# Rethinking Information Theory for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

Jeffrey Andrews, Sanjay Shakkottai, and Robert Heath, University of Texas, Austin Nihar Jindal, University of Minnesota Martin Haenggi, University of Notre Dame Randy Berry and Dongning Guo, Northwestern University Michael Neely, University of Southern California Steven Weber, Drexel University Syed Jafar, University of California at Irvine Aylin Yener, Pennsylvania State University

# ABSTRACT

The subject of this article is the long standing open problem of developing a general capacity theory for wireless networks, particularly a theory capable of describing the fundamental performance limits of mobile ad hoc networks. A MANET is a peer-to-peer network with no preexisting infrastructure. MANETs are the most general wireless networks, with single-hop, relay, interference, mesh, and star networks comprising special cases. The lack of a MANET capacity theory has stunted the development and commercialization of many types of wireless networks, including emergency, military, sensor, and community mesh networks. Information theory, which has been vital for links and centralized networks, has not been successfully applied to decentralized wireless networks. Even if this was accomplished, for such a theory to truly characterize the limits of deployed MANETs it must overcome three key roadblocks. First, most current capacity results rely on the allowance of unbounded delay and reliability. Second, spatial and timescale decompositions have not yet been developed for optimally modeling the spatial and temporal dynamics of wireless networks. Third, a useful network capacity theory must integrate rather than ignore the important role of overhead messaging and feedback. This article describes some of the shifts in thinking that may be needed to overcome these roadblocks and develop a more general theory.

# THE NEED FOR A NETWORK INFORMATION THEORY

Information theory has provided a scientific foundation for the development of some of society's most advanced and beloved technologies including computers, cellular phones, and the

Internet. It seems natural that technologies such as these will converge, allowing ubiquitous wireless connectivity. However, the performance limits of the decentralized wireless networks of the future are presently not known, and optimal approaches to designing these networks are known only for a few special cases. The most challenging and general class of wireless networks to both quantify and design are *ad hoc* networks, which are mobile peer-to-peer networks that operate without the assistance of preexisting infrastructure. Immediate applications include emergency and battlefield networks, metropolitan mesh networks for broadband Internet access, and sensor networks. In addition to these pending applications, this important open problem is quite general, and a solution to it will likely impact the science of networks in other fields, including biology, economics, and air and automobile transportation.

A central concept in information theory is capacity, which is the boundary between the physically possible and physically impossible in terms of reliable data rate. For a given transmitter and receiver, the link capacity for Gaussian noise channels is well defined and given by the well-known formula  $C = B\log_2(1 + SNR)$ , where B is the bandwidth, and SNR is the signal-tonoise ratio. This simple formula, often known as the Shannon limit, and its implications have been indispensable in the development of today's vast communications infrastructure. By providing a target, it has encouraged large investment in developing high-speed communications. Perhaps more important, the insights provided by information theory have often provided a roadmap to communication engineers. Communication link rates now approach the Shannon limit even in challenging time-varying wireless channels.

This success has not yet translated to wireless *networks*, which, for *K* mobile devices, comprise K(K-1) possible one-way connections — with-

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME. Downloaded on December 8, 2008 at 11:22 from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply

out including multicasting. In fact, the general Shannon limit is not known even for K = 3 with static channels due to difficulties in modeling the interactions between the six possible one-way links [1, 2]. In ad hoc networks K can be on the order of 10, 100, or even 1000, and all the links are time-varying. Classical link-based information theory does not appear well suited to the role of describing network performance limits, any more than understanding the functionality of a single neuron gives insight on how the brain at large functions or a single transistor's behavior characterizes the behavior and capabilities of a modern CPU.

# THE THREE ROADBLOCKS

Despite the obvious difficulties in adapting a fundamentally point-to-point theory to a network, there have been numerous efforts at extending information theory to networks, often referred to as multiterminal or network information theory. Such extensions have proven to be extremely difficult for most cases of interest, which has motivated considerable work on capacity scaling laws that attempt to describe how the end-to-end achievable rates in the network scale as a function of the total number of nodes in it [3]. This article will argue that even if straightforward extensions of classical information theory to K > 2 were successful, there are three fundamental roadblocks to a *functional* network information theory that are not addressed by the Shannon framework. By functional, we mean a network information theory that provides useful upper bounds on end-to-end network throughput. These upper bounds should be robust to nonidealities in the capacity model, encompass a notion of timescales and delay, and point to limits that may be approached in the foreseeable future with arbitrarily good engineering.

