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#### Abstract

We prove that the $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ reduction of the Reidemeister torsion of a rational homology 3 -sphere is naturally a $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$-valued quadratic function uniquely determined by a $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$-constant and the linking form.
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1. Introduction. Recently, V. Turaev has proved in [3, Theorem 4.3.1] a certain identity involving the Reidemeister torsion of a rational homology sphere $M$. In this paper, we suitably interpret this identity as a second-order finite difference equation satisfied by the torsion. Roughly speaking this identity states that the finite difference Hessian of the torsion coincides with the linking form of $M$. This allows us to prove a general structure result for the $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ reduction of the torsion. More precisely, in Proposition 3.3 we prove that the $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ reduction of the torsion is completely determined by three data.

- a certain canonical $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$-structure $\sigma_{0}$,
- the linking form $\mathbf{l k}$ of $M$,
- a constant $c \in \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$.

By fixing the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$-structure $\sigma_{0}$, we have a natural choice of Euler structure and thus, we can identify the Reidemeister torsion with a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued function on $H:=H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Its $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ reduction is a function $\tau: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(h)=c-\widehat{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{k}(h) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{1 k}}$ denotes a quadratic form on $H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}}\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)-\widehat{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{k}\left(h_{1}\right)-\widehat{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{k}\left(h_{2}\right)=\mathbf{l} \mathbf{k}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, the constant $c$ is a $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$-valued invariant of the rational homology sphere. Experimentations with lens spaces suggest this invariant is as powerful as the torsion itself.
2. The Reidemeister torsion. We review briefly a few basic facts about the Reidemeister torsion of a rational homology 3-sphere. For more details and examples we refer to $[1,3]$.

Suppose that $M$ is a rational homology sphere. We set $H:=H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and use the multiplicative notation to denote the group operation on $H$. To remove the sign ambiguities in the definition of torsion, we equip $H_{*}(M, \mathbb{R})$ with the canonical orientation described in [3].

Denote by $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M)$ the set of isomorphism classes of $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$-structure on $M$. It is an $H$-torsor, that is, the group $H$ acts freely and transitively on $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \times \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M) \ni(h, \sigma) \longmapsto h \cdot \sigma \in \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{M}$ the space of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \text {. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $H$ acts on $\mathscr{F}_{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \times \mathscr{F}_{M} \ni(g, \phi) \longmapsto g \cdot \phi, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g \cdot \phi)(h)=\phi(h g) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\int_{H}$ the augmentation map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad \int_{H} \phi:=\sum_{h \in H} \phi(h) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [3], the Reidemeister torsion is an $H$-equivariant map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau: \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{M}, \quad \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M) \ni \sigma \longmapsto \tau_{\sigma}=\tau_{M, \sigma} \in \mathscr{F}_{M} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $M$ is an integral homology sphere we have $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{M, \sigma}=0$. Denote by $\mathbf{l} \mathbf{k}_{M}$ the linking form of $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{l k}_{M}: H \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

V. Turaev has proved in [3] that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{1}\right)-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}(1)=-\mathbf{l} \mathbf{k}_{M}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H, \sigma \in \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M)$. In the above identity, we replace $\sigma$ by $h \cdot \sigma$ for an arbitrary $h \in H$ and using the $H$-equivariance of $\sigma \mapsto \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{1} g_{2} h\right)-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{1} h\right)-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}\left(g_{2} h\right)+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}(h)=-\mathbf{\mathbf { k } _ { M }}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g_{1}, g_{2}, h \in H, \sigma \in \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M)$.
3. A second-order differential equation. The identity (2.10) admits a more suggestive interpretation. To describe it, we need a few more notation.

Denote by $\mathscr{S}_{M}$ the space of functions $H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. Each $g \in H$ defines a first-order differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g}: \mathscr{S}_{M} \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}_{M}, \quad\left(\Delta_{g} u\right)(h):=u(g h)-u(h), \quad \forall u \in \mathscr{S}_{M}, h \in H . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Xi=\Xi_{\sigma}$ denotes the $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ reduction of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma}$, then we can rewrite (2.10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{g_{1}} \Delta_{g_{2}} \Xi\right)(h)=-\mathbf{l} \mathbf{k}_{M}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the second-order differential operator $\Delta_{g_{1}} \Delta_{g_{2}}$ can be regarded as a sort of Hessian.

We prove uniqueness and existence results for this equation. We begin with the (almost) uniqueness part.

Lemma 3.1. The second-order linear differential equation (3.2) determines $\Xi$ up to an "affine" function, that is, the sum between a character of $H$ and $a \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$-constant.

