries dated (4)
 - b. Irregular or marginal quality of presentation (2)
 - c. Consistently poor presentation (0)

The overall performance of the students was satisfactory. I am listing a few comments /concerns I had with a few notebooks.

- The group effort was reflected in the notebooks. The members of the groups, who had more team meetings, had more entries and so better performance in terms of the consistent use of the notebook. So keep having those team meetings and keep the good work going!
- Please be consistent with the entries. A few people had many entries for one week, and then just one entry for the next week.
- Don't leave ANY many blank pages between entries.
- Please make it a habit to mark the date clearly at one fixed position on each page (e.g., top left corner), rather than just having it marked somewhere. This will give the notebook a more professional and organized look.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the notebook review scheduling or the notebook content, please feel free to contact me (Chandan Mozumder) at cmozumde@nd.edu.