A network information theory that addresses these three roadblocks and provides useful capacity limits for mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs) is likely to require significant innovation relative to the contemporary Shannon framework:

• Roadblock 1: Network capacity requires different foundational assumptions than linkbased information theory.

The link capacity expression  $C = B\log_2(1 + C)$ SNR) was revealed by considering memoryless channels with arbitrarily long block lengths (delay) and vanishingly small error probability. Surprisingly, allowing unbounded delay, reliability, and complexity did not wind up compromising the usefulness of this result in links indeed, very high reliability can be achieved at rates near the Shannon limit, and due to design and processing advances over the past several decades, capacity-approaching strategies now have a delay and complexity that is acceptable for many applications. However, MANETs have bursty traffic sources, end-to-end delay constraints that are much more difficult to meet, and mobility that constantly changes the network topology. In a link, delay is primarily related to the codeword length, and delays on the order of thousands of channel symbols are, from a capacity point of view, close enough to infinity for the

asymptotic limits to be accurate while still providing a link that can be close to "real time" in terms of human perception. In networks delays are measured on much larger timescales corresponding to buffer times, traffic patterns, chanaccess times, multihop nel routing, retransmissions, and user mobility. The delays required for asymptotic limits to be meaningful in the context of maximizing network throughput might be on the order of tens of seconds, minutes, or even longer, which is orders of magnitude larger than permissible delay bounds. As a consequence, the stochastic variations in the channels, queues, and routes due to fading, mobility, and traffic patterns cannot be averaged out and have to be explicitly considered. Table 1 summarizes the approximate timescales required for different algorithms in MANETs. In nearly all cases, slower timescale dynamics allow more sophisticated techniques to be used at all layers of the network stack.

In summary, the capacity of a MANET is closely related to the timescales occurring in the network, which are driven by external dynamics. What is needed is a *non-equilibrium* information theory that, rather than averaging over all the dynamics, is capable of describing capacity in the context of local equilibria.

• Roadblock 2: Wireless networks defy familiar link-based decompositions. New decompositions need to account for nodal interactions over time and space.

Information theory has been tremendously successful in analyzing centralized wireless systems because such networks can generally be decomposed into constituent links. Traditional Shannon theory applies to these links, and the system as a whole can be characterized as the aggregation of these links. For example, cellular systems can be first decomposed into individual cells, which comprise a point-to-multipoint (broadcast/downlink) and a multipoint-to-point (multi-access/uplink) channel. These multiuser channels can be further decomposed via orthogonalization (in time, frequency, space, or code) into point-to-point links. In most cases, although less often recently, interference from other links can be simply treated as additional noise. The modeling of cellular systems is relatively robust to this idealization due to the careful layout of cell sites and the single-hop communication paradigm. A further functional decomposition can be performed that allows network "layers" to be treated separately: the physical layer is thought of as a bit pipe, while the higher layers exist to provide the physical layer with bits to transmit. In practice, these layers have been designed and optimized separately, with a few recent exceptions such as opportunistic scheduling and network coding.

MANETs evade such decompositions due to their decentralized structure, the need for multihop routing, the dynamic traffic and network topologies, and the inherent coupling between channels with multiple transmitters and receivers interleaved in space. Therefore, the familiar layered network stack — which is a decomposition of system functionality — also needs to change, possibly adaptively. Ideally, each layer should be defined by the timescale over which it operates, MANETs evade such decompositions due to their decentralized structure, the need for multihop routing, the dynamic traffic and network topologies, and the inherent coupling between channels with multiple transmitters and receivers interleaved in space.

