

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
DEPARTMENT OF AEROSPACE AND MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Professor H.M. Atassi
113 Hessert Center
Tel: 631-5736
Email: atassi@nd.edu

AME-60639
Advanced Aerodynamics

Compressibility Effects on Airfoil Lift

The Prandtl-Glauert rule gives

$$C_p = \frac{C_{p,0}}{\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2}} \quad (1)$$

$$C_\ell = \frac{C_{\ell,0}}{\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2}} \quad (2)$$

$$C_m = \frac{C_{m,0}}{\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2}} \quad (3)$$

The Karman-Tsien rule gives

$$C_p = \frac{C_{p,0}}{\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2 + [M_\infty^2/(1 + \sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2})]C_{p,0}/2}}, \quad (4)$$

and the Laitone's rule states

$$C_p = \frac{C_{p,0}}{\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2 + (M_\infty^2 \{1 + [(\gamma - 1)/2]M_\infty^2\})/2\sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2}}} C_{p,0}, \quad (5)$$




Figure 1: Comparison of measured lift-curve slope for NACA 4412 profile with Prandtl-Glauert rule.




Figure 2: Comparison of measured lift-curve slope for a propeller section of 6% thickness with Prandtl-Glauert rule.




Figure 3: Compressibility corrections for C_p compared with experimental results for a NACA 4412 airfoil at an angle of attack of $\alpha = 1^\circ 53'$. C_p is measured at 0.30-chord location.




Figure 4: Flow past a circular arc profile.

- (a) Streamlines.
- (b) Effect of M_∞ on lift coefficient.
- (c) Low critical Mach number as a function of camber.




Figure 5: The Critical Mach number. Point A is the location of minimum pressure (maximum velocity) on the top surface of the airfoil




Figure 6: Determination of the critical Mach number.

- (B) C_p .
- (C) $C_{p,cr}$.
- (D) Intersection gives critical Mach number.




Figure 7: Effect of airfoil thickness on critical Mach number.




Figure 8: Sketch of the variation of profile drag coefficient with free-stream Mach number, illustrating the critical and drag-divergence Mach numbers and showing the large drag rise near Mach 1.




Figure 9: NACA 64-series airfoil compared with a supercritical airfoil at cruise conditions.




Figure 10: The drag-divergence properties of a standard NACA 64-series airfoil and a supercritical airfoil.