Proof. Suppose that $\Xi_{1}, \Xi_{2}$ are two solutions of the above equation. Set $\Psi:=$ $\Xi_{1}-\Xi_{2}, \Psi$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g_{1}} \Delta_{g_{2}} \Psi=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, observe that any function $F \in \mathscr{S}_{M}$ satisfying the second-order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{u} \Delta_{v} F=0, \quad \forall u, v \in H \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is affine, that is, it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=c+\lambda \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is a constant and $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is a character. Indeed, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{u}\left(\Delta_{v} F\right)=0, \quad \forall u \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies $\Delta_{v} F$ is a constant depending on $v, c(v)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(v h)-F(h)=c(v), \quad \forall h . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\lambda=F-F(1)$ satisfies the same differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(v h)-\lambda(h)=c(v) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the additional condition $\lambda(1)=0$. If we set $h=1$ in the above equation, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(v)=c(v) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(v h)=\lambda(h)+\lambda(v), \quad \forall v, h \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\lambda$ is a character of $H$ and $F=F(1)+\lambda$. Thus, the differential equation (3.2) determines $\Xi$ up to a constant and a character.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $b: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is a nonsingular, symmetric, bilinear form on $H$. Then there exists a quadratic form $q: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H} q=b, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathscr{H} q)(u, v):=q(u v)-q(u)-q(v) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us briefly recall the terminology in this lemma. $b$ is nonsingular if the induced map $H \rightarrow H^{\#}:=\operatorname{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. A quadratic form is a function $q: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(1)=0, \quad q\left(u^{k}\right)=k^{2} q(u), \quad \forall u \in H, k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{H} q$ is a bilinear form.
Suppose that $b$ is a nonsingular, symmetric, bilinear form $H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. Then, according to $[4$, Section 7$], b$ admits a resolution. This is a nondegenerate, symmetric, bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B: \Lambda \times \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a free abelian group $\Lambda$ such that the induced monomorphism $J_{B}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{*}:=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ is a resolution of $H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{J_{B}} \Lambda^{*} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $b$ coincides with the induced bilinear form on $\Lambda^{*} /\left(J_{B} \Lambda\right)(n:=\# H)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\pi(u), \pi(v))=\frac{1}{n^{2}} B\left(J_{B}^{-1}(n u), J_{B}^{-1}(n v)\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall u, v \in \Lambda^{*} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\pi(u))=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} B\left(J_{B}^{-1}(n u), J_{B}^{-1}(n u)\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quantity is well defined, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} B\left(J_{B}^{-1}(n u), J_{B}^{-1}(n u)\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} B\left(J_{B}^{-1}(n v), J_{B}^{-1}(n v)\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $v=u+J_{B} \lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Clearly, $\mathscr{H} q=b$.
Denote by $Q$ the space of solutions of the equation (3.11), that is, the space of quadratic forms $q$ on $H$ satisfying $\mathfrak{\sum q}=-\mathbf{l k}_{M}$. $Q$ consists of more than one element. It is a $G$-torsor, where $G=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and the $G$ action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q \times G) \ni(q, \mu) \longmapsto q+\mu . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the linking form on $M$ we can identify $G$ with the 2-torsion subgroup of $H$. Denote by $\Xi_{\sigma}$ the reduction $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$ of $\tau_{\sigma}$.

Fix a $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ structure $\sigma_{0}$ on $M$. We deduce that for every $q \in Q$ there exists a constant $k=k(q)$ and a character $\lambda=\lambda_{q}$ of $H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\sigma_{0}}(h)=k(q)+\lambda_{q}(h)+q(h), \quad \check{\mathfrak{q} q}=-\mathbf{l} \mathbf{k}_{M} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{g \cdot \sigma_{0}}(h): & =\Xi_{\sigma}(g h)=k(q)+\lambda_{q}(g h)+q(g h) \\
& =\underbrace{\left(k(q)+\lambda_{q}(g)+q(g)\right)}_{c(g, q)}+\underbrace{\left(\lambda_{q}(h)+(\mathfrak{f} q)(g, h)\right)}_{\lambda_{q, g}(h)}+q(h) \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{q, g}(\bullet)=\lambda_{q}(\bullet)-\mathbf{l k}_{M}(g, \bullet)$. Since the linking form is nondegenerate we can find a unique $g=g(q)$ such that $\lambda_{q, g}=0$. We set $\vec{\sigma}(q)=g(q) \cdot \sigma_{0}$ and $c(q)=c(g(q), q)$. The above computation also shows that for every $\mu \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(q+\mu)-c(q)=q(\mu), \quad \vec{\sigma}(q+\mu)=\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}(q) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus proved the following result.
Proposition 3.3. Suppose $M$ is a rational homology sphere. Then there exist functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
c: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}, \quad \vec{\sigma}: Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(M) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\vec{\sigma}(q)}(h):=q(h)+c(q) \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall h \in H \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(q+\mu)-c(q)=q(\mu), \quad \vec{\sigma}(q+\mu)=\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}(q), \quad \forall \mu \in G \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 3.4. (a) Note that $q(\mu) \in(1 / 4) \mathbb{Z}, \forall q \in Q, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $4 c(q)$ is independent of $q$. It is a topological invariant of $M$ !
(b) One can show that the image of the one-to-one map $\vec{\sigma}$ is $\operatorname{Spin}(M)$, the set $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ structures induced by the spin structures on $M$. We can thus regard $c$ as a map $c$ : $\operatorname{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$.
4. Examples. We want to show on some simple examples that the invariant $c$ is nontrivial. First, we need some notation.