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME. Downloaded on December 8, 2008 at 11:22 from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply

Timescale coherence	Communication and network algorithms	Effects
10 <sup>–6</sup> se (unlikely)	Non-coherent communication Mobility increases short-term capacity	MANET communication close to impossible
10 <sup>−3</sup> s (very high mobility)	Coherent communication	$C \approx E[\log(1 + SNR)]$ with coding and interleaving
	ALOHA or round-robin scheduling	Although fast fading helps multiuser capacity, it is difficult for the transmitters to learn the channels
	Flooding	Inefficiency due to very short shelf-life of routes
10 <sup>-2</sup> – 10 <sup>-1</sup> s (moderate mobility)	Adaptive modulation and coding Spatial diversity Local power control and scheduling	Increase link capacities, exploit channel variations
	Handoff algorithms	Can maintain routing, continuity of service
	ARQ	Improved link robustness
1 s (low mobility)	Limited channel-state feedback, aueue-state feedback	Richer transmitter optimization becomes possible, such as beamforming
	Backpressure routing based on differential queue lengths	Approaches throughput and delay optimality by avoiding congestion
	Network coding	Mix packets for robustness and throughput
10–100 s (no mobility)	Rich channel state feedback: near-optimal MIMO transmission, waterfilling, interference channels known	Capacity on links can be approached (e.g., multiuser MIMO precoding, interference cancellation)
	Rich network feedback: network-level scheduling and routing	Cost of network-level feedback can be amortized; sophisticated coordination viable

**Table 1.** *Main system parameters of the prototype wireless system of WAUN.* 

so "higher" layers (whose state changes slowly) can reasonably interact with equilibrium states of the layers below it, whose states change more quickly.

Although this decomposition roadblock is fairly well recognized, MANET research and design has still generally followed the traditional separation of network and physical layer functionalities. A majority of MANET research from the network community is performed on the basis of overly simplified physical layer models; nodes can communicate at a prescribed rate if they are within "range," and cannot otherwise. In contrast, information theory has essentially ignored networking concerns like bursty traffic, finite flows and sessions, queuing delay, and routing. Although convenient and familiar, neither of these approaches sufficiently captures the level of interaction between these functionalities that occur in MANETs. Indeed, network coding, one of the field's more revolutionary recent ideas, came about precisely by departing from the traditional "packet as atomic unit" perspective.

• Roadblock 3: Overhead is a much greater burden in MANETs and must be accounted for in the capacity theory itself.

A considerable amount of overhead is left unaccounted for by information-theoretic channel and network models [4]. Even general pointto-point communication models start off by

making many implicit assumptions: a connection has been established (often using a separate control channel), synchronization has been achieved, packet headers relating to addressing and other overhead information have been sent. In a link such simplifications may be viable, because these costs are either relatively minor, easily accounted for in a lump sum manner (e.g., "network overhead" of 20 percent), or non-recurring and hence amortized over the lifetime of the link. However, in a network accomplishing these tasks may consume extensive system resources and defy a simple characterization. This is not simply an academic problem; current military prototype MANETs routinely experience overhead on the order of even 99 percent of the end-to-end packet transmissions.

In a dynamic network the cost of maintaining optimal communication routes may be severe at every layer of the network stack. In addition to the synchronization, channel estimation, route selection, handshaking, and standard acknowledgment messaging required in an MANET, many promising schemes — relaying, cooperative diversity, beamforming, opportunistic scheduling, and backpressure routing — require substantial real-time overhead. It is important to understand when state information acquisition improves the *observed* capacity; that is, when is overhead messaging justified by a net capacity increase? This requires a careful accounting of



**Figure 1.** A conceptual example of what throughput vs. delay or SNR might be for a link (left) and for a MANET (right).

the overhead implied by design decisions. Developing a unifying analytical framework to account for overhead messaging is critical for a relevant network information theory.

# FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY: CAPACITY WITH CONSTRAINTS

Capacity is primarily a mathematical concept. The reason capacity has had operational relevance in the design of communication systems is because the delay and complexity needed to approach it have turned out to be reasonable in current technology. Similarly, although Shannon promises "perfect" reliability, error probabilities of one in a million or less are typical of current systems, which pass for "perfect" when coupled with quality assurance measures such as cyclic redundancy check (CRC) and automatic repeat request (ARQ) retransmissions.

Information theory, in full generality, is certainly capable of handling non-asymptotic regimes for delay, reliability, and for that matter any constraints. What has allowed information theory to produce tractable and revealing capacity expressions is ergodicity, which allows disturbances to be averaged out over time and the equilibrium behavior of the channel (or network) to be determined. Because of the considerable dynamics in networks, using ergodicity as the foundation for a MANET information theory is dubious, and key tools of information theory such as the law of large numbers, the asymptotic equipartition property, and Stein's Lemma may not be suitable in many scenarios of interest.

One problem with developing a suitable information theory for MANETs has been the difficulty in balancing required constraints with the well justified view that excessive restrictions might preclude a true upper bound on performance.<sup>1</sup> Consider the left half of Fig. 1: Shannon capacity (unconstrained) is the upper bound, the ultimate boundary between the physically possible and physically impossible. The *functional capacity* corresponds to what might be achiev-

able with great engineering under a tenable set of assumptions, for example large but not unbounded delay, low but not vanishingly small probability of error, very high but not unlimited signal processing complexity, sufficient but not unlimited feedback. The functional capacity of course lies below the Shannon limit. In links even in wireless links - these curves wind up being very close to each other. The achievability curve, which might be achieved by a state-of-theart system, is below both of them, but again by a small amount. This allows the functional capacity to be ignored in links, and so capacity can be thought about simply in terms of just optimality (the Shannon limit) and achievability (a system design that approaches the Shannon limit).

In MANETs however, the situation is very different. Although both the Shannon limit and functional capacity of MANETs are unknown, we conjecture that the functional capacity will not even be close to the Shannon limit in most cases. In contrast to links, the Shannon limit does not necessarily provide a meaningful upper bound for MANETs, nor predict the ultimate performance potential of MANETs. We suspect that current state-of-the-art MANET designs are also far below the functional capacity, although by how much we are not sure. The reasons for these large gaps, in contrast to the link case, center around the network dynamics, the complicated geography of the interference, the intense overhead demands that mobility places on all levels of the network stack, and the lack of viable centralized control for scheduling and routing. The key observation is that ultimate Shannon limits on the performance will likely be extremely optimistic in networks as opposed to links, even with arbitrarily good engineering many years into the future. Therefore, if placing (reasonable) constraints on the Shannon limit makes it easier to compute, this might actually be a good thing.

In short, functional capacity can be thought of as an important special case of information theory, where judiciously applied constraints provide a theory that is robust to nonidealities, provides useful insights, and, with luck, is more <sup>1</sup> For example, the cutoff rate was widely believed to be the achievable limit in additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channels; a belief disproven by turbo codes and their descendants, which very closely approach the Shannon limit.

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME. Downloaded on December 8, 2008 at 11:22 from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply

Like the Shannon limit for links, a functional MANET information theory will provide a target for what can actually be achieved with great engineering, and should avoid degenerate cases like the above two examples where the capacity may appear large, but is in fact very fragile. tractable. There are numerous precedents for exploring capacity with additional constraints, for example, constraints on peak and average power, amount of channel state feedback, and delay. For illustrative purposes we now give two examples — one from signal processing and one from networking — that show why such a step is particularly critical for MANETs.

## EXAMPLE 1: INTERFERENCE CANCELLATION AND DYNAMIC RANGE

A widely adopted constraint is that a radio cannot simultaneously transmit and receive in a single frequency band because the ratio of the transmit to receive powers  $(P_t/P_r)$  is enormous, in the range of 50-100 dB. Mathematically, though, a known transmit signal can be subtracted from the received signal, permitting simultaneous transmission and reception. Several classes of multiuser channels have been shown to achieve capacity with some form of interference cancellation. Although alternative achievability strategies may exist, a MANET capacity result that depends heavily on interference cancellation should be viewed with some level of skepticism, since the dynamic ranges of different received signals are much larger than in centralized networks due to the irregular geometry of the users. Can a receiver perfectly cancel out an interferer that is 50 dB stronger than its desired transmitter? Information theory says yes. Reality - due to finite bandwidth analog-to-digital (A/D) converters, imperfect channel estimation, and the lack of infinite precision computing — says no. To what extent should information theory bend to accommodate implementation realities? Are some implementation realities fundamental while others may change with time?

#### EXAMPLE 2: MOBILITY AND INFINITE DELAY

Consider an ad hoc network with K nodes, where each node has some mobility pattern which ensures that over an infinite time horizon it will travel arbitrarily close to every location in the network. It can therefore be ensured that every node will at some point be close enough to every other node for reliable transmission to take place, regardless of the interference from simultaneous transmissions elsewhere. With buffers at each node, this random connectivity can be exploited by routing data to available nearby nodes, and then having each intermediate node act as a "postman" by delivering the data when the desired destination is encountered. This allows the end-to-end throughput to be limited only by the rate at which each node encounters other nodes [5]. However, the postman model of routing incurs a very large delay, and hence is impractical in applications with even modest delay constraints. This example - which has great capacity but only if users can wait a few hours or days for their data — illustrates the danger of neglecting delay when discussing the capacity of networks.