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ the cyclic group with $n$ elements. The functions $f: \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ can be conveniently described as polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, where $x^{n}=1$. Given two such polynomials $f, g$, we define the equivalence relation $\sim$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sim g \Longleftrightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}: f= \pm x^{m} g \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will not keep track of Euler structures and/or homology orientations and that is why in the sequel only the $\sim$-equivalence class of the torsion will be well defined. In particular, the constant $c$ constructed in the previous section will be defined only up to a sign.
(a) Suppose that $M=L(8,3)$. Then its torsion is (see [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{8,3} \sim-\frac{9}{32} x^{7}-\frac{3}{32} x^{6}-\frac{9}{32} x^{5}+\frac{5}{32} x^{4}+\frac{7}{32} x^{3}-\frac{3}{32} x^{2}+\frac{7}{32} x+\frac{5}{32} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{8}=1$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x^{n}\right)=\frac{-3 n^{2}}{16} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of possible values $\left(-3 m^{2} / 16\right) \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\left\{0, \frac{-3}{16}, \frac{4}{16}, \frac{5}{16}\right\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of possible values of $\Xi(h)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B:=\left\{-\frac{9}{32},-\frac{3}{32}, \frac{5}{32}, \frac{7}{32}\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to find a constant $c \in \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \pm c=A . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, we need to figure out orderings $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}$ of $A$ and $B$ such that $b_{i}-a_{i} \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ is a constant independent of $i$. A little trial and error shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{A}=\left(0,-\frac{3}{16}, \frac{4}{16}, \frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \vec{B}=\left(-\frac{3}{32},-\frac{9}{32}, \frac{5}{32}, \frac{7}{32}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $c=-3 / 32$. This is the coefficient of $x^{2}$. We deduce that (modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F:=T_{8,3}(x)+\frac{3}{32} \sim-\frac{3}{16} x^{7}-0 \cdot x^{6}-\frac{3}{16} x^{5}+\frac{1}{4} x^{4}+\frac{1}{4} x^{3}-0 \cdot x^{2}+\frac{1}{4} x+\frac{1}{4} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The translation of $F$ by $x^{-2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{-2}\left(T_{8,3}+\frac{3}{32}\right)=\frac{1}{4} x^{7}+\frac{1}{4} x^{6}-\frac{3}{16} x^{5}-\frac{3}{16} x^{3}+\frac{1}{4} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4} x . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Suppose that $M=L(7,2)$. Then, its torsion is (see [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{7,2} \sim-\frac{2}{7} x^{6}+\frac{1}{7} x^{5}+\frac{2}{7} x^{3}+\frac{1}{7} x-\frac{2}{7} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{7}=1$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$. We see that in this form $T_{7,2}$ is symmetric, that is, the coefficient of $x^{k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x^{6-k}$. The constant $c$ in this case must be the coefficient of the middle monomial $x^{3}$, which is $2 / 7$.
(c) Suppose that $M=L(7,1)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{7,1} \sim \frac{2}{7} x^{6}+\frac{1}{7} x^{5}-\frac{1}{7} x^{4}-\frac{4}{7} x^{3}-\frac{1}{7} x^{2}+\frac{1}{7} x+\frac{2}{7} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is again a symmetric polynomial and the coefficient of the middle monomial is $-4 / 7$. We see that this invariant distinguishes the lens spaces $L(7,1)$ and $L(7,2)$. It is known that these two spaces are homotopic but nonhomeomorphic lens spaces. Thus, the invariant $c$ distinguishes their homeomorphism types, just as the torsion does.
(d) For $M=L(9,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{9,2} \sim-\frac{10}{27} x^{8}+\frac{2}{27} x^{7}-\frac{1}{27} x^{6}+\frac{8}{27} x^{5}+\frac{2}{27} x^{4}+\frac{8}{27} x^{3}-\frac{1}{27} x^{2}+\frac{2}{27} x-\frac{10}{27} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, this is a symmetric function, that is, the coefficient of $x^{k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x^{8-k}, x^{9}=1$. The constant is the coefficient of $x^{4}$, which is $2 / 27$. We deduce that $\bmod \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{9,2}-\frac{2}{27}=-\frac{2}{3} x^{8}-\frac{2}{9} x^{7}-\frac{1}{3} x^{6}-\frac{2}{9} x^{7} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Finally, when $M=L(9,7)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{9,7} \sim-\frac{8}{27} x^{8}-\frac{2}{27} x^{7}+\frac{10}{27} x^{6}+\frac{1}{27} x^{5}-\frac{2}{27} x^{4}+\frac{1}{27} x^{3}+\frac{10}{27} x^{2}-\frac{2}{27} x-\frac{8}{27} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the polynomial is again symmetric so that the constant $c$ is the coefficient of $x^{4}$ which is $-2 / 27$.
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