The above two examples show how the Shannon framework — without appropriate additional constraints — can result in upper bounds that are extremely sensitive to nonidealities. In contrast, the Shannon framework is extremely robust in links, and nonidealities such as non-Gaussian noise and codebooks do not typically change the main insights gained from the theory. The central argument for functional capacity is that in its current form, Shannon's framework does not provide a robust platform for MANET capacity. Like the Shannon limit for links, a functional MANET information theory will provide a target for what can actually be achieved with great engineering, and should avoid degenerate cases like the above two examples where the capacity may appear large, but is in fact very fragile.

# THE WAY FORWARD

It may appear that developing new foundations for a non-equilibrium information theory is well beyond reach. However, we find hope in several directions. First, we note that there have already been limited applications of such non-equilibrium ideas in information theory. For example, the notion of outage capacity can be viewed as a non-equilibrium theory for a fading channel, where reliability corresponds to the outage probability. The key idea is to essentially assume a separation of timescales so that certain randomness (i.e., additive noise) is averaged over while other randomness (i.e., fading) is not. Such an approach will likely be even more useful in developing a non-equilibrium theory for MANETs, where the performance at a given timescale can be treated as the expected performance conditioned on the realization of all dynamics at slower timescales. Understandably, the difference between some of the timescales may not be enough to warrant the use of laws of large numbers, in which case innovative techniques need to be developed to provide a succinct interface for interactions between timescales.

We now briefly overview some recent research directions we think hold potential for better understanding the capacity of wireless networks.

#### LESSONS FROM PHYSICS

Wireless networks are fundamentally physical systems, governed by the laws of physics. Rather than assuming a particular mathematical channel model, [6] recently combined information theory with electromagnetic propagation laws and found that efforts to beat Gupta and Kumar's scaling law are fruitless since Maxwell's equation fundamentally limit the degrees of freedom available in the network. If a communication system with many degrees of freedom (in time, space, and/or frequency) is modeled as a thermodynamic system, the Shannon capacity is a statistical phase transition point, beyond which arbitrarily low error probability is impossible. Statistical physics methodologies, such as the replica method, have been successfully applied to obtain the capacity of multiuser and multipleinput multiple-output (MIMO) systems. Furthermore, statistical physics offers a number of modeling tools for dealing with non-equilibrium systems and large quantities of random variables. Additionally, non-equilibrium statistical mechanics, which studies macroscopic systems in which the dominance of statistics disappears, provides a rich collection of relevant theory and experience. Moreover, the theories that physicists have developed for dynamic interacting many-particle systems [7] (including vehicular systems) may enable the study of the dynamics of more general non-equilibrium systems — such as MANETs.

## THROUGHPUT, DELAY, AND RELIABILITY REGIONS

In classical (equilibrium) information theory, the capacity of a multiterminal system is characterized by the maximum reliable throughput between terminals, called the capacity region. A useful non-equilibrium information theory would likely characterize a network by other metrics in addition to throughput. As made clear by Roadblock 1, two other fundamental quantities are delay and reliability. We refer therefore to a MANET's throughput-delay-reliability (TDR)triplet, since these quantities are interrelated. For example, a session will typically be able to achieve higher reliability by reducing its throughput or increasing its delay. There have been a number of efforts at calculating or bounding such quantities in wireline networks, which may be useful in developing such a theory for MANETs. Examples include (stochastic) network calculus and large deviations.

In a non-equilibrium setting, the achievable TDR values will be time-varying due to slower timescale dynamics. Since uncertainties cannot be averaged out over the duration of a typical session or the lifetime of a route, MANETs are almost permanently in a transient state, pursuing evasive equilibria. Since the transient phases are dominant, classical information theory is less relevant, and new analysis tools are needed that explicitly account for the dynamics. In other words, infostatics (i.e., classical information theory) is not sufficient for the characterization of dynamic systems such as MANETs - they require infodynamics. Analogously, the emergence of thermodynamic and electrodynamic systems necessitated the development of thermodynamic and electrodynamic theories based on their static counterparts. In summary, TDR is a useful method for characterizing the capacity of a wireless network in non-asymptotic regimes.

### **RANDOM GRAPHS AND STOCHASTIC GEOMETRY**

Another promising underutilized toolset is the rich theory of random graphs, stochastic geometry, and percolation theory. An inherent feature of ad hoc wireless networks is that users are randomly located, as are source-destination pairs and possible relay nodes. Since the path loss is the dominant effect of both desired and interference power in a wireless network, the positions of the nodes are inseparable from the capacity of the network. If the nodes are located in an i.i.d. manner either through a random scattering or because of mobility, their spatial distribution is well modeled by a Poisson point process. Rich toolsets on these subjects have been developed and are under development by mathematicians [8], including for non-Poisson point processes. These tools allow interference distributions and outage probabilities to be explicitly derived in closed-form, which allows connectivity and spatial throughput to be quantified precisely. An exact analysis of these quantities is possible in the special case when the node locations are Poisson, channels fading is Rayleigh, and medium access control is uncoordinated [9]. Achieving good approximations when one or more of these assumptions are relaxed is the subject of ongoing work [10, 11].

## **CAPACITY APPROXIMATION TECHNIQUES**

Since exact capacity characterizations may be impossible for MANETs, capacity approximations may be the key to understanding the performance limits of wireless networks. Promising recent ventures in this direction include the degrees of freedom approach and the deterministic channel approach. The degrees of freedom of a network provide a capacity approximation that is accurate within  $o(\log(SNR))$  and in some cases within O(1) [12]. Deterministic channel models have led to capacity approximations accurate to within a few bits in several interesting cases [13]. These approximations share a common philosophy: since interference rather than thermal noise will be the principal bottleneck to wireless network performance, it is useful to de-emphasize noise and focus on the interactions of the desired signals and interference terms.

The idea of interference alignment — which achieves the available degrees of freedom - has made plain the fallacy of the "cake-cutting" interpretation of orthogonal spectrum allocation. An interesting example of interference alignment shows that it is possible for everyone to use half of the channel resources with no interference to one another. The key to this counterintuitive result is the realization that the alignment of dimensions is relative to the observer (the receiver), and since each receiver has a different perspective it is possible to simultaneously satisfy seemingly contradictory spectrum requirements. Interference alignment schemes constructed on the deterministic channel illuminate the close relationship between it and the degrees of freedom perspective approach. Interference alignment also reaffirms the observation that structured codes are needed for network capacity theorems [14]. While it is known that both structured (lattice) and random codes can achieve capacity on the point to point channel, information theorists have mostly relied on random codes to come up with achievability schemes for capacity theorems. For networks it seems this may not be the right approach. Intuitively, in a network, a code designed for one user designs the interference to another user. Since random codes will not automatically align themselves, structured codes may be necessary for wireless networks.

### **CONTROL THEORY**

While robustness is often not treated in information theory, control theory has a rich tradition in doing so. Because optimal control algorithms are often intolerant to changes in the environment or plant, control theorists have developed *robust* control theory. This important branch of control theory deals with changing system parameters

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME. Downloaded on December 8, 2008 at 11:22 from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply

Since exact capacity characterizations may be impossible for MANETs, capacity approximations may be the key to understanding the performance limits of wireless networks. Promising recent ventures in this direction include the degrees of freedom approach and the deterministic channel approach.

The development of a non-equilibrium information theory that characterizes rather than averages over - the effects of dynamics would be one of the most important breakthroughs in communications since Shannon's theory.

and the design of algorithms that exhibit graceful degradation in the presence of changes. In doing so, robust control systems optimize the design space for approaches that can maintain their stability and performance in the face of unpredictable dynamics. In short, "robust control refers to the control of unknown plants with unknown dynamics subject to unknown disturbances" [15]. Similarly, we contend that MANET analysis techniques should not be overly sensitive to changes in the system assumptions. In other words, the functional capacity of a MANET should change gracefully with changes in the (distributions of the) relevant modeling parameters. As control theorists have realized, this is a necessary condition for a theory with practical relevance, since real networks can never be modeled exactly. There has been some work in this direction in information theory, perhaps best characterized by considering the capacity of channels when the channel distributions are uncertain [16]. In general, however, the consideration of robustness to assumptions and modeling is not a prominent aspect of contemporary information theory.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The development of an accurate and robust capacity theory for wireless ad hoc networks is one of the most difficult and important challenges remaining in information theory, and has major ramifications on the fields of wireless networking and communications. Meeting this challenge will require new ideas, new tools, and a willingness to think outside the confines of conventional information theory. In this article we have not presented solutions. We have attempted, however, to get closer to asking the right questions. We have overviewed promising recent developments in information theory, and suggested possible connections with historically unrelated fields. The development of a nonequilibrium information theory that characterizes - rather than averages over - the effects of dynamics would be one of the most important breakthroughs in communications since Shannon's theory.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and the National Science Foundation for their support of our research. In particular, we would like to thank Chris Ramming of DARPA for his encouragement to write this article and for his and Richard Barron's ongoing feedback, which has helped us clarify these ideas. We would also like to thank several colleagues who have provided feedback on these ideas over the past year and a half, including Shlomo Shamai, Bob Gallager, Mike Honig, Eytan Modiano, and Andrea Goldsmith and the rest of the "FLoWS" team.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Cover and A. El Gamal, "Capacity Theorems for the Relay Channel," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory,* Sept. 1979.
- [2] G. Kramer, M. Gastpar, and P. Gupta, "Cooperative Strategies and Capacity Theorems for Relay Networks," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. 51, no. 9, Sept. 2005, pp. 3037-63.

- [3] F. Xue and P. R. Kumar, "Scaling Laws for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks: An Information Theoretic Approach, Foundations and Trends in Networking, NOW Publishers, vol. 1, no. 2, 2006, pp 145-270.
- [4] R. Gallager, "Basic Limits on Protocol Information in Data Communication Networks," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, July 1976, pp. 385-98.
- [5] M. Grossglauser and D. Tse, "Mobility Increases the Capacity of Wireless Networks," IEEE/ACM Trans. Net., Aug. 2002, pp. 477-86.
- [6], M. Franceschetti, M. D. Migliore, and P. Minero, "The Capacity of Wireless Networks: Information-Theoretic and Physical Limits," Proc. Allerton, Sept. 2007
- [7] T. M. Liggett, Interacting Particle Systems, Springer, 2004.
- [8] D. Stoyan, W. Kendall, and J. Mecke, Stochastic Geometry and Its Applications, 2nd ed., Wiley, 1996
- [9] F. Baccelli, B. Blaszczyszyn, and P. Mühlethaler, "An ALOHA Protocol for Multihop Mobile Wireless Networks," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. 52, no. 2, Feb. 2006, pp. 421-36.
- [10] S. Weber, J. G. Andrews, and N. Jindal, "The Effect of Fading, Channel Inversion, and Threshold Scheduling on Ad Hoc Networks," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, Nov. 2007.
- [11] R. K. Ganti and M. Haenggi, "Interference and Outage in Clustered Wireless Ad Hoc Networks," Submitted to IEEE, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 2007; http://arxiv.org/ abs/0706.2434
- [12] V. Cadambe and S. A. Jafar, "Interference Alignment and the Degrees of Freedom for the K User Interference Channel," arXiv:0707.0323v2[cs.IT].
- [13] A. S. Avestimehr, S. N. Diggavi, and D. N. C. Tse, "A Deterministic Approach to Wireless Relay Networks," arXiv:0710.3777v1[cs.IT].
- [14] B. Nazer and M. Gastpar, "The Case for Structured Random Codes in Network Communication Theorems," Info. Theory Wksp., Lake Tahoe, CA, Sept. 2007.
- [15] P. C. Chandrasekharan, Robust Control of Linear Dynamical Systems, Academic Press, 1996.
- [16] A. Lapidoth and P. Narayan, "Reliable Communication Under Channel Uncertainty," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, Oct. 1998, pp. 2148-77.

#### Additional Reading

- [1] D. Chowdhury, L. Santen, and A. Schadschneider, "Statistical Physics of Vehicular Traffic and Some Related Systems," Physics Reports, vol. 329, no. 4-6, May 2000, pp. 199–329. P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar, "The Capacity of Wireless
- [2] Networks," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 2000.
- B. Hajek and A. Ephremides, "Information Theory and [3] Communication Networks: An Unconsummated Union," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 1998.
- [4] C. E. Shannon, "A Mathematical Theory of Communication," Bell Labs Tech. J., 1948.

#### **BIOGRAPHIES**

JEFFREY ANDREWS [SM'06] (jandrews@ece.utexas.edu) is an associate professor in the ECE Department at the University of Texas (UT) Austin, where he is director of the Wireless Networking and Communications Group. He received a B.S. with High Distinction from Harvey Mudd College, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Stanford University. He worked at Qualcomm from 1995 to 1997, and has consulted for the WiMAX Forum, Microsoft, ADC, Ricoh, and NASA. He received the NSF CAREER award in 2007 and is the principal investigator of a nine-university team in DARPA's Information Theory for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks program.

NIHAR JINDAL [M'04] is an assistant professor in the ECE Department at the University of Minnesota. He received a B.S. from the University of California (UC) Berkeley, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Stanford University. He received the IEEE Communications Society and Information Theory Society Joint Paper Award in 2005, the McKnight Land-Grant Professorship in 2007, and the NSF CAREER award in 2008.

MARTIN HAENGGI [SM'05] is an associate professor of electrical engineering at the University of Notre Dame. He received M. Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Zurich, in 1995 and 1999, respectively. In 2007-2008 he spent a year at the UC San Diego as a visiting scholar. He received the NSF CAREER award in 2005, and is an Associate Editor for IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing and a Guest Editor of the IEEE JSAC issue on Stochastic Geometry and Random Graphs, 2009.

RANDALL A. BERRY [M'00] received a B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Missouri-Rolla in 1993, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering and computer science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1996 and 2000, respectively. In 2000 he joined Northwestern University, where he is currently an associate professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. He is the recipient of a 2003 NSF CAREER award.

SYED A. JAFAR [M'04] is an assistant professor in the EECS Department at UC Irvine. He received a B.Tech. from the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, an M.S. from California Institute of Technology, and a Ph.D. from Stanford University, all in electrical engineering. His industry experience includes positions at Hughes Software Systems, Lucent Bell Labs, and Qualcomm Inc. He received the NSF CAREER award in 2006 and the ONR Young Investigator Award in 2008. He received the Engineering Faculty of the Year Award at UC Irvine for excellence in teaching in 2006.

DONGNING GUO [M'04] is an assistant professor in the E.E.C.S. Dept. at Northwestern University. He received a B.Eng. degree from the University of Science and Technology of China, an M.Eng. degree from National University of Singapore, and M.A. and Ph.D. degrees from Princeton University. He was a visiting professor at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology in summer 2006. He received the NSF CAREER award in 2007.

SANJAY SHAKKOTTAI [M'02] received his Ph.D. from the ECE Department of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign in 2002. He is currently an associate professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at UT Austin, where is the Engineering Foundation Endowed Faculty Fellow. He received the NSF CAREER award in 2004.

ROBERT W. HEATH, JR. [SM'06] is an associate professor in the ECE Department at UT Austin. He received B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering rom the University of Virginia, and a Ph.D. in electrical engineering from Stanford University. He is president and CEO of MIMO Wireless Inc. He is the recipient of the David and Doris Lybarger Endowed Faculty Fellowship in Engineering and is a registered Professional Engineer in Texas.

MICHAEL J. NEELY [M'02] is an assistant professor in the Electrical Engineering Department at the University of Southern California. He received B.S. degrees in both electrical engineering and mathematics from the University of Maryland, College Park (1997), and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2003). He received the NSF CAREER award in 2008. He is a member of Tau Beta Pi and Phi Beta Kappa.

STEVEN WEBER [M'03] is an assistant professor in the ECE Department at Drexel University. He received a B.S. from Marquette University, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and communications engineering from UT Austin.

AYLIN YENER [M'00] is an associate professor in the EE Department at Penn State. She received B.S. degrees in electrical and elecronics engineering and physics from Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and communications engineering from WINLAB, Rutgers University, New Jersey. She was a P.C. Rossin endowed assistant professor of EECS at Lehigh University from 2000–2001 before joining Penn State. She received the NSF CAREER award in 2003 and currently serves as the chair of the Student Committee for the IEEE Information Theory Society.